Uranium Reduction and Structural Incorporation into Iron Oxides: Competing Sequestration Pathways Under Reducing Conditions

Michael S. Massey¹, Juan S. Lezama-Pacheco², Peter S. Nico³, John R. Bargar², and Scott Fendorf¹

¹ Stanford University, Stanford, CA; ² Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, Menlo Park, CA; ³ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA

Introduction

- Uranium (U) contamination in groundwater is an environmental and health risk at U mining, milling, processing, manufacturing, and disposal sites.
- Active research on *in situ* sequestration methods is underway
 at a variety of locations (*e.g.*,
 Hanford, WA and Rifle, CO).
- Reductive precipitation of U as U(IV) is one such *in situ* technique.
 - Potential for re-oxidation to U(VI) leads to the risk of re-mobilization of contamination.
- U can become incorporated into Fe oxides during Fe oxide transformation.
 - Ferrihydrite, $Fe(OH)_3 \rightarrow goethite$, FeOOH
 - Ferrihydrite, $Fe(OH)_3 \rightarrow magnetite$, Fe_3O_4
 - Incorporated U may be resistant to re-oxidation (*e.g.,* Stewart et al., 2009; Ilton et al., 2010).
 - Potential long-term sink for U
- What conditions favor U incorporation vs. reductive precipitation as U(IV)?

Objectives

- Compare U reduction and incorporation into Fe oxides across a range of U and Fe(II) loadings during Fe(II)-induced transformation of ferrihydrite.
- Evaluate these two U sequestration pathways in environmentally-relevant conditions (< 100 μM aqueous U, > 1 mM Ca and carbonate).

Methods

- Abiotic batch incubations
- pH 7, 1 μM-0.5 mM uranyl (UO₂²⁺), 4 mM CaCl₂, 3.8 mM KHCO₃
- Ferrihydrite slurry (~180 mg L⁻¹), transformed with the addition of 0.3 mM or 3 mM Fe(II)
- Synchrotron x-ray analysis
 - X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XANES, EXAFS)
 - X-ray powder diffraction



Figure 1. Conceptual model of U(VI/V) incorporation into goethite (FeOOH). Bond distances are based on XANES and EXAFS fits.

Results

- U-Fe distance at ~3.0-3.3 Å suggests U incorporation into goethite (Figures 1 & 2).
- U is incorporated as U(VI) or U(V).
- At 0.3 mM Fe(II), ferrihydrite transformed to goethite; at 3 mM, a mixture of goethite and magnetite occurred (Figure 3).
- Uraninite precipitation was not observed at U < 10 μ M (Fig. 3); incorporation was observed.



Figure 2. Uranium L_3 -edge EXAFS Fourier

transform magnitude (solid lines) and example fits (dashed lines). A 30 mM KHCO₃ extraction removed adsorbed U from 1 μ M and 10 μ M samples, clarifying the spectra as U incorporated into goethite. The 170 μ M spectra show mixtures of incorporated, adsorbed, and reduced U.



Figure 3. X-ray diffractograms of transformed ferrihydrite (fhy). Transformation was accomplished with Fe(II) in the presence uranyl (UO₂²⁺), calcium, and carbonate. Uraninite precipitation occurred only at high UO₂²⁺ or Fe(II) loading.



Discussion

- U incorporation is a competitive sequestration pathway under reducing conditions.
 - Particularly when U and Fe loadings are low (< 10 μM U, 300 μM Fe(II))
- U-Fe distance at ~3.0-3.3 Å, U-O distance of ~2.10-2.15 Å support the model developed by Nico et al. (2009) for U(VI/V) incorporation into goethite (Figures 1 & 2).
- U reduction becomes more prevalent at higher U (> 0.1 mM) and 3 mM Fe(II) due to greater availability of U and/or reductant (Figure 3).

Conclusions

- U incorporation into Fe oxides can occur under field-relevant conditions.
- U is incorporated as U(VI,V) in octahedral sites in goethite.
- U incorporation into Fe oxides could represent a stable, long-term sink for U contamination.

Acknowledgements

M.M. was supported by a hobert and Marvel Kiny Stanford Graduate Fellowship. J.S. and J.B. were supported by the SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory SFA. Portions of this research were carried out at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightcource, a national user facility operated by Stanford University on behalf of the U.S. Department of Tengy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The SSRI: Structural Molecular Biology Program is supported by the Department of Energy, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, and by the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Research Resources, Biomedical Technology Program. The authors thank Carol Morris, Aaron Gooch, Joe Rogers, Cynthia Patty, Janena Stubbs, and Gaunghao Li for thrie invaluable and threfes assistance.

References

- Ilton, E.S., J.-F. Boily, E.C. Buck, F.N. Skomurski, K.M. Rosso, C.L. Cahill, J.R. Bargar, and A.R. Felmy. 2010. Influence of Dynamical Conditions on the Reduction of U-V1 at the Magnetite–Solution Interface. Environmental Science and Technology 44:170-176.
- Nico, P.S., B.D. Stewart, and S. Fendorf. 2009. Incorporation of Oxidized Uranium into Fe (Hydr)oxides during Fe(II) Catalyzed Remineralization. *Environmental Science & Technology* 43:7391-7396.
- Stewart, B.D., P.S. Nico, and S. Fendorf. 2009. Stability of Uranium Incorporated into Fe (Hydr)oxide under Fluctuating Redox Conditions. Environmental Science & Technology 43:4922-4927.