

# Soil Surface Greenhouse Gas Fluxes for Differing Residue Removal Rates in a Corn-Soybean Rotation

# Brianna Wegner<sup>a</sup>, Sandeep Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Shannon L. Osborne<sup>b</sup>, and R. Michael Lehman<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Plant Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD; <sup>b</sup>USDA-ARS, North Central Agricultural Research Laboratory, Brookings SD.

#### Overview

- Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and Nitrous
  Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) are the most prevalent greenhouse
  gasses (GHG) released from the soil.
- Management such as tillage and crop residue removal impacts soil organic carbon (SOC) and hence GHG fluxes.



Fig. 3. GC machine

Table 1. Soil organic carbon (SOC) for residue removal and cover crop treatments. Values are compared within a column.

SOC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )				
0-5 cm Soil Depth				
Treatment	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
LRR	<b>2.83</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.99</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.88</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.95</b> <sup>a</sup>
HRR	<b>2.47</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>2.50</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>2.38</b> <sup>b</sup>	2.53 <sup>b</sup>
Cover Crop				
Yes	<b>2.69</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.75</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.67</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.78</b> <sup>a</sup>
Νο	<b>2.63</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.76</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.62</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>2.77</b> <sup>a</sup>
	Analysis of Variance			
Residue	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Cover Crop	0.45	0.67	0.59	0.90
<b>Residue × Cover Crop</b>	0.57	0.81	0.99	0.48

- Managing residue removal on soil surface may be beneficial for the soils and the environment.
- Cover crops, and no-till (NT) systems may improve the soils when crop residue is removed.

## Objectives

 Assessing the impacts of residue removal and cover crops with no-till management on soil surface GHG emissions.

### Materials and Methods

Fig. 2. Collection of gas samples



2014 N2O Fluxes fo	or Residue Removal
Low Residue Removal	High Residue Removal

• SOC was higher in soils under a low residue removal treatment compared to when high levels of residue was removed (Table 1).

## Conclusions

Precipitation impacted the soil surface GHG fluxes, especially N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes. The peaks of N<sub>2</sub>O matched with the high precipitation peaks.

- Study area was located at the USDA-ARS North Central Agricultural Research Station, north of Brookings, South Dakota.
- The experiment was conducted as a no-till corn-soybean rotation with two different residue removal; low residue removal (LRR) and high residue removal (HRR) with and without the presence of a cover crop
- Soil surface gas fluxes were collected weekly using static chamber method, and were analyzed using gas chromatography(GC) (Jacinthe and Dick, 1997) (Fig. 1, 2, and 3).



Fig. 4. Weather data from 2014 compared with N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from residue removal and cover crop treatments

- Cover crops produced lower soil surface  $N_2O$  fluxes compared to those with no cover crop.
- This study is part of an ongoing project, and therefore, further sampling of soil GHG fluxes will be monitored for another year and data will be used in ecosystem model for finding mitigation strategies of GHG fluxes.

# Acknowledgements

 We would like to thank Sharon Nichols, Melisa Young, Sagar Gautam, and Archana Wagle, Liming Lai, Eric Mbonimpa, and Kurt Dagel for



• Snow melt and rainfall events increased  $N_2O$  fluxes for soils with residue removed than for

soils with residue retained(Fig. 4).

• GHG fluxes increased as the temperature increased, but peaks were most noticeable after

rainfall events (Fig. 4).





Jacinthe P.A. and Dick W.A. 1997. Soil

management and nitrous oxide emissions from cultivated fields in southern Ohio. Soil & Tillage Research. 41:221-235.

