

acement

0

Zer

ertilli

101

am

പ

**O** 

10

0

പ

Respons

**Vield** 

Com

# Effects of Tillage and Fertilizer Placement on Corn Yield in Texas Blackland Soils

Coker, D.L., McFarland, M.L., Abrameit, A., and Mazac Jr., F.J. Texas Cooperative Extension, Texas A&M University

Abstract

Under dry conditions common to Central Texas, road activity of corn in the upper few ran of soil may be extremely limited thus impeding acquisition of immobilion nutrients such as 12. Deep placement of fertilizer P to enhance corn yield was evaluated in reduced and conventional tillage systems. During the 2001 growing season, cotton was planted on the outper step in a big of the 2002 growing season, cotton was planted on the outper step in an of the 2002, 2003, and 2004 on or other sites and in 2005 and 2006 on the other site in an design was a randomized complete Tbock with experimential units replicated three times.

Conventional, strp, and no till illiga treatments represented main plats, which were spill into 8mor sub plots with fortilizer placed at either 5 or 16 no blow the soil surface with a killer-type applicator. Soil samples collected across sites and years from plots under conventional illige with shallow fortilizer placement showed that P was stratified in the surface 32 nm. Interactive effects of illigge and fertilizer placement on corn yields were not found in the current studies interspective of error portation. For the octors-corn rotation, illigge hand no effect on corn yield during 2002 or 2004. Desper fertilizer placement during placement die hot statistically affect yield. horement, interased peech of tilligge increased corn yields in 2003 and 2002, a noticeably dry horement, increased peech of tilligge increased corn yields in 2003 and 2004. Desp placement of horement ye beneficial to enance positional availability in diversaon.

#### Introduction

Interest in reduced tillage is increasing due to potential economic and environmental benefits. Reduced tillage systems have been shown to conserve additional motificar form rainfail and/or supplemental irrigation during the season (Zbliske et al., 2002). Phosphorous (P) uptake by pearl millet was limited by low soil moisture (Payne, et al., 1995). Thus, the yeld of millet could potentially show a better response to added P fertility under reduced tillage as compared to conventional tillage.

Studies have indicated that proper placement of nutrients particularly non-mobile elements such as phosphorous and zinc is of paramount importance in reduced tillage systems (Schwab et al. 2006). According to Bauer et al. (2002), use of conservation tillage systems (Schwab et al. 2006). According to Bauer et al. (2002), use of conservation tillage systems (Schwab et al. 2006). The system of the set of the systems in the sufface in non-tillage cultures (tal. 2007). The systems of no-tillage systems (Sherce et al., 1946), use generally higher in the surface layers of no-tillage systems (Rence et al., 1946), using compared et al. (1968) showed conventional tillage sols.

As reduced tillage systems become more widely utilized, the importance of understanding the advantages and limitations of this management practice under varied environmental conditions and cropping systems becomes greater (Zbliske et al., 2002). Therefore, additional information was needed about the relationship of corn yield to phosphorous placement and tillage management in an area representative of the Blackand soils located in Central Texas (Fig. 1).



## Objectives

To determine the effect of tillage and fertilizer placement on corn yield under two different rotation schemes. In the cotton-corn rotation (location 1), corn yield showed no significant tillage by fertilizer placement

#### Materials and Methods

In 2001; cotton was planted into a Burleson clay at two adjacent sites on the Silles Farm Foundation in Williamson County. Texas. For the next three seasons, corn would alternate with cotton or continuous corn would be planted (Table 1). The experimental design of this study was a randomized complete block with experimental units (treatments) replicated three times. Consideration about the significance of treatment interactions was determined by ANOVA F test.

Table 1. Crop rotation sequence for study sites at the Stiles Farm Foundation, Williamson County, TX.						
Location	2001	2002	2003	2004		
1	Cotton	Corn	Cotton	Corn		
2	Cotton	Corn	Corn	Corn		

For each location, three methods of tillage (conventional, strip and no till) represented main plots (primary treatment factor), which were split into 8-row subplots. Sub plots (secondary treatment factor) consisted of liquid phosphorous fartilizer placement at either 5 or 15 cm below the soil surface with a knife-type apolicator (Platei 1). Individual olots were 122 or in lenoth and 7.7 m vide.





Applications of nitrogen, phosphorous, and potassium fertilizers were made to all plots based on soil test results from pre-seasons amples collected at depths of 10 to 7.6 m and 7.4 to 23 cm. These preseason soil samples were collected from conventional illuer main plots where previous P fertilizer tad been applied at the 5 cm depth. At location 1, preseason soil P levels from 0 to 7.6, cm were 32 (moderate) 24 (moderate) and 44 (high) kg har in 2002 and 2004, respectively. At location 2, preseason soil P levels from 7.6 to 23 cm were 13.4 (low), 9 and 29 kg har in 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Previsaons oil P levels from 7.6 to 2.3 cm were 13.4 (low), 9 and 29 kg har in 2002, 2003 and 2004, respectively. Corn was harvested at both locations 1002 by hand pulling ears from an area 3 m length by four rows (total 3.85 m width), then grain weights were determined by hand threaking the samples. In 2003 and combine tho a truck from cach whole-loot and weighting the truck. **Results & Discussion** 

In the cotton-corn rotation (location 1), corn yield showed no significant tillage by fertilizer placement interactions during 2002 or 2004. Tillage had no significant impact on grain yield from the cotton-corn rotation in 2002(Fig. 2a). However, placement of fertilizer at the 15 cm depth increased grain yield compared to the 5 cm placement (Fig. 2b) and is similar to a report by Schwab et al. (2006). This outcome may have been due in part to dry conditions during critical parts of the growing season whereby, com nor development was promoted at greater depths as well as Paculation. In 2004, Illage and com nor preserved above normal rainfili during 2004. Likely, dry conditions and build and condend during and the season during and the season during the season during the 2003 contributed to the lack of fertilizer placement effect on grain yield in 2004.



