

**CHANGING LIVES IMPROVING LIFE** 

# An Investigation Into Cultural Approaches for Weed Control in **Pesticide-Free Home Lawns.** Plant

K.L. Dodson<sup>1\*</sup>, F.J. Tardif<sup>1</sup>, E.M. Lyons<sup>1</sup>, K.S. Jordan<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Agriculture, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario Canada N1G 2W1.

#### **Introduction:**

- In Ontario traditional pesticides are not permitted on home lawns, since April 22, 2009 (MOE, 2009)
- Further investigations into using cultural practices without the use of herbicides is required (Busey, 2003)
- Larsen and Fischer (2005) found that on fertilized fairway turf vertical mowing can reduce weed pressure
- Core cultivation practices reduces the compaction of the soil and improves the soil profile by promoting greater water infiltration, higher soil oxygen levels and an increase in thatch decomposition rates; which is important for maintaining healthy turfgrass growth (OMAFRA, 2009)
- Experiments that examine the efficacy and timing of renovating a weedy home lawn in a pesticide-free environment is needed





griculture

Figure 5: Spring roto-tilling (1) resulted in annual weed infestation (2, one month after renovation) that were eventually mown out of the sward by

• Development of a herbicide-free maintenance program and how the individual aspects of the program effect the sward composition is required

## **Objectives:**

- A: To evaluate four methods of turfgrass renovation in a context of a herbicide-free environment.
- B: To evaluate post renovation cultural practices and their effects on sward composition.

### Material and Methods:

- All research was performed at the Guelph Turfgrass Institute, Guelph, ON, Canada
- Plots were arranged in a Randomized Complete Block design (RCBD) 4x5x2 factorial design with four replications (Table One).
- To evaluate timing of renovation 2 separate replicates were renovated in the spring, and 2 separate replicates were renovated in the fall
- Plots were renovated May 26<sup>th</sup> 2010, September 28<sup>th</sup> 2010, June 3<sup>rd</sup> 2011, and September 26<sup>th</sup> 2011 (Figure 2 and Figure 3)
- Visual ratings of percent turfgrass coverage and weed populations were taken monthly.
- Population point quadrat counts were taken June and September 2010, 2011, and 2012.

Renovation Methods	Post Renovation Cultural Practices	Overseeding	
Core cultivation	Fall Core Cultivation (CC)	Overseeding	
Scalping	Spring Power Raking (PR)	No Overseeding	



weeds are dandelions, plantain, clover, black medic, and chickweed. Annual weeds were primarily redroot pigweed and wooly lambs guarters. LS means calculated using pair t-test. Bars with different letters are shown to be different at the P<0.05.

August (3).

#### **Objective B Post-renovation cultural practices results:**

- Cultural practice results varied from season to season
- Spring power raking effectively reduce/maintain low weed population when moisture availability is ample in 2011 (Figure 6)
- Spring power raking resulted in the lowest turfgrass coverage and the highest bare ground during 2012 when Ontario had severe drought conditions (Figure 7)
- Spring and fall overseeding increased turfgrass density, but had no effect on the weed population of the sward



Figure 6: Percent turfgrass and weed coverage. Data represented here is only from the first spring renovation in May



Effect of Maintenance treatments July 9th 2012

Figure 7: Percent turfgrass and weed coverage of 3 separate renovation studies (2 spring renovations and 1 fall renovation). LS means calculated using ANCOVA. Treatments with different letters are shown to be different at the P<0.05.



Nothing

Fall CC and Spring PR

Herbicide (+control)



September 27, 2011 before plots were seeded,

Figure 2: Fall renovation picture taken

while the site was still being prepared.

Table One: Summary of the treatments applied to the home lawn renovation and post-renovation trial. Renovation methods were performed two times in the spring and two times in the fall, for a total of 4 complete replications of the entire study.

## **Results:**

## **Objective A Renovation results:**

- All renovation treatments lower weed populations, by 5-15% (Figure 3)
- Roto-tilling appears to lower weeds more, it also reduces turfgrass coverage (Figure 3)
- Spring renovation encouraged annual weed growth, while fall renovation resulted in more perennial 'turf' weed growth (Figure 4)
- Spring renovation requires
- Annual weeds were effectively mown out of the spring renovation plots by August both years



2010. LS means calculated using ANCOVA. Treatments with different letters are shown to be different at the P<0.01.

#### **Conclusions:**

- Renovation practices without the use of herbicides can lower weed populations in a weedy sward
- Spring renovation resulted in significantly more annual weeds and fewer 'turf' weeds, suggesting that in a herbicide-free environment spring renovation may result in lower turf weed populations
- Spring power raking can be as effective as conventional herbicides as long as the weather conditions promote healthy cool-season turfgrass recovery
- Spring and fall overseeding increases turfgrass density

## **Future Consideration:**

- Increased frequency of power raking throughout the growing season may effectively control weeds over time (Larsen and Fischer, 2005)
- Evaluate overseeding methods for optimizing the beneficial effects of overseeding in a home lawn environment

## **References:**

Busey, P. 2003. Cultural management of weeds in turfgrass: A review. Crop Sci. 43:1899-1911.

Larsen, S.U., and J. Fischer. 2005. Turfgrass management and weed control on golf course fairways without pesticides. International turfgrass society. 10: 1213-1221.

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, food and Tural Affairs (OMAFRA). 2009. Turfgrass Management Recommendations. Queen's Printer for Ontario, Toronto ON. pp: 20,23.

Ministry of Environment (MOE), 2009. Ontario's cosmetic Pesticides Ban. [Online] available at:

Figure 3: Percent turfgrass and weed coverage. LS means calculated using ANCOVA where the pre-treatment population percentages were used as the covariate to correct for variance in species population between plots before treatments. Bars with different letters are shown to be different at the P < 0.01.



A special thank you to the groups listed below for their continued funding and support: I would like to thank my advisory committee: Dr. Jordan, Dr. Lyons, Dr. Tardif, and Dr. Lortie. Also the support staff Dr. Carey and Mr. Porter, and research assistants: Michelle Lamarre, Nikita Soukov and Alana Hyatt.

www.PosterPresentations.com







