

Genetic mapping of quantitative trait loci associated with end-use quality traits in the spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) cross 'Louise' by 'Penawawa'

Carter, A¹, Garland-Campbell, K², Morris, C², and Kidwell, K¹

¹ Dept. of Crop and Soil Sciences, 291D Johnson Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-6420 ² Wheat Genetics, Quality, Physiology, and Disease Research Unit, USDA-ARS, Pullman, WA 99164



Table 1. Means, ranges, and heritabilities of 18 end-use quality traits among 188 recombinant inbred lines from the Louise by Penawawa soft white wheat population using data combined across three locations.

Table 2. Significant quantitative trait loci (QTL) identified through composite interval mapping in a Louise by Penawawa recombinant inbred line mapping population for end-use quality traits at three locations in the Pacific Northwest.

U. : 1 : 1. Confidence												Pacific Northwest.							
Traits	Traits Parental Lines			RIL Population			Heritability (<i>h</i> ²)	interval (h^2)	3.9 Xgdm033	Xwmc522	3.8 Xwmc764 Xgwm614	4.6 Xcfd56 Xbarc124	Chromosome	Marker interval	cM	Trait	R ²	LOD	Parental allele ¹
	Louise	Louise Penawawa Mean		Min Max Mean		Mean		(n)	Xpsp2999	20.7 —	17.7 —	5.3 Xbarc297	1A	Xcfd15-Xwmc329	10.4	Milling score	0.06	3.7	-
Test Weight (lb/bu)	<u>61.0</u>	<u> </u>	<u>60.0</u>	55.0	62.9	<u>59.6</u>	0.64	0.61-0.67	- 20.1 Q	.wak-	17.7	7.0 Xcfd051		Xwmc24-Xgwm164	20.5	SRC sucrose	0.11	4.8	+
Protein Content	01.0				02.7				Xefd15	0.6 Xcfa2263 Xbarc15	2.6 Xcfd238 Xwmc243	Xcfd036	ę <u>2A</u>	Xwmc522-Xbarc10	27.3	Kernel size	0.10	3.4	+
(%)	10.5	10.8	10.6	10.4	12.3	11.1	0.23	0.18-0.28	7.4 — Xgdm136.2	1.9 -/ Xgwm630	18.9		ła.wa	Xgwm388-Xgwm501	15.6	Kernel weight	0.11	5.1	-
Wheat Protein (%)	10.3	11.3	10.8	10.2	12.8	11.0	0.19	0.10-0.28	6.5 Xwmc329	2.8 - Xwmc179.1 Xbarc10		29.5	*20 2B	Xgwm388-Xwmc441	8.0	Kernel size	0.07	3.2	-
SKCS Hardness									Q Q	12.0	11.7 — Xbarc200			Xgwm148-Xbarc230	23.0	SKCS hardness	0.13	5.2	-
(1-100)	18.9	13.1	16.0	2.4	36.9	16.7	0.63	0.60-0.66	18.4 — (C. WQ	42.0	Xowm429	12.0 — PpdD1a				Flour ash	0.07	3.1	+
Kernel Weight	27.0	20 5	22.0	20.7	20.1	24 1	0 (0	0 6 6 0 7 2	0.9 Xwmc278		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4.5 Xbarc168	2D	Xcfd36-Xbarc168	41.5	SKCS hardness	0.16	7.8	+
(mg)	37.0	30.5	33.8	29.7	39.1	34.1	0.69	0.66-0.72	1.2 - Xbarc120.1 Xgwm164	Xcfa2058	1.3 Xgwm410 Xgwm148 A Q G	4.5 Xcfd043		Xwmc78-Xwmc527	29.2	Protein content	0.14	6.8	-
