



Introduction

Cellulosic ethanol is a popular, renewable, liquid-fuel candidate. Switchgrass is one of the most popular biomass feedstock candidates for cellulosic ethanol production. One of the major components in maximizing ethanol production is maximizing feedstock harvest efficiency. Harvest efficiency is largely determined by the harvest timing and the equipment used in the harvesting process.

• **Objective:** To determine which harvest timing and which harvest method will maximize switchgrass biomass yield. • Hypothesis: An early Fall harvest and the direct chop and ensilage method will yield the highest switchgrass biomass yield.

 1A Early Harvest Bale Harvest late Sept. Cut with mower conditioner Rake Bale 	 1B Early Harvest Chop Harvest late Sept. Direct cut chop Ensile in vacuum pack bag 	2A Mid Harvest Bale - Harvest mid- Oct. - Cut with mower conditioner - Rake - Bale	2B Mid Harvest Chop • Harvest mide Oct. • Direct cut chop • Ensile in vacuum pack bag	Nov. • Cut with mower conditioner	 3B Late Harvest Chop Harvest early Nov. Direct cut chop Ensile in vacuum pack bag 	4A Over- Winter Harvest Bale • Harvest late March • Cut with mower conditioner • Rake • Bale	 4B Over- Winter Harvest Chop Harvest late March Direct cut chop Ensile in vacuum pack bag
plot# 101 trt# 1A	102 103 1B 2A			08 201 202 B 3A 3B	203 204 1A 1B	205 206 4A 4B	207 208 2A 2B
plot# 301	302 303	304 305 3	06 307 3	ALLEY		405 406	407 408
trt# 2A	2B 4A	4B 1A	1B 3A 3	B 4A 4B	2A 2B	1A 1B	3A 3B

Description of Treatments

stands are 10'x40'.







Switchgrass Harvest Yield is Maximized During an Early Fall Harvest using a Direct Chop and Ensilage Method Andrew Adkins, Kurt Thelen*

Harvest Conditions



Figure 2: 2010 harvest weather conditions. During the harvest season, there were 39 days where precipitation events occurred and the cumulative precipitation was 5.80 inches.



Figure 3: 2011 harvest weather conditions. During the harvest season, there were 72 days where precipitation events occurred and the cumulative precipitation was 12.69 inches.

Statistical Model

 $Yield_{ijk} = \mu + Block_i + HT_j + \varepsilon 1_{ij} + HM_k + HT_j^*HM_k + \varepsilon 2_{ijk}$ i= 1,2,3,4 j=1,2,3,4 k= 1,2

- •Yield_{iik} = yield response variable • μ = grand mean over all studied treatments •Block_i = random effect of blocking •HT_i = fixed effect of harvest timing $\bullet \epsilon 1_{ii}$ = residual due to whole plot variability • $H\dot{M}_{k}$ = fixed effect of harvest method
- • HT_i * HM_k = fixed effect of interaction b/t harvest timing & method
- •ε2_{iik} = residual due to sub-plot variability

Results



Figure 4: 2010 harvest yield data. For both harvesting methods, spring harvested switchgrass yields were significantly less than all three fall harvested switchgrass yields. The direct chop harvest yields were significantly higher than the bale yields for all harvest timings. *Averages with the same letter are not statistically different from each other (α =0.05).



different from each other (α =0.05).

Conclusion

- the direct chop and ensile method.
- harvest yield.
- for the direct chop and ensile method.
- yield.

Acknowledgements

Todd Martin, Field Technician

Harvest Timing

Figure 5: 2011 harvest yield data. Both harvest method yields for the early Fall were significantly higher compared to all the other harvest timings for both harvest methods. There are no significant differences between harvest methods for every harvest timing except for the Spring harvest. *Averages with the same letter are not statistically

2010 harvest showed a significantly higher harvest yield for

2010 harvest did not show a significantly higher early Fall

2011 harvest did not show a significantly higher harvest yield

2011 harvest showed a significantly higher early Fall harvest

<u>Recommendation</u>: To ensure maximal harvest efficiency, harvest in the early Fall using a direct chop and ensile method.