Maize Yield Improvement in Argentina (1965-2010). Indirect Effect on Yield Components and Nitrogen Use Efficiency.

Ferreyra, Juan Matías⁽¹⁾; Arisnabarreta, Sebastian ⁽¹⁾; Andrade, Fernando ⁽²⁾ and Valentinuz, Oscar ⁽³⁾.

(1) Monsanto Argentina, Pergamino, Argentina, ⁽²⁾ INTA-Balcarce, Argentina, ⁽²⁾ INTA-Paraná, Argentina.

Introduction

The yield gain on a breeding program could be explained by the progress in the nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) during the improvement process.

Objectives

The objectives of this work are: a) compare the yield and grain yield components of maize hybrids at their specific selection management (SSHM) evaluated through different level of nitrogen (N) and b) quantify changes in the efficiency of N uptake (NUpE= Kg N uptake Kg N available in the soil⁻¹) and nitrogen internal efficiency (NIE=Kg grain Kg N uptake⁻¹).



Materials and Methods

• Experiment carry on in the Monsanto Fontezuela Research Station (Buenos Aires, Argentina) during the crop season 2010/11. 7 genotypes at their optimal plant population (SSHM) were combined with 5 N rates (0, 60, 120, 180 y 240 kg N ha⁻¹) randomized in a Split-plot design.

Commercial	1965	1975	1985	1993	2000	2003	2010
Launch Year							
Hybrids	DKF880	DK4F32	DK3F22	DK664	DK682MG	DK190MG	DK692MGRR2
Density(pl ha⁻¹)	40000	45000	55000	60000	75000	80000	85000
Cross type	Double	cross	Three-way		Sin	glecross	
SSHM	SSHM'65	SSHM'75	SSHM'85	SSHM'93	SSHM'00	SSHM'03	SSHM'10

• Assessments: i) grain yield at 14.5%, kernel number (KN) and kernel weight (KW), ii) plant growth rate (PGR) and kernel set during the grain filling, iii) biomass and harvest index (HI) and iv) nitrogen uptake and remobilizations.

Results and Discussion

-Grain yield increased linearly during the last 45 years ranging from 44 kg year⁻¹ ha⁻¹ to 96 kg year⁻¹ ha⁻¹ according to N doses (Fig 1).



- The NUE increase during the last 45 years (Fig. 5) from 24 to 34 Kg grain Kg N

Year of Release (Commercial Hybrid Launch)



-The highest grain yield were obtained at the level of 327 to 367 Kg N available in the soil. The greatest response were found with the newest SSHM (Fig. 2). available⁻¹ due higher NIE on the new SSHM (Fig. 7) but no difference between SSHM was found for NUpE, except in the SSHM'10 at the highest N level (240 K N ha⁻¹) (Fig. 6).



Figure 7. Grain yield according with the N uptake by the aerial biomass at physiological maturity (R6). Lineal plateau model were fixed for each SSHM. The NIE increase during the last 45 year. The table on the chart represent the difference between two SSHM for a specific N level.

Conclusions

G		-		0			-	SS	SHM'00 SHM'93	0,85 0,86			 ✓ Grain yield increase from 1965 to 2010 varie ✓ The greater KN on the newest SSHM was d 							ried a due	d according to N rate. Ie to greater number of kernels fixed																	
	1500-	-					-	-Δ- SS	SHM'75	0,88	Figure 2	. N effect of	n the grain	yield for ea	ch SSHM, tho soil (on the "	′Χ″ ~ ⊥	r	nor	unit		2 and	1 mo	ro ci	trac		oran				U							
	0						-	0 SS	SHM'65	0,78	Soil N r	nineralizatio	on + N at	planting),	for each	data set	a	ł	JEI	um	FUI			16 2		5 101	CIAI		_		_							
	- 0	0 5	0	100	150	20) 2	250	300	350	quadrat modern dispersi	ic model v SSHM has on is genera	vas fixed (greater N ited by blog	Y _i = I ₀ + b ₁ x UE than th ok effect	+ b ₂ x², ne older	l _o =0). Tl ones. Da	he ata	٦	✓ NI	JE ir	ncre	ased	dur	ing t	he l	ast 4	45 ye	ears	beca	ause	e of in	creme	nts ir	n NIE	•			
				Tota	al N ava	alible (K	g N ha	-1)				on is Serier																										
																																				Techn Devel by monsant	ology opmer	nt™