Evaluation of Impacts of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) on Microbial Community of an Agricultural Soil

María C. Zabalov (1) and Jav L. Garland (2) (1) Universidad Nacional del Sur, Babía Blanca, ADGENTINA (2) Dynamac Corp. Kennedy Space Center, Fl.

Introduction

The hendicide 2.4 D is used to control broad leaved weeds in censel crops and on pastures. Although 2.4-D is an analogue of natural auxins, it does not occur naturally in the environment. Despite this 24-D is readily used as a carbon source by environmental microorganisms. It has been reported that 2.4-D can drive shifts in the microbial community of sails (Chinalia and Kilham 2006: Macur et al. 2007) Although much is known about the physiological activity mechanism of action and environmental fate of this herbicide information about its impact on biological properties in soils of Argenting is limited (Fright 1981: Merini et al. 2007)

Alternative approaches for monitoring the impacts of pollutants on microbial communities in functionally relevant terms are needed. The RD Oxygen Rigsensor System™ (RD ORS) is a microplate with a fluorescent dve which is avenched by the presence of Q. enabling the rapid measurement of Q. consumption by respiring microbes. It has been used for measuring the utilization of substrates and media amendments by whole communities in community-level physiological profiling (CLPP) studies as well as the toxicity responses of various microorganisms (Birmele et al. 2006)

Objectives

To determine how short-term exposure to 2 4-D impacts the function and structure of the microbial community of an agricultural soil from the Pampas region Argenting

To study the long-term effects of the herbicide by assessing the pollution-induced-community tolerance (PTCT) in agricultural and pristine forest soils

Materials and Methods

The study area is located in the southern Pampas region. Argenting (Fig. 1). The soil is a sandy loam (Typic Argiudoll). Samples from the upper layer (0-10 cm) were taken from a plot with reported history of 2,4-D applications

Soil microcosms and inoculum preparation (Fig. 2).

■ Q PP assay: C sources→ acetate case manages successe succinate coumaric acid and 2.4-D (50 mg 14) and no C supplementation. Half of the wells were added with funcal inhibitor cycloheximide (I) at a final concentration of 11 ma ml⁻¹ to assess the relative substrate utilization of the overall microbial community and the bacterial component alone in the C and L microcosms

• Utilization of 2.4-D as a C source (50 mo ml⁻¹) in soils with L and H doses of 2.4-D (3 and 14 days after treatment).

PICT assay: response to coumaric acid (50 mg ml⁻¹) in presence of increasing concentrations of 2 4-D (0- 50 ma 1-1). Soils sampled at the end (C and L only) were compared to a pristine hardwood forest (F) soil (Harvard Forest MA) in presence of five doses of herbicide (0- 250 mg 1-1)

Incubation at 30°C and fluorescence readings (every 15 minutes for up to 48 h) on a Syneray HT microplate reader (BIO-TEK). The data were reported as normalized relative fluorescence units (NRFU). Various response parameters were calculated for comparison of treatments



Argenting b) study area







Fig.2.- a) Microcosms assay design, b) inoculum preparation, c) plate oculation and d) configuration of the BD OBS system

Results







sources 7 d.a.t.- a) casein, b) succinate, c) coumaric acid, d) acetate, e) 2.4-D, and f) background C. Microcosms were previously exposed to 0 and 5 ma ka⁻¹ 2 4-D (C and L). Error bars represent +1 SE (n=3). Arrows show increased response in L microcosms



Fig.6.- Fluorescence response to coumaric acid in agricultural (a) and eplicate samples of forest soils (b-d) exposed to increasing doses of 2 4-D (0-250 mg l-1) The 12-h response coincident with the onset of peak in response, was selected as the parameter to evaluate the PICT, after visual inspection of the curves. High variability is evident in F soil.



2 4-D utilization in herbicide-treated



Fig 4 - Eluprescence

Summary

Only minor changes in substrate utilization profiles were induced in soil microcosms by treatment with 2.4 D at 5 ma ka(1). The peak in fluorescence response to case in was higher for microcosms during the entire assay (Fig 3 g) while the response to succingte was higher in [than in C microcosms only on day 7 after treatment (Fig 3 b) The maximum fluorescence response to 2.4-D as C source was higher in L until day 14 (Fig.3.e) whereas the response to the background C was higher in L soils than in C microcosms on day 7 (Fig 3 f)

• Cycloheximide inhibited the 8 b-response of case in (43.6%) acetate (9.6%) and course in acid (97%) during the entire assay (Fig.3 a. c. d). In contrast, the response to 2.4-D and background C response were stimulated by the inhibitor (61% and 94% respectively) (Fig.3 e.f)

• Two weeks after treatment H microcosms (2.4-D 50 ma ka⁻¹) showed a significantly higher peak for 2 4-D as substrate than L microcosms and the peak appeared 14 h earlier in the former (Fig 4)

The L and H microcosms artificially spiked with 2 4-D did not differ from the C in their tolerance to increasing doses of herbicide (0-50 mg l^{-1}) (Fig.5 g, b). Again C and L microcosms were similar in their tolerance response to 2 4-D at a range of 0-250 mg 1-1 whereas the F soil tended to be less tolerant to the herbicide (Fig 5 c d Table 1)

Conclusion

The CLPP results indicate that agriculturally relevant levels of 2.4-D leads to enrichment of 2.4-D degraders but has little effect on overall beterotrophic activity

The herbicide had no distinct effect on the fungal component of the microbial community in comparison to the control (untreated) soil.

The agricultural soil used in this study, although not from a site with regular 2.4-D use. contained a community tolerant to 2 4-D exposure suggesting that intermittent previous exposure to the herbicide either led to a persistently tolerant community or that the agricultural soils are more generally resistant to potential stressors.



Rinnele M M Roberts T Garland 2006 T Microbial Methods 67 619-623 Chinalia A.F and K. S. Kilham. 2006. Chemosphere 64, 1675-1683. Fright | 1981 Rev Arg Microbiol 9-16 Macur R E J T Wheeler M D Burr and W P Inskeep 2007 Microbiol Res 162 37-45. Merini L.J. V. Cuadrado, C. G. Flocco, and A.M. Giulietti, 2007. Chemosphere, 68, 259-265.



Thanks to Martin De Lucia (UNS) and Mel Knorr (UNH) for help in soils sampling and John Catechis (Dynamac, KSC) for technical assistance. This study was partially supported by an USDA CREES NRT Award 2006-35107-17226





2.4.D concentration (no ml-1)

0 40 400 440 300 340 300

Fig 5 - 12 h-Eluorescence response to coumoric acid a) 3 b) 14 d a t in herbicide-treated agricultural soil exposed to 0-50 ma l-1 2.4-D: c) 12-h flouorescence response and d) time to peak 20 d a t in agricultural and forest soil Error bars represent +1 SE (n=3)

Soil	TI ₅₀	TI ₁₂₅
С	0.93(0.018) a	0.81(0.002) a
L	0.93(0.004) a	0.80(0.007) a
F	0.75(0.05) b	0.62(0.002) b

Table 1.- Tolerance Index (TI= response to given dose/response in control) at dose of 50 and 125 mg 1-1 2,4-D calculated for the artificially spiked agricultural soil microcosms (C and L) and the forest soil (F), Results are means ±1 SE (n=3), Values followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05, LSD).