



Li Zhang¹, Jim W. Jones¹, C. Eduardo Vallejos², Kenneth J. Boote³, Senthold Asseng¹, Wei Hou⁴, Jose A. Clavijo³, Mehul Bhakta², Melanie Correll¹ ¹ Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ² Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ³ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Horticultural Sciences, University of Horticultural Sciences, U ³ Department of Agronomy, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ⁴ Department of Preventive Medicine, Stony Brook University Medical center, Stony Brook, NY, USA

Background and Challenge



Goal

To identify Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) controlling node development under a range of environments, and to incorporate this genetic information for node development.

Hypothesis and Model Description

Hypothesis : node development during the vegetative phase is only a function of temperature (Jones et al., 1999)

Model:

$$dN / dt = Nm \bullet f(T)$$

dN/dt, node addition/appearance rate (node per physiological day (PD)); *Nm*, maximum node appearance rate under optimum condition (node PD⁻¹); **PD**, Physiological Day, normalized form of thermal time accumulated per calendar day, $PD = f(T) \times f(PP)$, f(PP) is photoperiod function and equal to 1 in our model based on our hypothesis, therefore, daily PD = f(T);

f(T), cardinal temperature function used in most crop model (see **Results**): T_{H} , hourly temperature;

 T_{base} , base temperature below which no growth occurs;

 T_{opt1} , 1st optimum temperature above which growth reaches maximum.

Reference

Jones, J., Kenig, A., & Vallejos, C. (1999). Reduced state-variable tomato growth model. Transactions of the ASAE-American Society of Agricultural Engineers, 42(1), 255-266.

Wang S., C.J. Basten, and Z.B. Zeng. 2012. Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC. (<u>http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm</u>)

Wu, R. L., and Lin, M. (2006). Functional mapping-how to map and study the genetic architecture of dynamic complex traits. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 7, 229-237.

Linking Genes to Node Development in Common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris)



-+-- PO -×- ND No 60 40

Step 1:

- Nodes on Main Stem vs. Calendar day;
- Significant difference among some locations(*P* < 0.05) for
- most of genotypes ; Temperature plays an important role in node development.

Nodes ---<u>∆</u>--- PR --+-- PO --×- ND Physiological Day

Step 2:

- ✤Nodes on Main Stem vs. **Physiological Day** (PD) using default T_{base} (5° C) and T_{ont1} (27°C) from CROPGRO-Bean; Significant difference among some locations for most of
- genotypes;
- T_{hase} and T_{ont1} need to be estimated for our population.

Field Experiments

	Experiment 1	Experiment 2	Experiment 3	Experiment 4	Experiment 5
tion	Citra, Florida, USA (CT) †	Palmira, Colombia (PA) †	Isabella, Puerto Rico (PR) †	Popayan, Colombia (PO) †	Prosper, North Dakota, USA (ND) †
ude,	29° 39' N,	03° 29' N,	18° 28' N,	02° 25' N,	47° 00' N,
itude	82° 06' W	76° 81' W	61° 02' W	76° 62' W	96° 47' W
ing season	Mar, 2011-Jun, 2011	Nov, 2011-Jan, 2012	Feb, 2012-May, 2012	Mar, 2012-Jun, 2012	May, 2012- Aug, 2012
ous culture	Fallow	Beans	Beans	Fallow	Wheat
exture	Sand	Clay	Clayey Kaolinite	Medium Loam	Silt Clay Loam
ization a ⁻¹)	136 (N); 60 (P);112 (K)	40 (Urea)	NA	96 (P); 129 (N); 80.3 (K);	No fertilizer
ition	Central Pivot Sprinkler System	Rain fed	Drip	Rain fed	Rain fed
density ts/m²)	4.3	3.0	3.9	4.3	3.3
spacing (cm)	90	120	100	90	NA
cates/harvest	3	3	3	3	3
# of types‡	188	174	128	178	176
iency of urement	weekly	weekly	weekly	weekly	weekly



Day After Planting

Materials and Methods

Parameter Estimation

- According to the hypothesis that temperature is the only factor affecting node addition, node appearance rate for a specific genotype should be the same or similar across locations if calendar days are adjusted to physiological day by choosing proper T_{base} and T_{opt1} .
- The default cardinal temperature function $(T_{hase} =$ 5° C, T_{opt1} =27° C) during vegetative phase in CROPGRO-Dry Bean model embedded in DSSAT software package were tested.
- A new set of T_{base} , T_{opt1} , and Nm were estimated based on the model using Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear least square (*nls.lm*) function from minpack.lm package in R (version 2.14.2).
- Levenberg-Marqurdt optimization algorithm searches for the best fits of T_{base} and T_{opt1} , and Nmacross locations for a specific genotype by minimizing the sum square of the estimates with the observations from each location.

Results



- Parameter estimation using nls.lm function; • Using newly estimated T_{base} and T_{opt1} for
- each genotype, nodes on main stem vs. **PD** from different locations can be lined-up with the same node appearance rate (Nm);
- The average T_{base} and T_{opt1} are **9.3** and **22.2**° **C** respectively for our population.

- Traditional QTL mapping (CIM & MIM) peak (above) for Nm;
- Functional Mapping (FM) peak (below) for nodes on main stem (N_m & N_{max}); The same QTL region on chromosome 8
- where *fin* gene is occupied was identified.
- Gene *fin* is responsible for **node** development.









- maximum node appearance rate (node PD⁻¹) under optimum condition for indeterminate and determinate genotypes respectively;
- The average Nm_Det and *Nm_Indet* are **0.32** and **0.25** node PD⁻¹ in our population.