

Dynamics of Crop Residue Composition-Decomposition: Temporal Modeling of Multivariate Carbon Sources and Processes

Abdullah A. Jaradat, Jane M-F Johnson, Sharon Weyers, and Nancy Barbour Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture 803 Iowa Ave., Morris, MN 56267



Objective

- To examine multivariate relationships in structural carbohydrates (STC) plus lignin and non-structural carbohydrates (NSC) and their impact on
- C:N ratio, and - The dynamics of kinetic coefficients [overall (k_n), active (k_n) and passive (k_n)] of residue decomposition of stems, leaves and roots of alfalfa, corn, soybean, cuphea and switchgrass.

Composition-Decomposition:

- · Structural Carbohydrates: - Cellulose, Hemicellulos
- Acid soluble & non-soluble ligning Non-structural Carbohydrates:
- Sugars, Starch
- CO, released during 500 days of decomposition · Ratios among major components
- k_o, k_o, and k_p

Calculations

- · First order kinetics model: $C_{n=C(1-\exp(-kt))}$
- · Double exponential model $C_{t} = C_{a} \exp(-k_{a}t) + (100 - C_{a}) \exp(-k_{p}t) \dots k_{a} \& k_{p}$ · C:N (and other) Ratios

Results

- Different patterns of investment Inconsistencies in the relationship between STCs and NSCs in roots, stems, and leaves, and
- · Differences in construction cost (g glucose per g dry matter) among crops and among organs within crops.







C:N ratio explained (adjusted R²), and percent variance in N, C an fa, corn, cuphea, soybean and switchgrass), among organ ce in N, C and C:N ra imong crops (alfalfa oreans within crops







† Independent variables are biochemical constituents: (1) in leaves, stems, and roots; (2) in stems and leaves: (3) in stems: (4) in leaves: (5) only C:N ratio in stems and leaves: and (6) only C:N ratio in stems













Fractione





Fig. 4. [A] Loadings, significant R² values of biocher C:N ratio accounted for by the first partial least squa

Temporal Modeling of Multivariate Carbon Sources and Processes Table 4. Model coefficients and test statistics using biochemical constituents and their ratios in predicting overall ((k.), active (k.) and passive (k.)) kinetic



*() significant negative (-) or positive (+) regression coefficient of factor on k₀ ka or kp within each model 5, * the simulated k mean is significantly different from the measured k mean within each Model, a Simulated mean followed by the same letter for each k are not significantly different.

 \uparrow : β followed by the upper case letter within each k do not differ significantly, those followed by same small case letter do not differ significantly among phases.

0.00

2 00 0.00



Fig. 5. Alfalfa and cuphea have faster rates of decomposition, followed by corn and soybear then by switchgrass based on overall (k,), or active (k,) kinetic coefficients.



1.1

Conclusions:

- · Biochemical composition classified crops & organs with large accuracy.
- · Crops and organs differed in their construction costs. Cuphea leaves and alfalfa roots were most and least expensive, respectively.
- C:N is largely determined by N; most of its variation is accounted for by differences among crops and among organs.
- C:N in roots can be estimated by biochemical composition of leaves and stems (R²=0.61).
- Biochemical composition singly or in combination with Ratios explained large (R² 0.68-0.81) variation in k_a and k_a . Ratios became more important in explaining variation in $k_a > 90$ DCD.
- A 90-100 DCD period is enough to delineate significant differences among crops, among organs and among organs within crops.
- Residues with large C:N (>40)may be better used as biomass for bioenergy; residues with small C:N (<20) may be better used for nutrient re-cycling.













imination, squared Mahalanobis distances (D^2) and mean separation in leaver, stams and roots of five crone based on their bioches