Kernel Size (mm)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.6	0.62	0.58-0.66	1A	6.8 — Xgwm312	18.2 — Wak-			Xwmc78-Xwmc69	25.1	Cookie diameter	0.13	7.8	+
Flour Protein (%)	8.9	9.2	9.1	8.3	9.8	9.1	0.25	0.20-0.30		12.0	4.8 Xwmc474	29.4				SRC carbonate	0.13	7.2	-
Flour Ash (%)	0.35	0.45	0.40	0.33	0.50	0.39	0.20	0.15-0.25		Xwmc181	4.8 1.5 1.5 Xbarc230 Xwmc477					Flour yield	$\left \begin{array}{c} 0.24\\ 0.21\end{array}\right $	14.8	+
SDS Sedimentation	135.2	161.6	148.4	16 1	112.0	86.4	ND^1	ND		2A	1.5 2.1 - Xbarc18	10.6 — Xwmc18	3B			Milling Score	0.21	13.8	+
(mm)	133.2	101.0	140.4	46.4	118.9	00.4		ND		ZA	2.7 - Xbarc091 5.0 - Z - Xbarc160	2.8 Xcfd116		Xbarc68-Xbarc164	27.0	Break flour yield SRC lactic acid	0.12	7.0 7.3	+
SRC Carbonate	71.9	78.8	75.3	68.0	83.9	74.9	0.68	0.65-0.71			3.3 / Xbarc1155 Xwmc102	Xwmc144		<i>Αθάι</i> C00- <i>Αθάι</i> C104	27.0	SRC factic actu SRC sucrose	0.15 0.24	12.7	-
(%)	/1./	70.0	10.0	00.0	03.7	/ . /	0.00	0.05 0.71			6.3 6.1 $-$ Xbarc128			Vhana 221 Vhana 161	10.0	SDS sedimentation			
SRC Lactic Acid	127.3	136.9	132.1	109.8	149.0	131.1	ND	ND			8.0 Xgwm388 3.6 Xwmc441	31.5		Xbarc234-Xbarc164	18.0		0.10	4.4	-
(%)											4.0 5.7 Xbarc101.1 1.1 2.8 2.8 2.8 Xgwm501			Xbarc68-Xwmc527	19.3	SRC water	0.09	4.6	
SRC Water (%)	53.7	54.9	54.3	50.0	58.3	53.7	0.66	0.63-0.69			5.7 - Xwmc332	3.2 Xwmc41 0.3 Xcfd168	4A	Xwmc707-Xbarc78	41.4	Cookie diameter	0.09	4.5	+
SRC Sucrose (%)	94.0	111.3	102.6	86.1	114.3	100.3	0.73	0.70-0.76			26.5	0.3 - 0.3 - 2.8 - Xbarc228 Xcfd62		V	160	Flour swelling volume		67.6	-
Flour Yield (%)	72.3	68.7	70.5	65.7	73.8	70.4	0.82	0.80-0.84				$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4B	Xwmc125-Xgwm538	16.9	SKCS hardness	0.14	6.5	+
Milling Score	91.1	80.0	85.6	77.1	93.3	86.5	0.69	0.66-0.72			Xbarc101	L Xgwm608		Xgdm129-Xwmc52	5.7	Flour yield Break flour yield	0.07	3.6 3.6	+
Break Flour	50.9	48.7	49.8	42.2	53.7	49.4	0.91	0.90-0.92			\bigcup			Xgdm129-Xbarc288	7.2	Cookie diameter	0.06 0.07		+
Yield (%)											2B		4D			SRC carbonate	0.07	<u>4.1</u> 6.8	+
Flour Swelling	19.6	25.1	22.3	18.4	26.2	22.4	0.82	0.80-0.84					40			SRC carbonate SRC sucrose	0.17	0.8 3.3	_
Volume (mm) Cookia Diamatar									Xgwm493	\sim		\bigcirc		Xgdm129-Xwmc331	25.3	SRC sucrose SRC water	0.10	3.3 4.3	_
Cookie Diameter	9.5	9.1	9.3	8.8	9.7	9.3	0.49	0.45-0.53	6.8 Xefd079.3	Xwmc707		Xbarc4				Milling score		1 2	+
(cm)									7.1 — Xbarc131	16.8	QCdia.v	17.8	5B	Xgwm544-Xgwm213	19.0	Test Weight	0.11	5.2	_ '

