Introgression of Russian Wheat Aphid Resistance from



Tetraploid Wheat Germplasm

Ben Beyer, Scott Haley, Junhua Peng, Frank Peairs, Nora Lapitan Department of Soil & Crop Sciences, Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523-1170, USA



Introduction

The Russian wheat aphid (RWA), Diuraphis noxia (Mordvilko), is a serious pest in arid and semi-arid wheat (Triticum aestivum) production areas of the Great Plains (Stoetzel, 1987). Direct and indirect economic impact from RWA was estimated at over \$800 million dollars between 1987 and 1993 (Morrison and Peairs, 1998), leading to extensive research efforts to identify resistance for incorporation into adapted cultivars. Several cultivars with resistance conferred by the Dn4 resistance gene have been released and widely grown in Colorado, beginning with the release of 'Halt' in 1994 (Quick et al., 1996).

Biotypic variation in RWA populations has been reported in several areas of the world (Puterka et al., 1992). In North America, a single RWA biotype was thought to exist until the identification of an isolate in 2003 that was capable of causing damage on genotypes carrying the Dn4 resistance gene (Haley et al., 2004). This biotype has since been designated as RWA biotype 2 (Porter et al., 2005).

Most of the resistance sources identified in North America since the introduction of RWA in 1987 have been localized to the D genome of common wheat. While many new sources of resistance to RWA biotype 2 have been identified in common wheat, broadening of the genetic base of RWA resistance beyond the D genome of wheat would be highly desirable. Several examples exist in the literature of the use of tetraploid wheat (i.e., Triticum dicoccoides) as a source of genes for applied wheat breeding. As accessions of T. dicoccoides resistant to RWA biotype 2 have been identified (unpublished data), these may be useful as sources for transfer to common wheat



Figure 1: The Russian Wheat Aphid

Objectives

- Effect transfer of RWA resistance from three tetraploid wheat accessions to two different hexaploid wheat genotypes.
- Determine inheritance of RWA resistance from the tetraploid wheat accessions.
- Confirm the level of expression of RWA resistance from the tetraploid wheat accessions in a hexaploid wheat background.

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials

The Iranian tetraploid accessions PI 624903, PI 624904, and PI 624908 were identified as carrying resistance to RWA biotype 2 in standard greenhouse seedling screening tests. These accessions were crossed as the male parent to the hexaploid spring wheat varieties 'Len' (Cltr 17790) and 'Coteau' (Cltr 17749). F1 plants from these crosses were advanced to the F2 by self-pollination and were used as females in backcrosses to the susceptible hexaploid wheat parnets. The BC2F1 was derived similarly following screening the BC₁F₁ generation for RWA biotype 2 resistance.

Ploidy Confirmation

To confirm the ploidy level of the three resistant parents, chromosome counts were done using root tip squashes. Root tips were collected, placed in ice cold water for 24 hours, fixed with 3:1 95% ethanol: glacial acetic acid, and stained in 0.7% acetocarmine solution. The D-genome specific markers Xcfd57 and Xcfd161 were used to amplify DNA extracted from parental tissue of the tetraploid wheat parents.

RWA Screening Procedures

Greenhouse grown seedlings were infested with RWA by placing a piece of leaf tissue with 4-7 aphids at the base of each plant. Leaf rolling scores were assigned on a basis of 1-3, with 1 indicating unfolded leaves, 2 folded leaves, and 3 tightly rolled leaves. Chlorosis scores were assigned on a scale of 1-9 with 1 =no chlorosis and 9 = death of the plant.

Data Analysis

Resistance scores for the F1 were compared using Proc GLM in SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Chi-square analysis was used to determine goodness of fit in segregating generations.

Genotypet	Leaf Chlorosis Rolling t t		Resistant Parent Difference :	Susceptible Parent Difference :	
Len	3.0	7.5			
Coteau	3.0	6.5			
PI 624903	2.0	1.3			
PI 624904	2.0	2.0			
PI 624908	2.0	1.3			
Len/PI 624903	2.0	2.3	0.56	<0.01	
Len/PI 624904	2.0	3.0	0.32	<0.01	
Len/PI 624908	2.0	2.0	0.99	<0.01	
Coteau/PI 624904	2.0	2.0	1.00	<0.01	
Coteau/PI 624908	2.0	1.3	1.00	< 0.01	

Table 1. Russian Wheat Aphid Biotype 2 Resistance Scores of the

Expression of RWA Resistance in a Hexaploid Background

Results and Discussion

Based upon data obtained from F1 pentaploid progeny (Table 1), the level of expression of RWA resistance genes from tetraploid parents are not significantly different when transferred to a hexaploid background. The p-values for differences in expression from the respective resistant parent ranged from 0.32 - 1.00. However, when the progeny were compared to their respective susceptible parent they were all significantly different with p-values of <0.01

Table 2. Russian Wheat Aphid Biotype 2 Resistance in F2's.

