

# DIALLEL ANALYSIS AND CORRELATION BETWEEN AGRONOMIC TRAITS OF TROPICAL MAIZE **PROGENIES UNDER TWO NITROGEN LEVELS**

Authors: João Cândido de Souza<sup>1</sup>, Rafael Parreira Diniz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Adjunt professor at Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras/MG, Brazil; cansouza@dbi.ufla.br

<sup>2</sup> Post Doctoral Student at Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Mandioca e Fruticultura Tropical, Cruz das Almas/BA, Brazil; rafadiniz\_rpd@yahoo.com.br

## **INTRODUCTION**

#### RESULTS

Table 1 Mean squares, significance and importance of GCA and SCA (GMD) in relation to

Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) of plants is an active area of study in agricultural research. This is because reduction in the input of nitrogen fertilizers in the production systems can lead to reductions in costs and reduction of environmental problems while creating more

## sustainable farming system.

# **OBJECTIVES**

- Study the genetic control and characterize progenies  $S_{0:2}$  regarding the NUE  $\checkmark$
- Verify, through correlations and relationships of cause and effect, whether there are  $\checkmark$ 
  - differences between agronomic traits of maize plants grown in environments with low and

high availability of nitrogen in the soil.

diallel analysis for grain yield of genotypes grown in field experiments with two levels of nitrogen availability in the soil.

C37	DF –	MS					
SV.		Low N	High N	Joint Analysis			
Genotypes (G)	(15)	16487698.79**	27992338.41**	42432115.08			
GCA	3.	1751661.07	8956563.23	7348298.69			
SCA	<u>s</u>	39139551.79**	64087847.88**	100922986.24**			
Reciprocal (R)	<u>¢</u>	1203864.64	1414716.53	1483152.11			
Environments (E)	1	-	-	802422.17			
GxE	(15)	-	-	2047922.12			
GCA x E	3	_	-	3359925.61			
SCA x E	<u>6</u>	-	-	2304413.43			
RxE	<u>6</u>	_	-	1135429.06			
Error Individual	38	822749.50	2940306.30	_			
Error Joint	76	_	-	1881528.00			
$\frac{GMD}{\left(\left[2k^2 \csc/(2k^2 \csc+k^2 \csc)\right]\right)}$		0.005	0.022	0.0135			

Table 2 Classification of the progenies and synthesized hybrids in the complete diallel in relation to what was expected and what was observed with regard to the use of nitrogen.

Guedes <u>et</u> al. (2011)		Guedes <u>et</u> al. (2015)				
	Top Cross	Per se	Progenies (S <sub>0:2</sub> )		Proger	nies So.2
			Expected	Observed	Expected	Observed
L3	RT	RT	RT	RT	RT	R <sub>n</sub> T
L8	$R_nT_n$	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	RT
L9	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	$R_nT_n$	RT
L11	$R_nT_n$	RT	$R_nT_n$	RT	RT	R <sub>n</sub> T
			Hybrids (S <sub>0:1</sub> )		Hybrid	$ds(S_{0:2})$
(3x8)	-	-	$R_nT/RT_n$	R <sub>n</sub> T	$R_nT/RT_n$	$R_nT_n$
(8x3)	-	-	-	_	$R_nT/RT_n$	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$
(3x9)	-	-	$R_nT/RT_n$	RT	$R_nT/RT_n$	RTn
(9x3)	-	-	_	-	$R_nT/RT_n$	RTn
(3x11)	-	-	$R_nT/RT_n$	RT	RT	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$
(11x3)	-	-	_	_	RT	RTn
(8x9)	-	-	$R_nT_n$	RTn	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$	RT
(9x8)	-	-			$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$	RT
(8x11)	-	-	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$	RT	$R_nT/RT_n$	RT
(11x8)	-	_	-	_	$R_nT/RT_n$	RTn
(9x11)	-	_	$\mathbf{R}_{n}\mathbf{T}_{n}$	RT	$R_nT/RT_n$	$\mathbf{R_nT_n}$
(11x9)	-	_	_	_	$R_nT/RT_n$	R <sub>n</sub> T

#### **MATERIAL and METHODS**

- ✓ Experiments were carried out at Universidade Federal de Lavras / Brazil
- ✓ Four progenies previously classified as responsiveness and tolerance to nitrogen were

#### crossed in a complete diallel scheme



# $\checkmark$ F<sub>1</sub>'s

✓ RCBD ✓ Reciprocal crosses  $\checkmark$  3 rep  $\checkmark$  4 controls

**\*** Agronomic traits mensured

- The leaves chlorophyll content
- Male and female flowering
- Stem diameter

# **\*** Yield components

 $\checkmark$  Low N

 $\checkmark$  High N

- Ear weight
- Ear lenght
- Ear diameter

Table 3 Estimate of direct (D) and indirect effects (I) of agronomic traits through path analysis of maize plants developed in low N availability in the soil.

			<b>CD</b>		DIT		DD OT			
EFFECT		EH	SD	PH	PH	SG	PROL	MF	<b>F</b> F	00
D	GY	0.14	0.13	-0.11	0.09	-0.30	0.05	0.12	-0.47	0.02
I	EH	-	0.08	-0.00	0.13	-0.07	0.01	-0.08	-0.09	0.00
I	SD	0.07	-	-0.00	0.08	-0.07	0.02	-0.04	-0.06	0.03
I	PH	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-0.02	0.02	-0.00	-0.01	0.02
I	PH	0.08	0.05	-0.00	-	0.05	0.01	-0.04	-0.05	-0.00
I	SG	0.14	0.16	-0.05	0.18	-	0.04	-0.07	-0.10	-0.02
I	PROL	0.00	0.01	-0.01	0.01	-0.00	-	-0.00	-0.01	0.10
I	MF	-0.06	-0.04	0.00	-0.06	-0.02	-0.00	-	0.10	-0.00
I	FF	0.29	0.21	-0.07	0.29	-0.16	0.13	-0.41	-	0.05
I	CC	0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	_

- Plant height
- First ear height
- Plant healthy
- Stay-green
- Prolificacy
- Grain yield Statisticals Analyses
- $\checkmark$  Anova individual and joint
- ✓ Griffing's method 1
- ✓ Pearson's correlation

## Number of rows

- Number of kernels per row
- Kernel lenght
- Cob weight
- Cob diameter
- 1,000 kernel weight
- ✓ Path analysis

* <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	0.79
<b>&amp;VRE</b>	0.45

# CONCLUSIONS

The non-additives effects were more important and stable for the nitrogen use efficiency when assessing grain yield.

The classification of hybrid progenies was not stable when it advanced a generation of self-pollination.

The female flowering for low-N environment presented a direct effect on grain yield.

