# Comparison of Carbon Assimilation Capacity by Restored Prairie with Natural Plant Communities Andrew Wiersma and Dr. David Dornbos, Calvin College Department of Biology



CALVIN MINDS IN THE MAKING

### **Introduction:**

Photosynthesis is the process used by plants to convert carbon dioxide and water into carbohydrate and oxygen:

 $6H_20 + 6CO_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$ .

Roughly half of the carbon dioxide assimilated through the process of photosynthesis is sequestered in wood products, or more importantly, in soils as soil organic carbon where it can be stored for long time periods while enhancing soil quality. In effect, plants could be used as a tool to harvest  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere, countering emissions from combustion.

### **Objectives:**

• Compare light use efficiency relationships of the various species that predominate Peirce Cedar Creek Institute (PCCI)

- Compare the potential of plant communities to fix carbon
- Empirically estimate the quantity of carbon assimilated by the property at large

### **Methods:**

• Map surface area and determine canopy composition of seven plant community types in replicate locations using GIS.

• Calculate leaf area index (LAI) for each plant community by contrasting leaves against the sky in hemispherical photographs.

• Determine Solar radiation levels for each hour of the growing season, and at the incident and successive leaf canopy layers using a fixed and portable quantum sensor.

• Measure light use efficiency relationships of predominate species using a LI-COR 6400 XTR.

### Net CO<sub>2</sub> Uptake x Light Intensity















<b>Results:</b>							
Species	Fourth Order Line Equation	R <sup>2</sup> Value					
Tall Fescue (C3)	y = -8E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 4E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 7E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0551x - 0.9592	0.995					
Switchgrass (C4)	y = -4E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 2E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 6E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0709x - 2.8505	0.998					
Goldenrod	y = -8E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 4E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 7E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0571x - 1.7536	0.997					
Sedge	y = -4E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 2E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 4E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0343x - 0.8526	0.995					
Tamarack	y = -8E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 4E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 7E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0613x - 1.9576	0.998					
White Cedar	y = -1E-11x <sup>4</sup> + 5E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 7E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.046x - 0.2658	0.972					
Autumn Olive	y = -5E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 3E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 4E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0362x - 1.4827	0.997					
White Ash	y = -8E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 4E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 7E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0502x - 1.1196	0.996					
Black Cherry	y = -1E-11x <sup>4</sup> + 5E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 8E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0605x - 1.5121	0.996					
American Elm	y = -2E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 1E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 3E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0345x - 1.054	0.998					
Red Oak	y = -6E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 3E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 6E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0471x - 1.5247	0.999					
Sugar Maple	y = -7E-12x <sup>4</sup> + 3E-08x <sup>3</sup> - 6E-05x <sup>2</sup> + 0.0427x - 1.2256	0.994					
Beech	$y = -6E - 12x^4 + 3E - 08x^3 - 4E - 05x^2 + 0.0274x + 0.2824$	0.969					

Fig 1. LUE curves of 13 predominant plant species at PCCI

• These Mathematical line equations depict the relationship between light and photosynthesis rate for each plant species. If X is known (solar radiation level), then Y can be solved for (apparent photosynthesis rate in  $\mu g CO_2/m^2/s$ ). • LUE curves are significantly different among species (P>0.05).



### Fig. 2 Average CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate of predominate or representative species at medium and high light levels

• C4 prairie grasses and prairie forbs produced particularly high CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rates at both medium and high light levels.

•Autumn Olive, a woody invasive shrub, produced among the highest CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rate at high light intensities – consistent with observations of its invasive habit in abandoned farm fields or along road sides.

Plant			Sedge	Mixed	Shrubby	Young	Matu
community	Prairie	Field	Fen	Swamp	Field	Forest	Fore
Total							
Percent	77	71	57	60	85	66	73

Fig. 3 Percent canopy accounted for by predominate or representative plant species



■1200 umol/m2/s

lre est



## Fig. 4 Plant community CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation per m<sup>2</sup>

• Significant differences existed for CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate among plant communities.

• Prairie and shrubby fields assimilate CO<sub>2</sub> at a rate substantially faster than that of other plant communities.

• Late succession forest exhibited lower GPP than early succession forest.



### Fig. 5 Plant community affect of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate

While restored prairie only represents 14% of the PCCI land area, it represents 20% of the assimilated  $CO_2$  contribution. Conversely, the late succession forest area of 17% represents 13% of the total assimilated  $CO_2$  contribution. If PCCI's goal were to optimize  $CO_2$ contribution of natural areas, it would be beneficial to:

- restore overgrown fields as tall grass prairie
- convert autumn olive infested shrubby fields to prairie
- practice selective tree harvest to maintain early succession forest

#### **Total Assimilated Carbon at PCCI 132 MT** between April 15 and August 9

### **Conclusions:**

• Significant differences in carbon assimilation rate among species heavily impact the total quantity of carbon assimilated by its respective plant community

•Restored prairie and brushy fields were significant contributors of assimilated carbon.

• When appropriate and non-destructive to natural ecosystems, we encourage efforts to restore prairie ecosystems and direct selective tree harvest to maximize carbon storage.

### Acknowledgements

- Pierce Cedar Creek Institute and the URGE Program
- Susan Boersma

