Development and Yield of Upland Cotton Grown Using Different Tillage Systems in Virginia **Robert Longest* and W. Hunter Frame***

Abstract

Tillage studies were conducted in Suffolk, Virginia from 2013-2016 comparing the tillage during 2013-2016. effects of conventional, minimal, no tillage, and a strip tillage control, on early season growth and lint yield of four contemporary varieties. Phytogen 499 WRF, **Tillage System** Plant Height (cm) Deltapine 1321 B2RF, Deltapine 1028 B2RF, and Fibermax 1944 GLB2 were assessed for responses in plant height, soil compaction, lint yield, and lint quality with a split-plot design. Soil compaction was greatest in the no-till treatment 2016 with depths to a root restrictive layer ranging from 7.62 - 12.7 cm, resulting in No-Till 34 14 21 shorter plant heights compared to other tillage methods in 2 out of 4 years. **Conventional Tillage** 20 33 13 Significant differences in plant heights (P < 0.05) between tillage treatments were observed weekly starting at the fifth week after planting in both the 2013 and Minimum Tillage 13 21 33 2014 study. Deltapine 1321 B2RF had consistently taller plant heights in all years, Strip Tillage 23 39 14 with significant varietal differences being present in all sampling intervals for NS NS Pr > FNS 2014 and 2015. In-season plant development seemed to be impacted more by varietal differences than tillage. No-till resulted in slightly lower yields compared 2015 to other tillage treatments, however no significant differences in lint yields No-Till 39 21 26 18 among tillage treatments were observed in any year. Lint yield differed among varieties in 2013 and 2014. Deltapine 1321 B2RF produced the highest lint yields **Conventional Tillage** 25 31 21 of 1,746.3 kg. ha^{-1} , 1,467.2 kg. ha^{-1} , and 692.9 kg. ha^{-1} in 2013, 2014, and Minimum Tillage 25 33 20 2015, respectively. Differences in lint quality factors were strongly influenced by Strip Tillage 33 20 25 variety, however tillage was only observed to effect micronaire with differences NS NS NS Pr > Fin 2013 (P = 0.0064). There was no tillage by variety interaction in any year, supporting the idea that varieties respond similarly across tillage systems. 2014 No-Till 32 47 b* 60 b **Objectives Conventional Tillage** 55 a 69 a 37 Determine the effect of different tillage systems on early season cotton Minimum Tillage 36 55 a 69 a development for four commonly grown cotton varieties and soil compaction Strip Tillage during the growing season. 35 52 ab 67 ab Evaluate the interaction of cotton varieties and tillage practices on lint yield 0.0271 0.021 Pr > FNS and quality of cotton produced in the upper Southeast coastal plain. 2013 **Materials and Methods** No-Till 20 b* 32 b 13 Conducted yearly from 2013-2016 Conventional Tillage 37 a 22 ab 14 Split-plot experimental design (t=16) with tillage as the main plot factor and Minimum Tillage 37 a 22 ab 14 variety as the subplot factor Strip Tillage 23 a 39 a Tillage treatments: No-till, Conventional, Minimum, Strip-till 15 (control) NS 0.0226 0.0113 **Pr > F** Varieties: PHY 499 WRF, DP 1321 B2RF, DP 1028 B2RF, FM 1944 GLB2

20 A M

- Plots were 12 rows wide by 10.7 m in length with a row spacing of 91 cm Sub-plots were two rows wide and two rows on either side of sub-plots served as border between tillage treatments
- Plant heights were recorded weekly in centimeters from four weeks after planting (WAP) until eight WAP
- In-season soil compaction measurements were taken at the matchhead square (MHS) growth stage within tillage treatment across varieties using an analog DICKEY-john dial soil penetrometer
- Plots were harvested using a modified commercial CASE IH two-row cotton picker which had load cells and recorded seed cotton weights
- Statistical analysis was performed using Proc GLIMMIX in SAS 9.3 and ANOVA was run on stand counts, plant heights, soil compaction, total nodes, nodes above white flower, and yield. Tukey-Kramer's HSD method was used to detect differences in treatment using α =0.05 significance level.

Experimental Site Information

Table 1: Study site information by location and year.

	<u>Location</u>	Year	<u>Soil Type</u>	<u>Latitude</u>	<u>Longitude</u>	Dep
	3 2	2016 2015/2014	Eunola loamy fine sand Suffolk loamy sand	36.66263 36.68293	-76.73599 -76.75793	0
1	1	2013	Eunola loamy fine sand Kenansville loamy sand	36.66356	-76.73528	Fig. 1: In
	Philippe	State of the local division of the local div	ATTACK OF A DECK	and the second second	THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	1 North Street



letters within year are significantly different at $\alpha = 0.05$.