	No. o	No. of Plants			X* Values for Expected Ratios		
Genotypet	Resistant	Susceptible	3:1	13:3	15:1		
Coteau/ PI 624904-1	15	6	NS	NS	***		
Coteau/ PI 624904-3	11	4	NS	NS	***		
Coteau/ PI 624904-4	4	8	*	*	***		
Coteau/ PI 624904-5	5	13	***	***	***		
Coteau/ PI 624908-2	13	8	NS		***		
Coteau/ PI 624908 B1	5	5		*	***		
Coteau/ PI 624908 B2	5	8	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624903-1	2	8	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624903-2	1	7	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624903-6	4	18	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624903-7	2	22	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624904-4	6	14	***	***	***		
Len/ PI 624904 B1	4	4	NS	***	***		
Len/ PI 624904 B2	3	4	NS	***	***		
By Parent	State State State	State States	Parks	In the loss	Sal.		
Coteau	58	52			***		
Len	22	77		*	***		
PI 624903	9	55		*	***		
PI 624904	48	53	*	*	***		
PI 624908	23	21			***		

Inheritance of Resistance from Tetraploid Wheat Accessions

Five of the 14 different groups of F₂ plants (Table 2) met the statistical criteria for a 3:1 resistant: susceptible ratio indicative of single gene inheritance. When analyzed for a 13:3 segregation ration, two of the 14 F2 lines met the statistical criteria. However, the data could be confounded due to reproductive barriers caused by uneven chromosome numbers at meiosis. Furthermore, when analyzed by the parents used in the cross, none of the segregation ratios are met. 'Len' and PI 624903 seemed to produce an excess of susceptible progeny where the other parents produced equal numbers of both resistant and susceptible progeny.

Table 3: F	Russian Wheat	Aphid Bi	otype 2	Resistan	ce in BC ₁ F ₁ .
	0	A 201 Salahar A. A.	and the second second	# Destates	4 0

e J.	Genotype:	# Resistant	# Susceptible	P-valuet
Sec. Sec.	Coteau/ PI 624904 B1	7	7	1.00
	Coteau/ PI 624908-1	1	0	0.32
	Len/ PI 624903-1	1	2	0.48
	Len/ PI 624903-2	2	3	0.56
	Len/ PI 624903-3	3	11	0.03
	Len/ PI 624903-4	1	4	0.20
	Len/ PI 624904-1	3	3	1.00
	Len/ PI 624904-2	4	. 8	0.25
all set	By Parent	the states of the		Sec. 1
	Coteau	8	7	0.72
	Len	14	31	0.01
	PI 624903	7	20	0.01
	PI 624904	14	18	0.48
	PI 624908	1	0	0.32

able 4: Russian Wheat Aphid Bioty	pe 2 Resista	nce in BC ₂ F ₁	. Section of the
Genotype:	# Resistant	# Susceptible	P-valuet
Coteau/ PI 624904+	7	8	0.72
Coteau/ PI 624904 B1£	13	18	0.37
Coteau/ PI 624908-1-1	0	2	0.16
Len/ PI 624903-1-1	5	3	0.48
Len/ PI 624903-2 B1€	6	1	0.07
Len/ PI 624903-2-2	2	7	0.11
Len/ PI 624903-2-4	9	4	0.17
Len/ PI 624903-3 B1€	2	3	0.56
Len/ PI 624904-4-1	1	0	0.32
Len/ PI 624904-1 B1£	8	1	0.03
Len/ PI 624904-2 B2£	6	5	0.68
By Parent			
Coteau	20	28	0.25
Len	39	24	0.06
PI 624903	24	18	0.35
PI 624904	35	32	0.70
DI 624009	0	2	0.16

lues are based upon a chi-square test for fit of a 1:1 resistant: susceptible segregation ratio indicating sin and numbers indicate sends taken from basis of a single plant. B numbers indicate bulked consistence Bulked in the BC:F: and the BC:F:

