

Effect of Plant Morphology and Planting Methodology on Biomass Production and Compositional Characteristics in Maize

C.N. Hansey and N. de Leon Department of Agronomy, Plant Breeding and Plant Genetics Program, University of Wisconsin, Madison



ABSTRACT:

The ability to increase plant biomass and improve compositional traits such as the biofuel industry continues to develop. Altering plant morphology and planting methodologies are two potential methods to increase biomass per unit of land. It is hypothesized that both a tillering maize morphology and a planting regime which maximizes light interception by all plants will result in increased biomass production per unit of land. To test these hypotheses, genotypes with varying degrees of tillering were evaluated at two different densities (20,000 plants ha⁻¹) and under two planting methodologies; traditional rowcrop planting with plants 0.2 meters apart within a row and approximately 0.8 meters between plants within and between rows while still maintaining the same planting density (70,000 plants ha⁻¹). Hybrids with variable Corngrass1 penetrance were also evaluated to test the effect that increased digestibility has on overall performance. On a stover basis, increased tiller number resulted in increased yield. On a whole plant basis, increased tiller number resulted in increased neutral detergent fiber (ADF), and acid detergent lignin (ADL). Negative correlations were observed between stover yield and cell wall compositional traits (NDF and ADF); while positive correlation in the total cell wall rather than specific components. Identifying developmental mutants with increased digestibility which maintain adequate yield will be crucial for the efficient production of biomass for the biofuel industry.

OBJECTIVES:

Figure 3: Stover yields of 12 hybrids with varying number of mutant

Figure 5: Acid detergent lignin (ADL) values of 19 hybrids planted at low density (20,000 plant ha⁻¹). *Cg1* phenotypically-enhanced genetic backgrounds are signified as E and Cg1 phenotypically-suppressed genetic backgrounds are signified as S. Hybrids were evaluated at three environments (Madison, WI 2008 and 2009 and Arlington, WI 2009) and two replications per environment. At grain physiological maturity lignin levels in the *Cg1* mutants is greater than any of the commercial checks.

- Determine the effect of planting geometries on whole plant yield and composition of corn plants with alternative plant morphologies.
- Determine the effect of plant density on biomass yield and composition of corn plants with alternative plant morphologies.
- Determine the effect of tiller production on yield and quality.
- Compare the relative advantage of corn genotypes with diverse digestibility in terms of overall biomass yield.

Figure 1: Pictorial representation of hypothesized light interception potential under (A) row and (B) equivalent planting geometries. Evaluation of 13 hybrids with alternative plant morphologies due to the large effect mutations *Leafy1*, *Corngrass1*, and *grassy tillers1* did not reveal a significant effect of the planting geometry treatment on whole plant yield or secondary cell wall composition.



grassy tillers1 (gt1) alleles and three commercial checks planted at high density (70,000 plants ha⁻¹) and low density (20,000 plant ha⁻¹). Hybrids were evaluated at three environments (Madison, WI 2008 and 2009 and Arlington, WI 2009) and two replications per density treatment per environment. Tillering plants can equate biomass production of their wildtype counterparts at nearly four times higher density.



Figure 4: Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) values of 19 hybrids planted at low density (20,000 plants ha⁻¹). Higher NDF values indicate higher cell wall content. Cg1 phenotypically-enhanced genetic backgrounds are signified as E and Cg1 phenotypically-suppressed genetic backgrounds are signified as S. Hybrids were evaluated at three environments (Madison, WI 2008 and 2009 and Arlington, WI 2009) and two replications per environment. NDF increases with additional mutant *gt1* alleles.



Figure 2: Effect of plant density on stove yield of corn plants with alternative plant morphologies due to the large effect mutations *Leafy1*, Corngrass1, and grassy tillers1. Hybrids were evaluated at high density (70,000 plants ha⁻¹) and low density (20,000 plant ha⁻¹), at three environments (Madison, WI 2008 and 2009 and Arlington, WI 2009) and two replications per treatment per environment. There is not a significant difference between the density treatments for every genotype.





Table 1: Pearson product-moment correlations between composition and yield traits for 19 hybrids planted at low density (20,000 plants ha⁻¹). Hybrids were evaluated at three environments (Madison, WI 2008 and 2009 and Arlington, WI 2009) and two replications per environment.

Whole

CONCLUSIONS:

-There is no effect of equivalent versus row spacing on corn plants with alternative morphologies.

-Homozygous gt1/gt1 hybrids planted at low density were not different than their wild type counterparts planted at high density for stover yield.

-The ability of tillering plants to equate biomass production of their wildtype counterparts at nearly four times higher density demonstrates the utility in exploring alternative plant morphologies in an effort to meet the needs of the biofuel industry.

-Corngrass1 mutants have a substantial yield penalty and the portion of the plant that survives to grain physiological maturity has high ADL and therefore lower convertibility efficiency.

-When correlations are significant between yield (grain, stover, and, whole plant) and secondary cell wall composition (NDF and ADF) the correlations are negative.

-Correlations between yield components (NDF, ADF, and ADL) when significant are positive indicating variation in total cell wall content rather than specific cell wall components.

	Grain	Stover	Plant			
	neiu	neiu	neiu	NDF	AUF	ADL
Grain Yield	-	0.82***	0.95***	-0.21*	NS	NS
Stover Yield		-	0.96***	-0.45***	-0.41***	NS
Whole Plant						
Yield			-	-0.35***	-0.30**	NS
NDF				-	0.93***	0.55***
ADF					-	0.67***
ADL						-
* significant at p=0.05, ** significant at p=0.01, *** significant at p=0.001,						
NS not significant						

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The authors would like to acknowledge Dustin Eilert, Julianne Smith, Bill Kojis, and Bob Vogelzang for technical assistance. We are also grateful for support provided by the D.C. Smith and Gabelman-Shippo Graduate Fellowship programs at the University of Wisconsin – Madison. Funding has been provided for this research from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) project WIS01335 and the U.S. Department of Energy through the Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center Grant DE-FC02-07ER64494.