

α- and β-glucosidase Activities of an Ultisol: Effect of Tillage, Residue Management, and Various Nitrogen Sources Priscilla M. Mfombep^{1,2}, Zachary N. Senwo¹, Robert W. Taylor¹ and Elica M. Moss¹ (1) Natural Resources & Environmental Sciences, Alabama A&M University, Normal, AL 35762. (2) Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan KS, 66506.

Introduction

Soil is important in agriculture and for the maintenance of global environmental quality because minor alterations in the soil-storedelements such as carbon significantly influence global processes. Agricultural practices that require soil tillage, residue management, and various nitrogen sources result in soil changes which need to be monitored. Glycosidases such as α - and β -glucosidases, degrade low molecular weight carbohydrates to release sugars for soil microbial function and are sensitive to soil changes. When assessed alongside other soil properties, α - and β -glucosidases can be useful indices of soil changes.

Objective

Evaluate the effect of various tillage, residue management, and nitrogen sources on α - and β -glucosidase activities of a Decatur silt loam soil (Ultisol).

Materials and Methods

The treatments included various combinations of tillage systems (conventional till, mulch till, and no-till), cropping systems and management practices [cotton in summer and rye (Secale cereale L.) cover crop in winter], and nitrogen sources [ammonium nitrate (0 and 100 kg N ha⁻¹) and poultry litter (0, 100, and 200 kg N ha⁻¹)]. Soil properties measured included pH, moisture, bulk density (BD), soil organic carbon (SOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), microbial biomass carbon (MBC), potential carbon mineralization (PCM), total nitrogen (TN), particulate organic nitrogen (PON), and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN).



Fig. 4.2 Effect of soil tillage and residue managemen practices on soil β -glucosidase activity.

Table 1. Correlation coefficient between soil α - and β -glucosidation Moisture pH BD SOC PNP Gk 0.746*** PMP0.156NS 0.021N S Moistre 0.215NS 0.248NS 0.118N S 0.143NS -0.121NS 0.030NS -0.016NS BD 0.754 ^{Holk Holk} 0.168NS 0.1 B N S 0.106NS SOC 0.628### -0 54 S**** -0.191NS -0.105NS MBC - 0,712 ^{#####} -0.382******* -0.17 INS 0.579 **** 0.027NS 0.651#### 0.136 NS POC 0.066NS - 0.736### 0.205N S -0.047NS 0.490 #### 0.155NS 0.156NS 0.013NS MBN PCM -0.302* -0.495++++ 0.093NS -0.173NS -0.048NS -0,483#### -0.054NS 0.0.145NS -0.178NS 0.595*** 0.591#### 0.056NS 0.270NS 0.487 #### 0.217NS 0.187NS PON 0.003NS

GIC, (GIUCOSE IN SOII α-GIUCOSIGASE ASSAY); FINF, (*p*-nitrophenol in soil p-giucosidase assay); BD, (buik density); SOC, (soil organic carbon); MBC, (microbial biomass carbon); TC, (total carbon); POC, (particulate organic Carbon); MBN, (microbial biomass nitrogen) PCM, (potential carbon mineralization); TN, (total nitrogen); PON, (particulate organic nitrogen); NS, (Not significant). b *, **, ***, significant at $P \le 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001; respectively.

Results

	CTR= Conventional-till, Rye cropping CTAN= Conventional-till, Ammonium Nitrate NTAN= No-till, Ammonium Nitrate	(250 - 4 p	
- 60	CTRAN = Conventional-till, Rye, Ammonium Nitrate CTRP= Conventional-till, Rye, 100 kg N ha-1 from	ose released	- C
- 40	Poultry Litter MTRAN= Mulch-till, Rye, Ammonium Nitrate MTRP= Mulch-till, Rye,100 kg N ha-1 from Poultry	activity (ug glucose 00 12	
- 20	Litter NTRAN= No-till, Rye,100 kg N ha-1 from Ammonium Nitrate		-
	NTRP= No-till, Rye,100 kg N ha-1 from Poultry Litter NT=No-till, Cotton-winter fallow	Soil a-glucosidase	-
0	NTRPP=No-till, Rye cropping, 200 kg N ha-1 form poultry Litter BF=Bare Fallow-no crop planted	ິ ຮ	CTP C
nt	Bars within graphs with the same letters are not Significantly different.	u u	g. 1. actice
ase activ	vities and soil physical chemical properties.		

MBC	POC	MEN	PCM	TN
-0.516###				
-0.204NS	0337*			
0 394 +++	-0.313*	-0249NS*		
-0.210NS	0.4 82 Hotok	-0.067NS	-0.447 Holok	
-0.309*	0.169NS	0.322**	0.056NS	-0.116NS

The results showed that treatments which received higher N-fertilization exhibited higher α - and β glucosidase activities (Figs 1 and 2), SOC, and MBN, but less PCM. \Rightarrow Both α - and β -glucosidase activities were

significantly inter-correlated and were also correlated with SOC, MBC, POC, PCM, and TN (Table 1). The highest SOC were recorded in the fertilized rye treatments regardless of whether it was conventionally tilled, no-tilled, poultry litter or ammonium nitrate fertilized.

Funds for this work came from the School of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, through the USDA-Evans-Allen grant # ALAX-011-306 and USDA capacity grant # ALAX-011-202.





Summary

es on soil α -glucosidase activity.

Acknowledgments