# GEOSPATIAL MAPPING OF VINEYARD SOILS VIA ELECTROMAGNETIC INDUCTION

#### Introduction:

Due to the unique morphology of the grapevine root, deep soils are of notable significance for successful viticultre. Additionally, the high disease susceptibility of *Vitis vinifera* calls for well-drained soils for high quality winegrape production. Due to the effects of soil nutrients upon vine vigor and berry maturation, a wellbalanced fertility program is essential.

Initiation of vineyard development requires intensive soil mapping in order to detect the presence or absence of restrictive horizons (notably fragipan, duripan, argille horizon, cementation), permeability, redoximorphic features, depth to bedrock or paralithic bedrock, "perched" horizon interface, penetration resistance, effective rooting depth, vertical tillage depth, and other pedotransfer functions such as texture, structure, and rock content by depth, which can be used to calculate available water capacity.

By marrying technologies such as GIS and pedology, greater reproducible accuracy can be attained in less time. The ability to create a computer-generated geospatial subsurface map would be extremely conducive for the exploration and computation of pedology analysis for vineyard development. This would provide the viticultures a method to mollify disparity within each vineyard block. Creater uniformity is desirable in viticulture because winemaking often depends largely upon the weather and the limiting factor during harvest is time. Therefore the nature of winemaking calls for a wine to be made in bulk, and too much variability in quality would result in lesser quality wine. Hence, vineyard blocks are usually harvested in total.

Electromagnetic induction (EMI) transmits a primary magnetic field at preselected frequencies to induce an electric current into a given pedon, polypedon, or solum. Depending upon the mineralogy of the soil solid, as well as the ionic strength of the soil solution and a host of other factors (such as water, clay, and nutrient content) – all of which effect soil electrical conductivity (EC) – a secondary magnetic field is created. The values generated by the particular pedon are quantifiable by EC units (dS/m), and can be heuristically stored in a compatible field computer. A geospatial map of the field site can be generated with compatible software, by correlating disparities in the soil's apparent electrical conductivity (EC<sub>4</sub>), within a test area (Doolitte et al., 1995). Soil salinity is not a major contributor to EC<sub>4</sub> readings in a udic regime (Anderson-Cook et al., 2002).

# Ceospatial m

Geospatial mapping via EMI can save time and money for soil mapping. EC is affected by soil depth, clay mineralogy, soil water, salinity, rock content (Suddult et al., 2001). Doolittle et al. (1994), used electromagnetic induction to map clay pan soils. Analysis of a geospatial map of EC<sub>0</sub>, can serve as a reconnaissance tool in order to economically position soil profiles. By correlating the overt trends of the field's EC<sub>0</sub> values with the results of pedology analysis, a model of variance can be inferred in a time-efficient and cost-effective manner. Areas of interest can be further analyzed with pedology, EMI, and ground penetrating radar (GPR).



Fig. 4a



⁼ig	•	3	а

0.003 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.006 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.003 0.005 0.003 0.005 0.005 0.006 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.006 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.004 0.0050 0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.00
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.009
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0 -304 -129 309 605 582 333 224 347 552 505 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 99 11.2 16.8 22.4 28 33.6 39.2 44.8 50.4 56 61.6 67.2 72.8 78.4 89.6 95.2 100.8 314 152 279 476 539 384 286 373 534 56 61.6 67.2 72.8 78.4 84 89.6 95.2 100.8 106.4 106.4 112 117.6 123.2 128.8 134.4 140 145.6 112 117.6 123.2 128.8 134.4 140 145.6 161.2 375 255 325 492 628 613 473 -299 -278 -84 100 -14

# Fig. 3b



# By JAMES FISHER, SOIL SOLUTIONS LLC

# Fig. 1a, 1000 Hz

## Fig. 1b, 15,000 Hz



Reconnaissance studies were conducted with an EM-400 Profiler (GSSI, New Hampshire) in concert with a Trimble field computer (figure 4b), by walking a grid with the device held at a constant pre-ordained height from the soil surface (figure 4a). Field site OSV was 2 hectares (3 acres). Grid rows were staked every 3.04 meters (10 ft), oriented on the Y-axis, and extended 152 meters (500 ft). GPS can also be tracked, and synchronized with EC<sub>6</sub> (See figure 3b).

After completing data acquisition, the data is transferred from the field computer to a laptop computer and manipulated with MagMapper software to create a dat.file (figures 3a, 3b), which is exported as a grd.file into Surfer 8.0 software to create the map at upper right (figures 1a, 1b). A northwest-to-southeast transect was established to represent disparity in EC<sub>a</sub>. Soil profiles were dug in pedons, according to associative EC<sub>a</sub> values. Pedology analysis was conducted in the field following the conventions of the Soil Survey Staff (1993).