16.9

¹ ND data not calculated due to missing environments

Introduction

14.7

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an important food source globally, and due to this importance, 20.1 genetic improvements of wheat have focused on three main areas: yield enhancement, biotic Xwmc623 and abiotic stress tolerance, and improvement of end-use quality (Mann et al., 2009). 14.2 Enhanced milling and baking quality is essential for improving the marketability of wheat produced in the United States as well as around the world. New tools that accurately predict 5.1 Xbarc218 processing and end-use quality of wheat would be useful for increasing the rate of progress for 9.9 expanding food uses for wheat. Many end-use quality tests have been developed to evaluate Xbarc68 Xgwm28 wheat germplasm for superior end-use quality performance such as protein quality, starch Xwmc7 Xgwm72 quality, and milling extraction rates (AACCI 2008). Knowledge concerning genetic factors 1. Xbarc234 controlling end-use quality traits in wheat aids in the selection of lines having enhanced quality, Xwmc751 thereby improving the chances of meeting the strict end-use quality parameters needed to 7.7 Xwmc527 Xbarc164 successfully market a wheat cultivar (Wheat Marketing Center, 2007). Coupling the knowledge 2.4 Xbarc344 Xwmc291 of genetic control of end-use quality traits with protocols to rapidly measure the potential of individual lines to meet required end-use quality specifications will allow breeders to make 3B gains from both direct and indirect selection, thereby facilitating the improvement of complex traits in regionally adapted wheat cultivars. The objective of this study was to identify DNA markers associated with QTL for important end-use quality traits for use in marker-assisted selection programs.



(data not shown).

• Transgressive segregation was seen for all traits except SDS sedimentation (data not shown). • Trait, marker interval, LOD score, percent phenotypic variation accounted for, and positive allele source are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials: A RIL population of 188 individuals from a cross between 'Louise' (Kidwell et al. 2006; PI 634865) and 'Penawawa' (PI 495916) was selected for phenotypic and genotypic ^{13.9} analyses. Louise, a soft white spring wheat released in 2005, has moderate grain volume Xwmc256 10.4 Xwmc684 weight, low grain protein concentration, and excellent end-use quality characteristics. Xwmc179.2 Penawawa, a soft white spring wheat released in 1985, has moderate grain volume weight, 22.2 moderate grain protein concentration, and average end-use quality characteristics. **Field experiment and data collection:** Field trials were conducted in Genesee, ID (latitude 46° Xwmc417 35' N, longitude 116° 56' W, elevation 864.7 meters), and Pullman, WA (latitude 46° 41' N, 17.9 longitude 117° 08' W, elevation 776.3 meters), in 2007, and in Moscow, ID (latitude 46° 43' N, 0.3 Xgwm617.2 Xcfa2114 longitude 116° 57' W, elevation 796.1 meters), and again in Pullman, WA, in 2008. End-use quality analysis was performed by blending 200 g from each replicated field plot for each line at ^{27.1} each location (600 g total). All quality analyses were conducted at the USDA-ARS Western Xwmc621 Wheat Quality Laboratory in Pullman, WA. Samples were tempered to 14% moisture and milled on a Quadrumat system as modified by Jeffers and Rubenthaler (1979). Approved methods of the AACCI (2008) were used to determine end-use quality traits. Milling score was calculated as: 100 – [(80 – flour yield) + 50*(flour ash – 0.30) + 0.48*(milling time – 12.5) + 0.5*(65 – per cent long patent) + 0.5*(16 – first tempering moisture)]. Kernel hardness, size, and weight were obtained using the SKCS 4100 (Perten Instruments, Springfield, IL). Solvent retention capacity was conducted on straight grade flour (Approved Method 56-11.02). **DNA isolation and marker analysis:** Fresh leaf tissue of three individuals from each $F_{5.6}$ RIL or parent was collected at the five leaf stage, and used to extract genomic DNA using the method described by Anderson et al. (1992). The genetic linkage map described by Carter et al. (2009) Figure 1. Partial genetic linkage map from the common wheat cross Louise by Penawawa on which 18 was used for QTL analysis. An additional 40 markers located on chromosome 3B and 4D were end-use quality trait QTL where identified on 12 chromosomes. The vertical bar indicates the QTL screened for polymorphism between the parents. Sequences of available SSR markers along region with a LOD score greater than 3.0. Genetic map positions are indicated on the left of each with their previously determined chromosomal locations were obtained from Graingenes chromosome in Kosambi centiMorgans. (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/). SSR marker analysis was conducted using the PCR conditions described by Röder et al. (1998) except that primers were synthesized to include the M13-tail (Oetting et al. 1995). New markers were added using the 'try' and 'ripple' command using Mapmaker V3.0 (Lander et al. 1987). The Kosambi map function was applied to calculate genetic distances in centiMorgans (cM) between the ordered markers (Kosambi 1944). The software WinQTLCart V2.5 (Basten et al. 1997) was used for QTL analysis. Composite interval mapping was used to identify markers with significant effects on associated end-use quality traits (Zeng 1994). Heritability estimates were calculated using a SAS code provided by Dr. Jim Holland (Holland 2003).



