

# ABSTRACT

Bioenergy production has traditionally focused on perennial crops; however, these crops require an establishment period before they can be utilized. High biomass sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench) grown as an annual crop can be used during this establishment period, but typical yields and nutrient removal across different tillage systems and nitrogen (N) rates are not well established in the Southeast. In 2010, an experiment was initiated across conventional and conservation tillage systems on a Compass loamy sand to determine total dry matter (TDM) production and selected nutrient uptake across six different N rates (0, 34, 67, 101, 134, 168 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The split-split plot arrangement of six N rates as main plots and tillage systems as subplots also included a photoperiod sensitive (ES 5200) and a nonphotoperiod sensitive cultivar (SS1515) as sub-subplots with four replications. Total dry matter yields averaged 16.3 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> (2010) and 15.4 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> (2011) across N rates, tillage systems, and cultivars. No TDM response to N was observed either growing season, but an interaction between cultivar and N rate indicated that ES 5200 did respond to the lowest N rate (34 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Nitrogen uptake was highest in 2010 (148.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to 2011 (99.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), but was not consistent across tillage systems. Phosphorus uptake for the top three N rates increased 21% compared to no N, but this was only observed for ES 5200. Potassium uptake was 22% greater in 2010 (162.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to 2011 (132.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), but was inconsistent across tillage systems and sorghum cultivars. These are only preliminary results, but we have observed increased root knot nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) numbers under the ES 5200 cultivar, which could influence subsequent TDM production and nutrient uptake.

## OBJECTIVE

**Determine forage sorghum biomass yield response and nutrient** uptake across different nitrogen rates within a conventional and conservation tillage system.

MATERIAL AND METHODS > The experimental site was established in an area where tillage systems have been in place for > 20 yr.

> Main plots consisted of six N rates, subplots were tillage systems (conventional with no cover crop or non-inversion (NI) with a rye cover crop), and sub-subplots were sorghum cultivar [photoperiod sensitive (ES 5200) and sweet (SS1515)] with four replications.

Total dry biomass production was determined by hand harvesting all aboveground biomass from two 1.5 m sections from each plot and weighing in the field.

Three randomly selected stalks from the hand harvest samples were ground in the field with a chipper/shredder and weighed, dried at 55 °C for 72 h, and weighed again to correct for moisture content.

Dried samples were ground to pass a 2-mm sieve and analyzed for total C and N by dry combustion with a LECO C/N analyzer.

>A microwave digestion procedure coupled with ICAP analyses was used to determine Ca, K, and P concentrations in the plant material.

> The product of dry biomass and nutrient concentrations provided nutrient uptake values for selected nutrients.

| <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>   |     |     |        |         |         |         |        |       |
|---|-----|-----|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| Table 1. ANOVA (P $\leq$ 0.10) table for selected main effects and two- |     |     |        |         |         |         |        |       |
| way interactions observed for the 2010 and 2011 growing seasons.        |     |     |        |         |         |         |        |       |
|   | d   | lf  | Dry    | Ν       |         | Upt     | ake    |       |
| Effect  | Num | Den | Matter | Conc.   | Ν       | Р       | К      | Ca    |
|   |     |     |        |         | Pro     | ob > F  |        |       |
| Year (Y)  | 1   | 6   | 0.1531 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.0534  | 0.0018 | 0.004 |
| N rate (N)  | 5   | 30  | 0.1467 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.3965  | 0.3940 | 0.352 |
| Cultivar (C)  | 1   | 72  | 0.0226 | 0.0758  | 0.0028  | <0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.004 |
| Y * N   | 5   | 30  | 0.2538 | 0.0004  | 0.0016  | 0.9629  | 0.4337 | 0.670 |
| Y * Tillage   | 1   | 36  | 0.0898 | 0.0001  | <0.0001 | 0.5530  | 0.7960 | 0.018 |
| Y * C   | 1   | 72  | 0.0078 | 0.0715  | 0.5095  | 0.3904  | 0.1397 | 0.730 |
| N * C   | 5   | 72  | 0.0741 | 0.7882  | 0.7481  | 0.0351  | 0.3664 | 0.927 |
| Tillage * C   | 1   | 72  | 0.1124 | 0.2127  | 0.8678  | 0.0005  | 0.0612 | 0.470 |



(SS1515), ranging from 4% greater in N conc. up to 13% for K uptake.

and Ca uptake were up to 25% greater in 2011.

conc.) and 82 – 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N uptake).

growing season compared to an early dry period in 2011.



Table 2. Dry matter, N concentrations (conc.), N, and Ca uptake

| IVC |      | i) thay             | c syster | 113.               |     |                     |       |        |   |
|-----|------|---------------------|----------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|--------|---|
|     | Crop | Dry matter          |          | N conc.            |     | N uptake            |       | Ca upt |   |
|     | Year | СТ                  | NI       | СТ                 | ΝΙ  | СТ                  | NI    | СТ     |   |
|     |      | Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> |          | g kg <sup>-1</sup> |     | kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |       |        |   |
|     | 2010 | 16.0                | 16.7     | 8.6                | 9.7 | 137.7               | 160.0 | 34.4   |   |
|     | 2011 | 15.6                | 15.2     | 6.8                | 6.1 | 106.6               | 92.0  | 47.8   | ۷ |
|     |      |                     |          |                    |     |                     |       |        |   |

uptake across both sorghum cultivars.

| Dry m   | atter   | P uptake   |   |  |
|---------|---|--|---|--|
| ES 5200 | SS 1515   | ES 5200  | SS 1515   |  |
| Mg      | ha <sup>-1</sup>  | kg ł   | าล <sup>-1</sup>  |  |
| 14.4    | 15.8  | 15.7   | 15.8  |  |
| 16.3    | 15.2  | 17.8   | 16.6  |  |
| 16.8    | 15.3  | 17.0   | 16.4  |  |
| 16.2    | 14.9  | 19.0   | 15.8  |  |
| 16.7    | 16.3  | 18.7   | 15.9  |  |
| 16.8    | 15.5  | 19.1   | 15.5  |  |
|         | ES 5200<br>Mg<br>14.4<br>16.3<br>16.8<br>16.2<br>16.2<br>16.7 | Mg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>14.4 15.8<br>16.3 15.2<br>16.8 15.3<br>16.2 14.9<br>16.7 16.3 | ES 5200 SS 1515  ES 5200   Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> kg h    14.4  15.8  15.7    16.3  15.2  17.8    16.8  15.3  17.0    16.2  14.9  19.0    16.7  16.3  18.7 |  |

|                | lillage system                           |                |  |  |
|----------------|--|----------------|--|--|
| Cultivar       | СТ                                       | NI             |  |  |
|                | No. (cm <sup>3</sup> soil) <sup>-1</sup> |                |  |  |
| ES 5200        | 427.5                                    | 369.5          |  |  |
| SS 1515        | 56.5                                     | 15             |  |  |
| Courtesy: Rich | nard Davis, AR                           | S, Tifton, GA. |  |  |