Soil water greatly influences soil EC, therefore volumetric water content is recorded (OSV VWC<sup>=</sup> 18%) at every site before mapping EC<sub>a</sub>, via timedomain reflectometry (TDR). The infiltrating saturation front initiates deprotonation thereby increasing the ionic strength of the soil solution. The penetrating front is supersaturated. Consistence was the goal for defining suitable conditions. Due to high frequency of rain in 2009, all mapping was performed at field capacity.

Particle size distribution and inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP) were conducted by Logan Labs LLC, Lakeview, OH.



#### Field site characteristics and pedology:

The solum of the proposed vineyard resides within the Great Valley Section of the Ridge & Valley Physiographic Province. The soils represent the summit, shoulder, and backslope of the hill, at an elevation of 700 ft. MSL, at 40° North latitude, on a southern aspect at 154° kinear-toinear, to convex-at Profiles  $^{+1}$  k  $^{-2}$ , linear-convex at Profiles 3 & 4, linear-linear at the northeastern corner, and a slight depression at the southeastern corner (see figure 2).

The deep, friable, very well-drained, slightly acid, moderately permeable soils which reside on the shoulder and convex backslope of the hill varied drastically from the soils of Profile 3, which were very shallow with bedrock at 21 inches. The question to raise is the location of soils similar to Profile 3. The geospatial mapping can provide clues to locate these outliers. The agricultural history of the soils is conventional tillage, with an Ap horizon to 10 inches. Currently, corn (Zea maiz) is being farmed, with weed patches of common burdock (Arctium minus), leafy spurge (Euphorbia esula), and Canadian thistle (Cirsium arvense).

Surface soils were dark brown (7.5YR 3/4) to brown (10YR 4/3), granular crumb to weak coarse subangular blocky, very friable with 15% channery/5% gravelly silt loams, underlain by strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) to yellowish brown (10YR 5/6), strong medium subangular blocky, frinkble, very sticky, very plastic, 20% channery/10% stony silty (clay loams. Subsoils were reddish yellow (5YR 6/6) to pale brown (10YR 6/3), massive, firm to hard, 85% channery silty clay loams to a depth of 57 inches or more. Skeletal grains - stable and not readily transported - represent the colloid. Many fine rooting and high vesicular, tubular porosity in surface soils atop common fine rooting in the upper B horizons underlain by few and fine rooting to none below 27 inches. The slopes ranging from 4.1° - 8.4° exhibit a medium- to very highhazard of surface runoff. Generally an accumulation of clay existed in the B horizon. Profile 3 however lacked substantial clay accumulation.

## **Results and Discussion:**

Higher frequency energy waves penetrate a shallower depth than lower frequencies. Therefore the values recorded at 15,000 Hz refer to subsurface horizons (see figure 1a, 1b). Electromagnetic induction scans were measured in vertical dipole position, which penetrates at a greater depth than horizontal dipole mode. The noticeable trend at 15,000 Hz could indicate a clay lens, deeper topsoil, or possibly a fertilizer plume; but this anomaly was not the focus of the field study. Instead, greater attention was paid to subsurface values recorded at 1000 Hz, in order to associate pedology of a given pedon to areas on the field which shared the same EC<sub>4</sub> values.

In this case the pedology of interest resided at the outlier pedon of profile #3. This pedon possessed a shallow bedrock, a feature which could adversely affect vine growth uniformity within the block. Atthough we can do very little to mollify shallow soils such as these, we can apply viticultural techniques to moderate the ill effects. These techniques include - but are not limited to - altered vine spacing and usage of alternative rootstocks. Therefore the locations of such aberrations is of utmost importance. The pedon of profile #3 represented an EC, reading of -25 dS/m. Further pedology analysis can be directed at all areas on the map which exhibit the same EC, values. EC, values were inversely proportional with rock content, and positively correlated with clay content.

#### Acknowledgements:

Warm gratitude is extended to University of Delaware's College of Agriculture Department of Plant and Soil Science, Penn State University, Pennsylvania Association of Winegrowers, Soil Science Society of America (SSSA), Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc., Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Leesport, PA.



Fia. 5

References:

C. M. Anderson-Cook, M. M. Alley, J. K. F. Roygard, R. Khosla, R. B. Noble, and J. A. Doolittle, Differentiating Soil Types Using Electromagnetic Conductivity and Crop Yield Maps. Soil Sci Soc Am J 2002 66: 1562-1570.

Doolittle, J.A., N.R. Kitchen, and S.J. Indorante. 1994. Estimating depths to claypans using electromagnetic induction methods. J. Soil Water Conserv. 49:572-575. Doolittle, J.A., E. EALV, G. Secrist, D. Rector, and M. Crouch. 1995. Reconnaissance Soil Mapping of a Small Watershed Using Electromagnetic Induction and Global Positioning System Techniques. Soil Surv. Horiz. 36:60-94.

R. White, L. Balachandra, and D. Chen, The soil component of terroir. International Journal of Vine and Wine Sciences, 41 (1): 9-18. 2007