Xwmc52



7D

• The greatest number of traits corresponded to chromosome 3B (13 QTL; 36.9 cM region) and chromosome 4D (7 QTL; 25.3 cM region).

Discussion

• QTL were identified for all of the quality traits analyzed, and were distributed among 12 of the 21 wheat chromosomes. The majority of the positive end-use quality QTL were associated with Louise alleles.

• Both cultivars contain the *PinA* and *PinB* alleles (Girouz and Morris 1998) for grain texture; thus, the QTL for grain hardness contributed to variation within the soft wheat market class to further differentiate hardness.

• The QTL for cookie diameter and flour swelling volume identified on chromosome 4A mapped near the Wx-B1 locus, which encodes for an important GBSS gene (Miura and Sugwara 1996). The Louise allele at this QTL increased cookie diameter by 0.14 cm and decreased flour swelling volume by four mm among RIL in this population.

• The most significant increase in break flour and flour yield occurred at the 3B locus, at which the Louise allele contributed to a 2% increase in flour yield. The QTL for break flour and flour yield appear to be additive in nature.

• A large population size would need to be sampled in order to recover progeny containing >3 QTL, the practicality of which may not be possible for many breeding programs.

• Under limited resources, the QTL on chromosome 3B and 4D would be the most useful to introgress into new soft white cultivars.

• Using molecular markers to introgress these DNA regions into new soft white wheat cultivars should enhance the efficiency of selection for improved end-use quality in soft white wheat, especially in cross-market class hybridizations.

Acknowledgement: We thank the staff at the Western Wheat Quality Laboratory for assistance in generating end-use quality data

This research was supported by the National Research Initiative of USDA's Cooperative State Research, Education and Extension Service, CAP Grant No. 2006-55606-16629, the Washington Grain Commission, and Washington State University.

References: American Association of Cereal Chemists International 2008. The Association, St. Paul, MN. Anderson et al. 1992. Theor Appl Genet 83:1035-1043 Basten et al. 1997. QTL CARTOGRAPHER. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC Giroux and Morris 1998. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95:6262-6266 Holland et al. 2003. Plant Breed Rev, Vol. 22, pp.9-112. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Jeffers and Rubenthaler 1979. Cereal Chem. 54:1018-1025 Kidwell et al. 2006. Crop Sci 46:1384-1386 Kosambi 1944. Ann Eugen 12:172-175 Lander et al. 1987. Genomics 1:174-181 Mann et al. 2009. Theor Appl Genet 118:1519-1537 Miura and Sugwara 1996. Theor Appl Genet 93:1066-1070 Oetting et al. 1995. Genomics 30:450-458 Röder et al. 1998. Genetics 149:2007-2023 Wheat Marketing Center 2007. Asian Products Collaborative Project, Portland, OR Zeng 1994 Genetics 136:1457-1468