

Soil microbial community response to climate change: Results from a temperate **Kentucky** pasture

Lindsey Slaughter^{1*}; Michael Weintraub²; Rebecca McCulley¹



Introduction:

Climate change is likely to alter plant-soil interactions that govern nutrient dynamics and thereb dictate the quantity and quality of food crops and forage produced on agricultural lands.

Soil microorganisms mediate decomposition of organic matter and nutrient availability for plants, and are influenced by climate change factors such as temperature and moisture regimes. However, understanding how soil microbial communities will respond to climate change is often complicated by the fact that these organisms are also sensitive to seasonal changes in temperature, water availability, and plant community composition and activity. Therefore, soil microbial responses to climate change may vary depending on the season in which the responses are measured.

Objective:

To quantify seasonal responses of soil microbes to the climate change factors of increased temperature (+3°C) and precipitation (+30% of the long-term mean annual).

Hypotheses:

- Seasonal variability will be observed in microbial activity and community composition. The effects of added heat and precipitation on microbial community composition and activity will be additive.
- Warming and increased precipitation will produce more dramatic effects on microbes in the season where these factors are most limiting, e.g., winter and spring for added heat treatment; summer for added precipitation.

Plant-Soil Interaction

ي چيچ



Study Site

A hav-managed temperate grassland in Lexington, Kentucky, that contains 20, three meter diameter plots, five replicates of four climate treatments.

1. Heated (+3°C day and night, year-round), +Heat

 Precipitation increase (+30% of long-term mean annual applied during the growing season), +Precip 3. Heat and Precipitation increase, combined , +Heat+Precip 4. Control, untreated/ambient, Contro

Dominant forage species include: Tall Fescue, Kentucky Bluegrass, Bermudagrass, and Crabgrass

Methods:

Soil Collection Dates: Spring—May 2011; Summer—July 2011; Fall—October 2011; Winter—February 2012

Soil Microbial Community Biomass and Structure •Chloroform Fumigation Extraction (CFE) (Brookes et al., 1985; Scott-Denton et al., 2006) •Phospholipid Fatty Acid Analysis (PLFA) (Findlay and Dobbs, 1993).

Soil Microbial Community Function

•Extracellular Enzyme Activity (Saiva-Cork et al., 2002; Weintraub et al., 2007). Carbon Mineralization Assay (CMA) (Fierer et al., 2003: Jobal et al., 2012).

 Catabolic Response Profiles (CRP) (Degens and Harris, 1997; Degens and Vojovodic, 1999). Statistical Analysis, SAS 9.3

·Mixed effects linear model procedure (Proc Mixed) with a factorial, repeated measures design •Main effects = Season, Treatment; Repeated measures = treatment within blocks



Figure 1: Daily soil temperature (*C) and soil volumetric water content (% VWC) averaged across the month preceding nal soil sampling for each treatment. The main effect of season represented by capital letters (P < 0.05), and within a season, the effects of treatment are indicated by small letters (P < 0.05).



Seasonal Effects

Seasonal patterns of substrate induced respiration were dependent on the substrate utilized (Figure 5).

Figure 6: Significant climate treatment effects for microbial

biomass from CFE (above), catabolic responses to sucrose

addition (lower left) and to cellulose addition (lower right).

Climate Treatment Effects

cellulose.

sucrose

(Figure 4).





Figure 7: Carbon Mineralization Assay (CMA) results showing the seasona effects and treatment effects within season. Although a significant treatment x season interaction was found, for ease of interpretation, the main effect of season is represented by capital letters, and within a season, the effect of treatment is indicated by small letters (P < 0.05).

A significant season x treatment interaction appeared to be driven by +Heat effect in Winter.



develop over time. Resilient: Resumed normal function after initial perturbation due to climate treatments



Conclusions:

this study.

- Strong seasonal variability across all parameters was observed.
- Surprisingly, few significant main effects of climate treatments were measured, and those that were did not demonstrate additive effects of increased heat and precipitation.
- Contrary to our hypothesis, the effects of climate treatments largely did not vary by season.
- The soil microbial community of this temperate Kentucky pasture was influenced more by seasonal variation than by continuous increases in heat and precipitation.
- This system may not be significantly altered by future changes in climate.

kes. P. C., A. Landman, G. Pruden, and D. S. Jenkinson, 1985, Chl release of soil nitrogen: a rapid direct extraction m er, N., A. S. Allen, J. P. Schimel, and P. A. Holden. 2003. al, J., J. A. Siegrist, J. A. Nelson, and R. L. McCulley. 2012. Fu augh, and D. R. Zak. 2002. The effects o

effer, M., and S. R. Carpenter. 2003. Cata

traub. M. N. L. E. Scott-Denton, S. K. Schmidt, and R. K. Monson, 2007. The effects of tree

Informagements. Is project was supported by UK's College of Agriculture's Research Office and external inding from US Dept of Energy award 08-5C-MUCCR-1073 to RUM. Lindsey Slaughter was popted by the Lyman T. Johnson Fellowship from the UK Graduate School. Special thank Dr. Michael Weintraub, University of Toledo, for enzyme analysis and training.

Contact Information

Lindsey Slaughter University of Kentucky, PSS Ag. Science Bidg. North Office N-222N 1100 Nicholasville Road dington, KY 40546-

email: line

ersity of Kentucky, Department of Plant and Soil Sciences ersity of Toledo, Department of Environmental Sciences