

Soil Fingerprint Framework for 'A' Horizon (Topsoil) Characterization and Soil Quality Monitoring in Canada

David Kroetsch¹; Natalie Feisthauer²; Catherine Fox³

¹Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, ECORC, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (david.kroetsch@agr.gc.ca); ²Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, (KTT) Guelph, Ontario, Canada (natalie.feisthauer@agr.gc.ca); ³Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (retired); GPCRC, Harrow, Ontario, Canada (catherine.fox@agr.gc.ca)

Introduction

A soil "fingerprinting" framework developed by Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada researchers and soil specialists has the capacity to describe and monitor the impacts of land management and environment on soil quality and soil quality change. This Framework provides an expanded methodology to systematically track and record the state of change in soil chemical, physical and biological characteristics in 'A' horizon soils to generate a unique descriptor analogous to the generation of a soil "fingerprint". The Framework monitors 'A' horizon characteristics that not only represent dynamic soil properties (soil structure, organic matter content) but also soil and land information (slope attributes, soil texture, land use and surface conditions) that contextualizes the information to allow comparative interpretations of soil quality changes due to beneficial management practices among different soils, or the same soils under different conditions spatially and temporally.

Existing taxonomic protocols for 'A' horizon suffix designators in many soil classification systems emphasize soil genetic process. By introducing additional lowercase suffix levels related to soil properties and morphology, important information can be included in the 'A' horizon designation for enhancing topsoil characterization. The 'A' Horizon Framework was developed with 5 levels of enhanced lower case suffix designators designators are defined: Level 1, Soil processes and environmental context; Level 2, Soil structure-bulk density; Level 3, Organic carbon; Level 4, pH and electrical conductivity; and, Level 5, Soil and landscape context. An electronic Field Form (currently Microsoft Excel[®]) based on the new Framework syntax automatically records and concatenates the soil fingerprint code in an enhanced (all Levels included) and a minimum detail mode focused on the key dynamic properties.

Interpretative products can be developed to visualize or "rate" the soil quality at a location or with time.

Materials & methods

Level 1
Soil Process Designators
1-p Prefix and 1-a Suffix
Syntax:
1-p A 1-s# i.e., fAh2

Level 2
[Soil Structure; Bulk Density]

Soil Structure Character

- Level 2-a Type
- Level 2-b Size Class
- Level 2-c Kind of Arrangement
- Level 2-d % Type Occurrence
- Level 2-e Consistency-Stability
- Level 2-f Bulk Density (BD)

Syntax: Square brackets [Level 2]

- Structure Code sequence: [2 a 2 b, 2 a 2 e]
- If more than one structure type, separate according to kind of arrangement 2-c
- Up to 4 structure types can be recorded
- Include (optional) Bulk Density range class (FAO 2006) and/or actual BD analyses
- Structure Codes; (BD estimate/BD value)

Level 3
(% Organic Carbon)

Syntax: Round brackets

- Separate range class from actual data using semi-colon,
- i.e. (code/value)
- Maintain syntax to show missing data
- i.e. Ap(hw1.3) Ap(h)
- i.e. Ap[wa&S;2h;1.2) Ap[wa;h]

Level 4
(pH/EC)

Syntax: Curly brackets

- Slash to separate pH information from EC
- Use semi-colon to separate range code from actual value
- Maintain syntax with missing data
- i.e. Ap[wa&S;2h;1.2) Ap[wa;h]

Level 5: Soil/Land Context

- A: Soil Texture
- B: Soil Surface Conditions
- C: Current Land Use
- D: Slope Character

Start with Level 1.

- Include Level 1-p, lowercase suffixes on soil processes
- Level 1-p prefixes (optional) describe environment, mode of deposition
- Use number for distinguishing same A horizon with depth, i.e. Ap1, Ap2
- Add additional Levels of information as needed.

Results & discussion

Comparison Study (Since 1959) Different Crops on Same Soil Type
Conventional Tillage – Brookston Clay Loam;
Humic Gleysol (Aquolls, Humaquepts)
Woodslee, Ontario (Canada)



Sod – Permanent Cover

Ahp [gr +sbk;BD1] (6.0)



Corn - Rotation

Apfn[bk-sbk+py+gr;BD2](2.8)



Corn - Continuous

Apg[cd-sbk;BD3](2.3)

Field Site	Plot	Repl.	Depth (cm)	Ref. No.	Soil Fingerprint Code: Enhanced Detail Mode: All Levels and Options
			Upper/Lower		
SOD	6	F	0 10	1	Ahp [30fm.gr2 +60fm.sbk2;BD1/0.91] CL (xh;6) (n;VS);TH;gG/sL1
RC	2	F	0 10	2	Apfn [30bk2 -30sbk2+20py3+10gr;BD2/1.33] CL (m;2.8) (n;VS);CR;aC/sL1
CC	3	F	0 10	3	Apg [60cd3 -40sbk2;BD3/1.49] CL (m;2.3) (n;VS);CR;WT/aC/sL1

Soil Fingerprint Code: Enhanced Detailed Mode: All Levels

SCORE	CROP GROWTH POTENTIAL
1100 - 1300	Excellent to Very Good
800 - 1100	Good
< 800	Poor to Very Poor

SCORE	CROP GROWTH POTENTIAL
1100 - 1300	Excellent to Very Good
800 - 1100	Good
< 800	Poor to Very Poor

SCORE	CROP GROWTH POTENTIAL
1100 - 1300	Excellent to Very Good
800 - 1100	Good
< 800	Poor to Very Poor

WSD00 F SCORE 1332

WDRCE SCORE 902

WDCCF SCORE 224

Soil Fingerprint Code Ratings for Crop Growth Potential

Conclusions

- Provides a unique soil fingerprint code incorporating dynamic soil properties
- Provides for adaptability to build a database to enable spatial and temporal evaluations
- Designed for detailed monitoring at field, plot and landscape scales
- Uses Taxonomic protocols from existing field description and classification systems providing consistency in terminology for undertaking interpretations of change
- Linking to interpretations and ratings allows for monitoring Soil fingerprint and soil quality spatially and temporally

References

Fox, C.A., Tarnocai, C., Broll, G., Joschko, M., Kroetsch, D. and Kenney, E. 2014. Enhanced A Horizon Framework and Field Form for detailed field scale monitoring of dynamic soil properties. Can. J. Soil Sci. 2014, 94(2): 189-208, 10.4141/cjss2013-079.

Acknowledgements

C. Tarnocai, G. Broll, M. Joschko, E. Kenney

Soil Fingerprint Framework Field Form Level Codes

Soil Fingerprint Framework Field Form Ratings for Crop Growth Potential

Soil Type	100	80	60	40	0
Soil Type: sandy	100	80	60	40	0
Soil Type: silty	100	70	0	0	0
Soil Type: loam	100	80	60	0	0
Soil Type: clay	100	70	0	0	0