NC STATE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT of **SOIL SCIENCE**

Introduction

In-season, site-specific, variable-rate (SS) N management based on remote sensing (RS) via aerial color-infrared (CIR) photography may increase yield and fertilizer-use efficiency, thus improving profitability and groundwater quality. By addressing spatial variability in crop N requirements, SS N management may also reduce spatial variability of yield

Objectives

Evaluate the spatial variability and agronomic consequences of in-season, RS-informed N management applied either on a uniform, field-average (FA) or SS basis, compared to the current uniform N-rate determination based on "Realistic Yield Expectations" (RYE) in a typical two-year southeastern U.S. coastal plain rotation: Year 1: corn (Zea mays L.); Year 2: winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)-double-crop soybear [Glycine max (L.) Merr.]. Understanding the spatial variability of N requirement and resultant yield may provide insights to help improve N management.

Materials & Methods

Experimental Setup

- 12-ha NC field with three soil map units (Fig. 1)
- Two-year corn (year 1)—winter wheat-double crop soybean (year 2) rotation
- Randomized complete block (RCB) design with three N management treatments (Fig. 1 and below) in 10 reps



Sampling well

- Go: Goldsboro loamy sand
- Ly: Lynchburg sandy loam
- No: Norfolk sandy loam

1,2;3 are N treatments: = RYE: 2 = FA: 3 = SS (see below)

Fig. 1. Field layout of the RCB design at the Lower Coastal Plain Tobacco Research Station, Kinston, NC

N Management Treatments

1. "RYE": <u>Uniform</u>, whole-field, Realistic Yield Expectation (RYE) management based on NC database RYE and crop Nuse factor for the predominant soil type (Goldsboro)

2. "FA": Uniform, whole-field N management based on fieldaveraged in-season estimates of optimal N rates determined by aerial color infrared photography (CIR)

3. "SS": Site-specific VR-N management based on in-season estimates of optimal N rates determined by CIR. For SS, each plot was divided into subplots for applying VR-N (Fig.1).

Determination of Crop N Requirement and Grain Yield

- Journal cover \rightarrow)
- 30 (Table 1).
- outliers, plot buffers, and high-N reference strips treatments by crop and year.

CropYr & time of application

Corn02 Starter (early Apr) V2 (late Apr) VT (mid June) Total Wheat03 Starter (Nov 2002) GS-25 (late Feb 2003) GS-30 (early Apr 2003) Total Corn04 Starter (early Apr) V2 (late Apr) VT (late June) Total Wheat05 Starter (Oct 04) GS-25 (late Feb 2005) GS-30 (mid March 2005) Total

+ Weighted average of the v

Geostatistical and Statistical Analyses

- Semivariograms modeled using GS+ v. 7.0

Spatial Variability of Remote Sensing-Informed Variable-Rate and Uniform Nitrogen Management of Wheat and Corn

Jeffrey G. White¹, Carl Crozier¹, Ron Heiniger², Nan Hong³, Ravi Sripada⁴, and Randy Weisz² ¹Dep. of Soil Science – NCSU, ²Dep. of Crop Science – NCSU, ³Monsanto, ⁴Canaan Valley Institute

Corn: at tasseling (VT) based on Relative Green Difference Vegetation Index: (Near-Infrared [NIR] - Green)/(NIR -Green)_{high-N reference} (Sripada et al., 2005) (Table 1 & Agronomy

Wheat: at Zadoks' GS-25, based on tiller density (Flowers et al., 2001) estimated via normalized NIR: NIR/(NIR + Red + Green); at GS-30 based on tissue N estimated (Flowers et al., 2003) using Green Normalized Difference Vegetation Index, (NIR – Green)/(NIR+Green), and an algorithm relating N rate to tissue N (Flowers et al., 2004). Here, we examine only GS-

Crop grain yield estimated via a combine, yield monitor, and differential GPS; yield monitor data "cleaned" to remove

Table 1: Mean N rates and timing for the three N

N treatment (see M&M)							
1 2	2 3						
kg N ha⁻¹							
8 8	8 8						
104 53	3 53						
0 15	57 83† (0 - 157)						
112 21	8 144† (61 - 218)						
34 34	4 34						
0 67	7 67						
119 67	7 65† (45 - 67)						
153 16	$38 166 \pm (146 - 168)$						
100 10							
8 8	8 8						
104 5	3 53						
10 - 30	10, 100 + (15, 191)						
	12 102 (10 - 101)						
112 10	53 163T (76 - 242)						
04 0							
34 34	4 34						
0 0) ()						
118 13	84 119† (101 - 134)						
152 16	68 153† (135 - <u>1</u> 68)						
ariable N rates (range).							