Fig. 2a-e. Effect of conventional tillage (CT), strip tillage (ST), no tillage (NT) and fertilizer placement on yield of corn grown in a cotton-corn rotation during 2002 (a and b) and 2004 (c and d) near Thrall, Texas. Different letters indicate that treatment means were different according to 150 (PS0.05)

Preseason soil samples collected at the continuous com rotation site (braction 2) indicated P stratification beginning with the 2002 season and continuing during 2003 and 2004. Corn yields showed no significant tillage by Gritliver placement interactions during 2002, 2003 or 2004. Tillage had no significant impact on grain yield at this site in 2002 (Table 2). Placement of fertilizer at the 15 cm depth showed a 15 percent increase in grain yield compared to the 5 cm placement atthough the difference wasn't significant.

Table 2. Effects of tillage and fertilizer placement on yield of corn grown in a continuous corn rotation, Thrall, Texas. 2002.

	Depth of Fertilizer Placement			
Tillage System	5 cm	15 cm	x	
	kg/ha			
Conventional	2390	3117	2753 a†	
Strip Till	2697	2835	2766 a	
No Till	2515	2816	2666 a	
x	2534 a‡	2923 a	-8	

<sup>1</sup> Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05).
<sup>2</sup> Within rows, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05).
<sup>8</sup> Not a treament comparison.
<sup>9</sup>

In 2003, conventional till yielded 94 and 15.9 percent more grain compared to strip till and no till treatments, respectively (Taleia). Depth of fortillær placement had no significant impact on grain yielde In 2004, conventional till yielded 4 percent more grain compared to no till and the difference was compared to that from then otil it treatment. These results contrast with hose of studies conducted on ligher-textured solis but agree with Zleitske et al. (2002) about crop response to tillage on clay solis. There was no effect on grain yield by varing the depth of P placement was easiers, incrementally compared to that of placement was loss lists with a prevailed in the two previous seasons, incrementally increased depth of p placement was loss lisks link of a scompared to 2002. The solid response to 2007. Table 3. Effects of tillage system and fertilizer placement on yield of corn grown in a continuous corn rotation. Thrail Texas. 2003

	Depth of Fertilizer Placement				
Tillage System	5 cm	15 cm	x		
		kg/ha			
Conventional	3794	3907	3851 a <sup>†</sup>		
Strip Till	3550	3481	3519 b		
No Till	3330	3318	3324 b		
Ŧ	3556 a <sup>‡</sup>	3569 a	ف ا		

<sup>1</sup> Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05). <sup>1</sup> Within rows, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05). <sup>1</sup> Not a treament composition.

Table 4. Effects of tillage and fertilizer placement on yield of corn grown in a continuous corn rotation, Thrall, Texas, 2004.

	Depth of Fertilizer Placement			
Tillage System	5 cm	15 cm	x	
	kg/ha			
Conventional	9596	9540	9571 a <sup>†</sup>	
Strip Till	9703	9615	9659 a	
No Till	9126	9276	9201 b	
x	9477 a‡	9477 a	-8	

<sup>1</sup> Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05).
<sup>2</sup> Within rows, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to LSD (Ps0.05).
<sup>3</sup> Wolt at treament comparison.

#### Summary

Deep placement of P fertilizer for corn grown in the Blacklands of central Texas increased yield in a dry season by enhanced positional availability of nutrients, irrespective of tillage.

 With continuous corn or corn rotated with cotton, phosphorous stratification was evident within the top 23 cm of a Blackland soil, even under conventional tillage.

Corn yield under strip tillage was not statistically different from conventional tillage in four out of five years under continuous corn or a cotton-corn rotation.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors recognize the contributions of Frank Mazac, Jr. in plot establishment, data collection and data analysis.

### References

Bauer, P.J., J.R. Frederick, and W.J. Busscher. 2002. Tillage effect on nutrient stratification in narrow- and wide-row cropping systems. Soil Till. Res. 66:175-182.

Dick, W.A. 1983. Organic carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorous concentrations and pH in soil profiles as affected by tillage intensity. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 47:102-107.

Lal, R., 1976. No-tillage effects on soil properties under different crops in western Nigeria. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 40:762-768.

Payne, W.A., L.R. Hossner, A.B. Onken, and C.W. Wendt. 1995. Nitrogen and phosphorous uptake in pearl millet and its relation to nutrient and transpiration efficiency. Agron. J. 87:425-431.

Pierce, F.J., M.C. Fortin, and M.J. Staton. 1994. Periodic plowing effects on soil properties in a no-till farming system. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 58:1782-1787.

Schwab, G.J., D.A. Whitney, G.L. Kilgore, and D.W. Sweeney. 2006. Tillage and phosphorous management effects on crop production in soils with phosphorous stratification. Agron. J. 98:430-435.

Weil, R.R., P.W. Benedetto, L.J. Sikora, and V.A. Bandel. 1988. Influence of tillage practices on phosphorous distribution and forms in three Ultisols. Agron. J. 80:503-509.

Zibliske, L.M., J.M. Bradford, and J.R. Smart. 2002. Conservation tillage induce changes in organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorous in a semi-arid alkaline subtropical soil. Soil Till. Res. 66:153-163.