

Effect of Time of Manure Application and a Cover Crop on Nitrogen Availability and Corn Yield

University of Minnesota



Gyles Randall* and Jeffrey Vetsch, Univ. of Minnesota, Southern Research and Outreach Center



Abstract

Cover crops are being considered by some producers to minimize nitrate losses from the soil, enhance N availability, and increase corn (Zea mays L.) yield from fall-applied manure. A two-year study was conducted on clay loam mollisols at the University of Minnesota Southern Research and Outreach Center to determine the role of time of manure application and an oat (Avena sativa) cover crop on nitrate concentration in the soil profile, corn yield, and N uptake. Target dates for swine (Sus scrofa domestica) manure application were 1 Aug., 1 Sept., 1 Nov., and 15 April. Oats were established immediately after the 1 Oct. and 1 Sept. manure applications and on a set of zero-N control plots. Across the 2-yr period, oat growth and N uptake were 2.6 and 2.1 times greater, respectively, for Aug. establishment. Oat growth and N uptake were 1.4 times greater when manure was applied. Corn yields, ranging from 13.1 to 14.0 Mg ha⁻¹, were not different among the five application dates when the cover crop was absent. Corn yields and N uptake were reduced 3.3 Mg ha⁻¹ and 56 kg ha⁻¹, respectively, when oats were established 1 Aug. and 1.0 Mg ha⁻¹ and 26 kg ha⁻¹ with 1 Sept. establishment. These data plus the soil nitrate data indicate that substantial N was sequestered by the oat cover crop, which substantially reduced corn yield and N uptake. Foregoing an oat cover crop and applying manure later in the fall or early spring appears to be a better management practice for corn producers in Southern Minnesota.

Introduction

Due to manure storage limitations and/or time management of both the producer and the custom applicator, some swine producers desire to apply manure in the late summer after harvest of small grains. Cover crops such as oats are being considered by some producers to stabilize N from the manure by taking up some of the nitrate and transpiring water, minimizing nitrate loss from the soil profile.

Objective

To determine: 1) corn yield, N uptake and nitrate distribution in the soil profile as affected by late summer and fall application of swine manure with and without an oat cover crop and 2) oat yield and N uptake as affected by time of planting and manure application.

Experimental Procedures

A field experiment was conducted at the Univ. of Minnesota's Southern Research and Outreach Center on a Clarion-Ciccolet clay loam complex in 2007-08 and on a Webster clay loam in 2008-09. Spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) was the previous crop both years. Treatments were replicated four times in a randomized complete-block design. Other pertinent procedures are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Listing of pertinent experimental methods used.

Experimental Methods	2007-08		2008-09	
	Normal	2007-08	2008-09	2008-09
Tillage	2 Aug.	2 Aug.	2 Aug.	2 Aug.
Plant 'Forage Plus' oats	31 Aug.	4 Sept.	31 Aug.	4 Sept.
Apply Glyphosate to non-oat plots	17 Aug.	5 Sept.	17 Aug.	5 Sept.
Harvest oats (removed forage)	25 Oct.	31 Aug.	25 Oct.	31 Aug.
Spring tillage	19 May	30 Apr.	19 May	30 Apr.
Plant corn	1 May	23 Apr.	1 May	23 Apr.
Measure surface residue	19 May	6 May	19 May	6 May
Combine harvest corn	9 Oct.	27 Oct.	9 Oct.	27 Oct.

Experimental Procedures (continued)

Liquid swine manure was obtained each year from an under-barn pit of a local hog producer. Manure management decisions by the producer caused substantial variability in manure N content in 2008. The manure was thoroughly agitated and sweep-injected about 10 to 15 cm deep on 75-cm centers using a research plot applicator. Manure samples were collected, frozen, and sent to the Univ. of Wisconsin Soil and Forage Analysis Lab. Application dates and available N rates applied are shown in Table 1.

Table 2. Manure application dates and available N rates.

Application date	2007/08		2008/09	
	Actual Date	Available ^a N rate, kg N ha ⁻¹	Actual Date	Available ^a N rate, kg N ha ⁻¹
1 Aug.	2 Aug.	166	8 Aug.	133
1 Sept.	31 Aug.	165	2 Sept.	99
1 Oct.	12 Oct.	142	1 Oct.	161
1 Nov.	31 Oct.	143	31 Oct.	88
15 Apr.	17 Apr.	161	14 Apr.	134
Target Application Rate		168	134	

^a Assuming 85% of total N in manure is available.



Fig. 1. Applying manure treatments in Aug., 2007.

Fig. 2. Post-application of manure in Aug.

Substantially different climate regimes for the two manure application seasons are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Monthly air temperature and precipitation departures from normal during the study period.

Month	Temperature		Precipitation	
	30-Yr ^a Normal	Departure	30-Yr ^a Normal	Departure
	°C - - - - - mm - - - - -			
Aug.	20.5	0.6	-0.4	116
Sept.	15.6	1.5	1.3	81
Oct.	8.7	3.1	0.1	65
Nov.	-0.3	0.9	1.2	59
Apr.	7.2	-1.5	0.4	82
May	14.6	-1.6	-0.2	101
June	19.8	-0.5	-1.0	107
July	21.6	0.4	-2.7	114
Aug.	20.5	0.4	-1.4	116
Sept.	15.6	1.3	2.2	81

^a 30-yr normal = 1971-2000.

- Aug.-Oct. was warm and wet in 2007-08 and dry with normal temperatures in 2008-09.
- Growing season temperatures were cooler than normal each year, but 2008-09 was considerably drier than 2007-08.

Results: Cover Crop

Oats were planted within 3 days after the liquid manure was injected (Fig. 3). Growth had occurred by early Sept. in 2007 (Fig. 4). Substantial growth differences between the early-Aug. and early Sept. plantings are evident at harvest in 2007 (Fig. 5).



Fig. 3. Planting oats in Aug. 2007.

Fig. 4. Oat growth in Sept. 2007.

Fig. 5. Oat harvest in Oct. 2007 (established 2 Aug. on left and 31 Aug. on right). Oat growth and N uptake were significantly greater in 2007 than in 2008 (Tables 4 and 5).

Table 4. Oat dry matter yield, N concentration, and forage N uptake in October 2007

Oat planting date	Manure application date	DM Yield		N	
		Mg ha ⁻¹	NI	Uptake	NI
2 Aug.	None	3.8	31.9	108	50
2 Aug.	2 Aug.	4.4	32.5	144	50
31 Aug.	None	1.3	38.6	50	50
31 Aug.	2.1	41.3	85		

ANOVA-factorial design

Planting Date (PD)

2 Aug.

31 Aug.

P = F

0.003

0.082

0.010

P = F

2.5

35.3

79

Yes

3.3

36.9

115

P = F

0.210

0.699

0.080

Interaction

PD x MA (P = F)

0.885

0.801

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980

0.980