Descriptive statistics and AOV determined using SAS v. 9.1

Nugget-to-sill ratio calculated to indicate strength of spatial correlation: nugget:sill $\leq 25\%$, strongly spatially dependent; $25 < nugget:sill \le 75\%$, moderately spatially dependent; > 75%, weakly spatially dependent (Cambardella et al., 1994)

Descriptive Statistics and Geostatistics

Table 2. Descriptive statistics by crop, year, and treatment (CropYr_Tmt) for: A. CIR-based estimated N requirements for corn at VT and for winter wheat at Zadoks GS-30; and B. resultant grain yield. For treatment details, see Materials and Methods.

	A. CIR	R-base	d N req	Juiremen	B. Grain Yield						
CropYr_Tmt	n	Min.	Max.	Mean	CV	n	Min.	Max.	Mean	CV	
			-kg N ha	a ⁻¹	%		Mg ha ⁻¹				
Corn02_1	2399	0	185	54	127	895	1.5	10.4	5.5b†	25	
Corn02_2	2426	0	185	96	69	816	2.3	10.2	5.7ab	16	
Corn02_3	2458	0	185	84	97	829	3.1	8.7	5.8a	17	
Wheat03_1	2570	47	147	105	15	829	0.7	5.0	2.3b	26	
Wheat03_2	2582	41	121	73	15	838	0.9	7.8	3.3a	24	
Wheat03_3	2583	42	130	74	16	825	0.7	5.3	3.2a	23	
Corn04_1	2566	0	185	65	69	547	4.4	9.6	7.3a	13	
Corn04_2	2577	0	185	86	70	496	4.0	9.7	7.2a	13	
Corn04_3	2584	0	185	77	66	521	5.0	9.8	7.2a	12	
Wheat05_1	2452	109	134	134	2	849	4.2	7.4	5.9a	9	
Wheat05_2	2421	122	134	134	0.5	841	4.2	7.6	5.9a	10	
Wheat05_3	2426	92	134	133	3.6	837	4.3	7.4	5.9a	9	
$\frac{-}{-}$											

within a crup-year with the same letter are not significantly under (u-0.05)

Table 3. Semivariogram model parameters by crop, year, and treatment (CropYr_Tmt) for: A. CIR-based estimated N requirements for corn at VT and for winter wheat at Zadoks GS-30; and B. resultant grain yield. For treatment details, see Materials and Methods.

	A. CIR-based N requirement							A. Grain Yield						
	Nugget:				Nugget									
CropYr_Tmt	Model	Nugget	Sill	Range†	sill	r ²	Model	Nugget	Sill	Range†	sill	r ²		
		kg ² ha ⁻²		m	%			Mg ² ha ⁻²		m	%			
Corn02_1	spherical	150	4869	69	3	0.82	spherical	0.55	2.05	100	27	0.86		
Corn02_2	spherical	610	4792	57	13	0.89	spherical	0.28	0.90	44	31	0.98		
Corn02_3	spherical	560	6216	99	9	0.95	spherical	0.27	0.86	74	32	0.99		
Wheat03_1	spherical	52.9	276	94	19	0.86	spherical	0.15	0.40	153	38	0.91		
Wheat03_2	exponential	26.4	131	69	20	0.98	spherical	0.23	0.64	136	35	0.94		
Wheat03_3	exponential	19.7	149	71	13	0.94	spherical	0.27	0.61	139	44	0.91		
Corn04_1	spherical	723	2163	58	33	0.93	spherical	0.19	0.72	72	27	0.80		
Corn04_2	exponential	740	4028	167	18	0.94	spherical	0.27	0.89	131	30	0.89		
Corn04_3	exponential	1029	2963	199	35	0.98	gaussian	0.35	0.76	177	46	0.83		
Wheat05_1	spherical	0.01	5.7	48	1	0.44	spherical	0.14	0.28	66	50	0.88		
Wheat05_2	spherical	0.072	0.6	48	12	0.48	spherical	0.17	0.46	121	36	0.96		
Wheat05_3	exponential	2.2	40.1	445	4	0.66	spherical	0.14	0.28	<u>5</u> 3	48	0.96		
+ Effective range														



