

Flooded rice yield response to phosphorus fertilizer application

M.D. Ruark, B.A. Linguist, and C. van Kessel

Department of Plant Sciences, University of California-Davis



Introduction

- Straw management for California rice has changed dramatically over the past decade.
- Burning rice straw is no longer the common management practice; growers typically incorporate their straw after harvest and flood the field over winter to enhance decomposition.
- Little is known regarding how this change in straw management has affected soil fertility and phosphorus (P) availability.
- Current P fertilizer recommendations for California rice were developed for burned systems.

Objectives

- Determine the yield response to P fertilizer application for rice in soils throughout the Sacramento Valley.
- Evaluate the critical soil P value for rice in California.

Materials and Methods

- Twenty arower fields (5 in 2005, 15 in 2006)
- Two treatments: +0 kg-P ha⁻¹ and +40 kg-P ha⁻¹
- Randomized complete block design, 3 replications, plot size (2.2 x 3.3 m)
- Applied 40 kg-N har1 and 50 kg-K har1; growers applied aquaammonium to all sites

Soils collected in the spring, prior to fertilization.

Table 1. Straw	management	soil charac	teristics and	early sea	son viaor
response to P	fertilization.				

	Straw							Early season
Site	Management	Year	pH	Olsen-P	Bray-P	OM	CEC	response?
				mg l	(g ⁻¹	%	meq/100g	
1	Burn	2006	5.1	5	2	1.8	48	Y
2	Burn	2006	6.4	9	4	1.9	50	N
3	Burn	2006	7.3	14	18	1.5	45	N
4	Burn	2006	4.7	10	2	1.8	44	Ŷ
5	Burn	2006	5.0	11	5	1.1	40	Y
6	Burn	2006	5.4	6	3	1.7	53	N
7	Burn	2005	6.3	4	2	2.5		N
8	Incorp	2006	5.3	2	1	1.7	50	Y
9	Incorp	2006	6.3	5	2	2.4	51	N
10	Incorp	2006	4.5	. 15	2	3.7	24	N
11	Incorp	2006	5.7	4	1	1.6	31	Y
12	Incorp	2006	5.4	7	2	1.5	30	N
13	Incorp	2006	6.5	25	29	1.4	50	N
14	Incorp	2006	6.1	32	30	1.4	46	N
15	Incorp	2006	5.3	12	1	1.7	16	N
16	Incorp	2006	5.9	5	2	1.4	47	N
17	Incorp	2005	5.2		5	2.2		N
18	Incorp	2005	5.7	4	3	2,3		Ŷ
19	Incorp	2005	5.5	5	1	3.9		N
20	Incorp	2005	5.2	19	3	1.9		N



The critical value for soil P is 6 mg kg-1 based on the Olsen-P soil test. Application of P fertilizer is recommended when soil P levels are below the critical level.

itical Valu

.

10

16 20 25

15 20

ma-P ka

(Mg ha⁻¹)

0.00

Olsen-P

30

25

Bray-P

<u>'</u>ש

ğ



- There was a significant effect (α<0.05) of P application on vield among all field sites, however the overall increase was small.
- There was a significant effect (α<0.05) of P application on yield at two sites (#3 and #18); both effects were negative (+40 kg-P ha-1 had lower vields than +0 kg-P ha-1).

Olsen-P

Brav-P

25 30

15 20

mg-P kg

Results – Effect of P fertilization



- Above ground biomass (AGB) was determined only in 2006.
- There was no significant effect (α<0.05) of P application on AGB among all sites.
- There was no significant effect (q<0.05) of P application on AGB within any site.



- The Olsen-P soil test does not appear to be a reliable indicator of P fertilizer need.
- The Bray-P soil test also does not appear to be a reliable indicator of P fertilizer need.
- There was an early season vigor effect from P fertilizer application at some sites (Table 1).
- These sites did not show a yield effect from P fertilizer application, but typically occurred on soils with Olsen-P values <6 mg kg⁻¹.

cdfa

Conclusions

- A consistent response in rice yield or rice biomass to P-fertilization was not determined.
- Standard P soil tests (Olsen-P. Brav-P) did not appear to be reliable indicators of P fertilizer need.
- A re-evaluation of the current P fertilizer recommendations is warranted. This includes the critical soil P value and the soil P test on which it is based on.
- P fertilizer recommendations may need to consider available organic P as well as soil parameters that can affect P availability (e.g. pH, OM, CEC).

Funding provided by: The California Department of Food and Agriculture Fertilizer Research and Education Program

Results – Evaluating the Critical P Value