Calibration of Consumer Digital Cameras to Remotely Sense Cotton Growth

Abstract

Off-the-shelf consumer digital cameras are inexpensive and convenient, but they cannot concurrently measure visible and near-infrared (NIR) radiation. Two Nikon COOLPIX 4300 digital cameras were evaluated in tandem to determine the effectiveness of a cross-camera calibration procedure that would allow concurrent use of an unmodified digital camera and a NIR-sensitive digital camera without preset shutter speeds or aperture settings. Each camera was calibrated in constant ambient light conditions at 5 exposure levels using a Gretag-Macbeth ColorChecker[™] reflectance panel. The procedure was tested on 36 cotton plots (*Gossypium hirsutum*) in an irrigation study in 2006. Images obtained on 8 dates during the season were corrected for exposure and converted to relative reflectance values. The normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) values from the plots were then compared with ground-based spot spectrometer measurements of NDVI. Corrected camera-based NDVI values were closely correlated with the spectrometer NDVI values over the entire season ($r^2 = 0.72$).

Introduction

Digital Cameras have several advantages for low-cost imagery:

Inexpensive

Portable

Easy to use

However, there are disadvantages, including the following:

Lack of user control over some automatic features

Lower dynamic range

Insensitivity to Near-Infrared radiation (see Fig. 1.)



Fig. 1. Transmittance curve of a Nikon 4300 hot mirror and a Hoya NIR filter. The presence of a hot mirror prevents the camera from sensing near-infrared radiation.

Glen Ritchie¹, Craig Bednarz², James Hook¹, Dana Sullivan³, and Calvin Perry¹ ¹University of Georgia; ²Texas Tech; ³USDA-ARS



Materials and Methods

Exposure Calibration

Two Nikon 4300 digital cameras (1 modified to sense nearinfrared) were used to collect images of a Gretag-Macbeth ColorChecker[™] reflectance panel at multiple exposures (Fig. 2). Exposure value (E_{ν}) was calculated as:



channel responses to ColorChecker[™] chart

Camera brightness was

 $E_v = \log_2 (F^2 / shutter)$

compared with panel reflectance measured by an Apogee reflectance probe (Apogee Instruments, Logan, UT)

Exposure Correction

After exposure calibration was completed, adjustments to raw camera brightness output were performed based on the camera relative exposure level. The correlation and correction between raw output at multiple exposures for the red channel is shown in Fig. 3, and the raw and corrected relationship to reflectance is shown in The raw output is shown in Figs. 4 and 5.





Relationship between NDVI values of reflectance target measured using a spectrometer and reflectance probe with NDVI values calculated from corrected and NIR blue (3 exposure levels) channels. Exposure differences from the visible camera to the NIR camera based on the exposure calculation ranged from -2.0 to +0.39 shutter stops.





	Step	Equation
1	Collect uncorrected images	
2	Calculate exposure level of both cameras	$E_v = \log$
3	Correct brightness values of one camera to match exposure level of second camera	y = 0.943
4	Convert brightness values to relative reflectance values	$y = -\frac{\ln(1 - \frac{1}{3})}{3}$
5	Calculate NDVI	$NDVI = \frac{NI}{NI}$
nta	act Information	

Glen Ritchie: gritchie@uga.edu

Fig. 2. Visible and NIR camera