Exceptionally High Yields and Soil Carbon Sequestration Recorded for Giant Reed in Alabama



Ping Huang, David Bransby, and Susan Sladden; Department of Agronomy and Soils, Auburn University

Introduction

Results

Giant Reed (Arundo donax L.) has been extensively evaluated as a dedicated cellulosic energy crop in southern Europe, with very favorable results. Efforts to commercialize it are being stepped up in Italy, Greece, France, Spain and Portugal, However, the information on its potential for soil carbon sequestration and yield response to harvest frequency is lacking. Therefore, the aim of our research was to determine yield response of Giant Reed to harvest frequency and its potential for soil carbon sequestration.

Procedure

Data from a replicated small-plot experiment with Giant Reed planted during the spring of 1999 in south central Alabama were analyzed. Plots were fertilized with ammonium nitrate at a rate of 112 kg N ha⁻¹ in 2000. broiler litter was applied during 2001 and 2003 at a rate of 112 kg N ha⁻¹. Four harvest frequency treatments (summer every year, winter every second year, winter every year, and summer & winter every year) were applied during 2006 and 2009. Plots were harvested to obtain dry biomass yield data for different treatments. Rhizome yield was collected in 2009. Above-ground biomass yield data and rhizome data were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure of SAS and the GLM procedure of SAS, respectively.

Table 1. Annual above-ground dry matter yield of Giant Reed under broiler litter treatments during 2001 and 2003

Above-ground dry matter yield (Mg ha-1) Year			
29.08±5.35 ª	32.03±9.56 ª	32.82±5.30 ª	
30.03±9.20 ª	26.55±7.53 ª	23.61±3.87 ^b	
	2001 29.08±5.35 ª	Year 2001 2002 29.08±5.35 ° 32.03±9.56 °	

^{ab} means within the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

Above-ground biomass yield of Giant Reed showed no positive responses to broiler litter treatments after established (Table 1). However, there was significant difference in above-ground biomass yield as well as rhizome yield among different harvest frequency treatments (Table 2). Carbon and N contents varied significantly among leaf, stem and rhizome components of Giant Reed (Figure 1). In addition, average above-ground dry matter yield of Giant Reed was higher than that of 'Alamo'

Oct. 16th, 2009









e distribution of Giant Reed in the 0-30 cm ton



Table 2. Dry matter yield of Giant Reed under harvest frequency treatments during 2006 and 2009 (Mg ha-1)

Above-ground biomass yield Year			Rhizome yield
			Year
2006	2007	2009	2009
20.30±5.70 b	29.13±7.85 b	11.97±1.63 °	43.68±22.59 b
	55.29±17.03 ª	81.01±31.36 ª	117.10±12.25 ª
36.52±8.42 ab	25.94±7.18 ^b	38.93±10.78 b	93.59±22.25 ª
39.49±13.21 ª	20.89±6.79 b	29.67±6.59 b	46.95±13.28 ^b
	2006 20.30±5.70 b 	Year 2006 2007 20.30±5.70 b 29.13±7.85 b 55.29±17.03 a 36.52±8.42 ab 25.94±7.18 b	Year 2006 2007 2009 20.30±5.70 b 29.13±7.85 b 11.97±1.63 c 55.29±17.03 a 81.01±31.36 a 36.52±8.42 ab 25.94±7.18 b 38.93±10.78 b





- 1) Annual above-ground dry matter yield for annual and biennial harvest in winter did not differ, but biennial harvest will likely result in lower cost Mg⁻¹.
- 2) Giant Reed sequestrated 40.18 Mg C ha-1 over an 11year period, approximately 4X that of switchgrass measured in another study.
- 3) Average above-ground biomass yield of Giant Reed over an 8-year period was 39% higher than that of switchgrass over the same period in this study.

Acknowledgments

Conclusions

We express our appreciation to the employees of the Plant Breeding Unit at the Al. Ag. E. V. Smith Research and Extension Center for their assistance with the field work.



switchgrass over the same 8-year period (Figure 2).