Phosphorus Phytoavailability as Affected by Application of N-Viro Soil and NureSoil Products to Cropland

Olawale O. Oladeji and Lee W. Jacobs

, by

шg

Bray-P1,

Michigan State University

Abstract

Phytoavailability of P in soils amended with alkalinestabilized biosolids (N-viro soil) and swine manure (NureSoil) were investigated in a three-year field study. East Lansing N-viro soil and alkaline NureSoil were surface applied once to a sandy loam soil at three rates each plus an untreated control. Field corn (Zea mays L.) and sovbeans (Glvcine max L.) were grown for 3 years. Soil CaCl -P and Bray-P1 were reduced with increasing rate of N-viro soil, but NureSoil did not reduce phytoavailable P. Plant P concentrations were similarly reduced at the high rate of N-viro soil but increased by NureSoil as application rates increased. At high rate, Nviro soil with greater equivalent liming load was shown to be a P-sink with reduced P phytoavailability rather than a P-source. The lower liming load of NureSoil caused P phytoavailability to increase with application rate.

Background

Alkaline stabilized biosolids and manure meet the

three main suitability criteria of 40 CFR Part 503

High rate of alkaline stabilized amendments can

Alkaline amendments can precipitate soil P as

(USEPA, 1993), which are pathogen kill, pollutant

metal concentrations, and vector attraction reduction

Increase soil pH above agronomic range (5.8 to 6.2).

calcium phosphates and reduce phytoavailable P.

Methods

- N-viro soil and NureSoil were surface applied to a sandy loam soil (Bray P1 = 550 mg kg⁻¹) once in October, 2001 at three rates each; 18, 130 and 269 Mg ha⁻¹ for N-Viro soil, and 29, 58 and 224 Mg ha⁻¹ for NureSoil. Untreated plots were included as a control.
- The seven treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design and replicated 4 times
- The treatments were disked into the surface 15 cm depth of each plot (9.2 m x 15.2 m).
- Each plot was split into two equal areas and the subplots (4.6 m x 15.2 m) cropped with field corn (*Zea mays* L.) and soybeans (*Glycine max* L.) yearly between 2002 and 2004.
- Grain yields and P concentrations in plant diagnostic leaf, whole plant samples, and grains were determined for each growing season.
- Soil samples taken prior to planting of each growing season were analyzed for pH, Bray-P1, and CaCl₂-P.

Table 1. Nutrient loadings and equivalent lime loadings at the three

rates of N-\	/iro soil a	nd swin	e NureSo	il. Ť			
Parameter	N-V	N-Viro (Mg ha-1)			NureSoil (Mg ha-1)		
	18	130	269	29	58	224	
	kg ha-1						
Total N	93	670	1300	340	660	2600	
NH₄-N	7	52	110	110	210	810	
PAN	27	190	400	78	160	600	
P ₂ O ₅	57	410	860	250	490	1900	
K ₂ O	26	190	390	260	530	2000	
Equiv. lime (Mg ha	¹) 7	50	100	5	11	41	
Lime : P ratio	123	122	116	20	22	22	

Table 2. pH of	· · ·	s taken du	<u> </u>	tudy ling Period	
Material Applied	Rate (Mg ha ⁻¹)	2001†	2002	2003	2004
Control	0	6.5 ns	6.6 ab	6.7 ab	6.7 bc
	18	6.5	6.9 ab	6.8 ab	7.0 ab
N-Viro	130	6.0	7.3 a	7.2 ab	7.3 ab
	269	6.0	7.5 a	7.5 a	7.5 a
	29	6.0	6.1 b	6.4 b	6.3 c
NureSoil	58	6.5	6.8 ab	6.9 ab	6.8 abc
	224	6.4	6.8 ab	7.0 ab	7.0 ab

Objective

The objective of the study was to evaluate P phytoavailability of alkaline stabilized biosolids (N-Viro) and manure (NureSoil)

			■ 130 Mg/ha (N-Viro) ■ 269 Mg/ha (N-Viro)
			■ 269 Mg/ha (NureSoil)
			58 Mg/ha (NureSoil)
1200 J	a		224 Mg/ha (NureSoil)
1000 -	ab		224 Mg/na (NureSoli)
800 -	bc bc		
600 -	c 🚺 🗖 🗮	а	а
	cd	ab	ab
400 -		bc bc	b b
200 -			
200]		: 🗖 d 📜 🚟 🗱	i . 🗖 d 🗖 🔀
0 			
	2002 samples	2003 samples	2004 samples
		Sampling period	
		eamphing period	

0 Mg/ha (Control)

□ 18 Mg/ha (N-Viro)

Figure 1. Bray-P1 in soil samples (0-15 cm) taken during the study. (Treatments within same sampling period with same letter are not different by Tukey's test at 5%)



ure 2. Soluble P (CaCl ₂ -P) in soil samples (0-15 cm) taken during the	study.
(Treatments within same sampling period with same letter are not different by Tukey's test a	ıt 5%)

Table	Phosphorus conc diagnostic sample	entrations (es taken dur	%) in corn ing the st	and soybear	n whole pla	ant
0	Material	Rate		Sampling P	eriod	
Crop	Applied	(Mg ha-1)	2002†	2003	2004	

	wateria	(Mg ha ⁻¹)	Camping Fonda			
Crop	Applied		2002†	2003	2004	
	Control	0	0.54 ns	0.62 a	0.68 ab	
		18	0.55	0.54 ab	0.74 a	
	N-Viro	130	0.52	0.40 bc	0.57 c	
Corn		269	0.51	0.27 c	0.51 c	
		29	0.65	0.57 ab	0.74 a	
	NureSoil	58	0.64	0.57 ab	0.75 a	
		224	0.69	0.53 ab	0.75 a	
	Control	0	0.39 bcd	0.47 ab	0.48 bcd	
		18	0.40 bcd	0.51 ab	0.47 cd	
	N-Viro	130	0.35 c	0.39 bc	0.32 d	
Soybean		269	0.34 d	0.30 c	0.33 d	
		29	0.43 b	0.54 ab	0.64 ab	
	NureSoil	58	0.41 bc	0.53 ab	0.62 abc	
		224	0.52 a	0.60 a	0.69 a	

[†] Treatment means of same sampling period followed by the same letter are not different by Tukey's test at 5%

Results and Discussion

- Both N-viro soil and NureSoil increased soil pH.
- Reduction of soil CaCl₂-P, Bray-P1, and increased pH with increasing rate of N-viro soil suggest precipitation of P as calcium phosphate due to high lime additions.
- Extractable soil P level and plant P concentrations were reduced with increasing rates of N-viro soil, but NureSoil did not reduce phytoavailable P.
- Alkaline stabilized P sources applied to high soil test P can become Psink at high lime additions.

Conclusions

- Alkaline stabilized soil amendments can be used as P-source or P-sink depending on the lime load and P rate.
- Phosphorus phytoavailability increases with increasing rate of alkaline stabilized P-sources with low equivalent liming load such as in NureSoil.
- High application rate of alkaline stabilized P-sources with high equivalent liming load can increase soil pH above 7, precipitate plant available P in the soil and reduce P phytoavailability.

*Treatment means of same sampling period followed by the same letter are not different by Tukey's test at 5%.