Sripada, R., R.W. Heiniger, J.G. White, and R. Weisz. 2005. Aerial color infrared photography for determining late-season nitrogen requirements in corn. Agron. J. 95:1443-1451

CIR-Based N Requirement:

Means and CV similar among treatments within crop-years (Table 1), except for:

- and denitrification
- GS-25 to promote tillering
- CV for Wheat < Corn. Corn at VT may have greater potential for spatially variable N</p> management compared to wheat at GS-30 in similar coastal plain environments.
- Wheat05: No GS-25 N was applied due to adequate tiller numbers, and the field had exceptionally uniform high N requirement, which translated to uniform and high yield.
- Strong spatial dependence (Table 3) for all crops & treatments except Corn04 Tmts 1 & 3, which had moderate spatial dependence
- Spatial correlation range similar to size of experimental plots (61 X 61 m), except for Corn04 Tmt 2 & 3, and Wheat05 Tmt3, where it was greater (167 – 445 m)

Grain Yield

- CV ranged from 9 to 26% (Table 1)

- was effective for corn in 2002 and wheat in 2003.
- 58, 1501–1511.
- nitrogen application decisions. Agron. J. 93:783-789.
- agronomic and groundwater outcomes. Agron. J. 98:327–338.



Results & Discussion

>1) Corn02 Tmt1<Tmt3<Tmt2: Tmt 1 received N at V2, reducing N need at VT. High variability may reflect spatial variability in fertilizer N-use efficiency due to leaching

2) Wheat03 Tmt 1, which needed more N than Tmt 2 & 3, which had received N at

Excepting Wheat03, there was little or no difference in mean yield among treatments

CV similar among treatments within crop-years except Corn02 where CV Tmt1>Tmt 2 & 3. Consistent with the high CV of N requirement of Tmt 1 and the fact that Tmt 2 & 3 received N at VT, reducing yield variability due to spatially variable N needs

Moderate spatial dependence (Table 1): nugget:sill = 27 to 50%. Nugget-to-sill ratios sometimes appeared to be affected by treatment, but with no consistent trend.

Spatial correlation ranges varied from 44 to 176 m and appeared to be affected by treatment, but with no consistent treatment trends within crops or among years.

Despite substantial spatial variability in CIR-based estimates of N requirement in some years, site-specific N management had little effect on yield. In-season N management

Literature Cited

Cambardella, C.A., T.B. Moorman, J.M. Novak, T.B. Parkin, D.L. Karlen, R.F. Turco, A.E. Konopka.1994. Field-scale variability of soil properties in Central Iowa soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.

Flowers, M., R. Weisz, and R. Heiniger. 2001. Remote sensing of winter wheat tiller density for early

Flowers, M., R. Weisz, and R. Heiniger. 2003. Quantitative approaches for using color infrared photography for assessing in-season nitrogen status in winter wheat. Agron. J. 95:1189–1200.

Hong, N., J.G. White, R. Weisz, C.R. Crozier, M.L. Gumpertz, and D.K. Cassel. 2006. Remote sensinginformed, in-season, site-specific, variable-rate nitrogen management of wheat and corn:

Sripada, R., R.W. Heiniger, J.G. White, and R. Weisz. 2005. Aerial color infrared photography for determining late-season nitrogen requirements in corn. Agron. J. 95:1443-1451.

Supported in part by USDA-IFAFS grant # 00-52103-9644.