



Chickpea plant ideotype development for semi-arid subtropical climates to assist plant breeding

Introduction

Empirically, plant breeders attempt to increase crop productivity by focusing their selection criteria on yield. However, this approach faces many challenges because of the low heritability of yield. Plant ideotype breeding which attempts to construct a model plant with the desired morphological and physiological traits augments empirical breeding. Selection of a combination of plant characters which can act through additive gene action can enhance crop productivity in the target environment. A plant ideotype gives plant breeders a structural framework to target in a defined environment. Terminal drought is a major problem in semi-arid subtropical climates due to the rapid warming experienced in spring causing huge yield losses. However, in some years there is sufficient in-season moisture to produce higher yields. A model plant in this type of environment should show plasticity and produce high yield in seasons with higher rainfall and limit yield losses under terminal drought in drier years.

Material and methods

multiple linear regression accumulation and retention, high 2600 y = 1.1577x + 71.53 $R^2 = 0.6654$ 2400 2200

Thirty chickpea genotypes were evaluated in the field (Narrabri, NSW) in till +/- irrigation and no till +/irrigation. Data on phenology, morphology and physiological traits was recorded. Analysis of variance, correlation, analysis and trait weighting were used to develop an ideotype. Review of literature was also used to benchmark some traits. **Results and conclusions** Drought tolerant genotypes were closer to the model ideotype and were high yielding in both well watered and water stress conditions (Fig. 1 & 2). No till crops had higher yields in both moisture regimes. Important drought tolerance traits included early biomass photosynthetic active radiation interception (PAR) and early maturity (Table 1 & Fig. 3). These traits can be targeted for selection if they are highly heritable and easy to work with.



Figure 1: Chickpea optimal yields vs Drought yields

Peter Kaloki^{1*}, Richard Trethowan¹ and Daniel K.Y. Tan¹ University of Sydney, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, Sydney, Australia * Peter.kaloki@sydney.edu.au





Figure 2: Chickpea genotypes vs Ideotype

Acknowledgements: Australia Africa Awards, Grains Research and Development Corporation and The University of Sydney



5)	Wald statistic	F stat	F pr.	Correlation with yield	Trait range		
					Lowest	Highest	LSD
	50.65	50.65	<0.001	0.40	6.9	34.1	7.0
	26.62	26.62	<0.001	0.11	27.5	29.5	1.5
	10.42	10.42	0.009	0.14	66.0	76.3	4.0
	60.8	60.8	<0.001	-0.29	79.6	104.1	5.3
	92.62	92.62	<0.001	-0.28	121.1	128.9	3.2
	30.62	30.62	<0.001	-0.06	2.0	3.8	0.6
	65.69	65.69	<0.001	0.46	0.672	0.769	0.034
	19.83	19.83	0.001	0.56	0.737	0.869	0.084
	32.53	32.53	<0.001	0.53	0.319	0.603	0.085
	81.82	81.82	<0.001	-0.01	12.2	15.2	0.8
	21.29	21.29	<0.001	-0.42	23.0	81.3	15.6
	10.32	10.32	0.009	0.02	1.0	1.6	0.2
	8.55	8.55	0.015	0.25	61.8	81.8	12.1
	79.84	79.84	<0.001	0.42	87.5	96.4	4.2
	44.49	44.49	<0.001	0.42	82.9	96.5	8.6
	49.14	49.14	<0.001	-0.04	50.7	66.3	5.0
	34.55	34.55	<0.001	-0.26	53.1	69.1	4.8
	90.75	90.75	<0.001	-0.44	7.6	50.5	5.3
	43.52	43.52	<0.001	0.05	0.8	0.8	0.0
	42.13	42.13	<0.001	-0.06	46.6	65.5	5.9
	46.11	46.11	<0.001	0.11	0.3	0.5	0.0
	95.63	95.63	<0.001	-0.06	3.6	21.0	2.3
	96.12	96.12	<0.001	-0.06	0.3	1.6	0.2
	93.97	93.97	<0.001	-0.49	16.7	82.6	10.3
	7.460	7.460	0.021	-0.21	0.0070	0.0100	0.0006





Poster ID# 92310