Bulked in the BC:F Bulked in the BC-E

The BC₁F₁ (Table 3) and BC₂F₁ (Table 4) data show a strong indication of single gene inheritance. One The Def Trade of all DCAT (rade +) data shring indication or any gene unremarket. One group of plants of eight and one group of 11 in the BCF: respectively, do not meet the statistical criteria for goodness of fit of a 1:1 resistant: susceptible segregation ratio indicating single gene inheritance. Furthermore, when lines were analyzed by parent, all but two in the BCF: meet the criteria for a goodness of fit for a 1:1 resistant: susceptible ratio. Therefore, RWA resistance in PI 624903, PI 624904, and PI 624908 is most likely inherited as a single major gene

This is different from what is seen in the F2 plants where only a limited number met any goodness of fit for the segregation ratios. This could be attributed to the ability of certain eggs in the F1 pentaploids carrying the A. B. and D-genomes to produce viable seeds when pollinated with the hexaploid recurrent parents.

These findings however only really apply to PI 624903 and PI 624904. In the BC₁F₁ and BC₂F₁, PI 624908 only produced one resistant and two susceptible progeny, respectively. When these data are compared to the F₂ data, no sounds conclusions can be made on the inheritance of RWA Biotype 2 resistance in this accession

The data for PI 624903 differ between the BC₁F₁ and the BC₂F₁. In the BC₁F₁, there are excess susceptible progeny for crosses with PI 624903. This could be due to a failed cross that lead to self-pollination. In the BC₂F₁, crosses with PI 624903 meet the expected 1:1 segregation ratio with a p-value 0.35

Single gene inheritance is strongly indicated for PI 624904 in the F2, BC1F1, and BC2F1. Four of the five groups of F2's that meet the criteria for a 3:1 segregation ratio have PI 624904 as the resistant parent. Groups of plants in the BC F1 with PI 624904 as the parent also meet a 1:1 segregation ratio indicating inheritance of a single dominant gene. In the BC₂F₁, there is a group of plants that do not meet the 1:1 segregation ratio. This group is also a bulked population and when the data is combined and analyzed overall, PI 624904 meets the criteria for single dominant gene inheritance with a p-value of 0.70.

Differences also occurred based on the susceptible parent used in the cross. None of the F2 plants combined to meet any statistical ratio for either 'Len' or 'Coteau'. In the BC/F, and BC/F, crosses with 'Coteau' met a 1:1 segregation ratio with p-values of 0.72 and 0.25, respectively. Crosses with 'Len' produced an excess of susceptible progeny in the BC₁F₁, but met the criteria for a 1:1 ratio in the BC₂F₁ with a p-value of 0.06



Figure 2: A susceptible parent (left) and resistant F1 pentaploid (right)

Conclusions

- 1) Successful transfer of RWA Biotype 2 resistance gene(s) occurred from wild tetraploid wheat accessions to a hexaploid cultivar and it's expression is not significantly different from the resistant parent.
- 2) Resistance is most likely inherited as a single dominant gene in PI 624903 and PI 624904. However, no sound conclusions can be made about inheritance of RWA resistance in PI 624908.

References

aley, S.D., F.B. Peairs, C.B. Walker, J.B. Rudolph, and T.L. Randolph. 2004. Occurrence of a new Russian wheat aphid biotype in Colorado. Crop Sci. 44 1589-1592

rrison, W.P., and F.B., Peairs. 1998. Response model concept and economic impact, p. 1-11, In S. S. Quisenberry and F. B. Peairs, eds. Response model for an introduced pest-The Russian Wheat Aphid. Thomas Say Publications, Lanham, MD.

orter, D.R., C.A. Baker, and M. El-Bouhssini. 2005. Resistance in wheat to a new North American-Russian wheat aphid biotype. Plant Breed. 124:603-604. Vuterka, G.J., J.D. Burd, and R.L. Burton. 1992. Biotypic variation in a worldwide collection of Russian wheat aphid (Homoptera, Aphididae). J. Econ Entomol. 85:1497-1506.

uck, J.S., G.E. Elis, R.M. Normann, J.A. Stromberger, J.F. Shanahan, F.B. Peairs, J.B. Rudolph, and K. Lorenz. 1998. Registration of 'Half' wheat. Crop

etzel, M.B. 1987. Information on and identification of Diaraphis noxis (Homoptera, Aphididae) and other aphid species colonizing leaves of wheat and barley in the United-states. J. Econ. Entomol. 80:696-704.