# **Developing a Soil Kit for Extension Programming**

YO SUELO SUELO SOL Caitlin Youngquist<sup>1</sup>, Jay Norton<sup>2</sup>, James Harsh<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Wyoming Extension, Washakie County, cyoungqu@uwyo.edu <sup>2</sup>University of Wyoming, Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, jnorton@uwyo.edu <sup>3</sup>Washington State University, Department of Crops and Soils, harsh@wsu.edu



# ABSTRACT

Soil and Horticulture Extension programs are enhanced by hands-on and interactive activities. Readily available and inexpensive soil test kits can be useful in Extension programming in the classroom and the field. With the addition of a few extra supplies and tools, a complete kit can be created for Extension educators to use in horticulture and soil programming for gardeners and professionals. Five soil test kits from different manufacturers were evaluated for both ease-of-use and accuracy. Kits included tests for nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and pH. The kits used for this project are available at many garden centers and online retailers. To expand the kits for use with large groups, inexpensive and readily available materials were added. Additional teaching and soil assessment tools were also evaluated and added to the kit for measuring or demonstrating soil texture, pH, and salinity. By using and evaluating several kits and tools, a set of supplies and protocols was developed that work well in both the classroom and the field.

# CLASSROOM KITS TAILGATE KITS CLASSROOM IN A CLASSRO

organic nitrogen, seasonal changes in nitrogen levels, effects of pH on nutrient availability, and phosphorus dynamics in soil. A soil texture kit can be added for additional hands-on activities and adds context to a discussion about cation exchange capacity, compaction, and water holding capacity in different soils.

Five soil chemistry test kits were compared for accuracy, ease-of-use, and expandability.

All kits included tests for nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K). Six Wyoming soils were tested with each kit and nutrient results were compared to lab analyses<sup>1</sup>. The soils ranged from 1.0% to 4.4% organic matter (OM) with a pH of 7.0 to 8.3. Accuracy of soil test kits will likely vary based on certain soil characteristics (pH, texture, OM, etc.). The goal of this project was to share observations about the usefulness of various kits as teaching tools, not complete a statistically rigorous comparison between kits.

Results from the five soil test kits matched the lab analysis results about two thirds of the time and no single kit stood out as more, or less accurate, than the others.

- Kit results for N correlated with laboratory results more closely than kit results for P and K.
- Kit results for P were consistently lower than lab results for P.
- The K results from all soil test kits were moderately consistent with the lab results.



## E.C., and soil nutrient levels.



### SOIL PH AND SALINITY

Handheld pH and E.C meters are useful tools for testing soil and irrigation water samples in the classroom, at the office, or in the field.

Protocols for measuring pH and E.C in the field using a 1:1 soil to water solution are available in the NRCS Soil Quality Test Kit Guide<sup>5</sup>. The same 1:1 solution can be used for measuring soil salinity, pH, and water extractable  $NO_3^-$  and  $PO_4^-$ .



TEST KIT E	EXPANSION	Texture examples
<ul> <li>No refills or extra components</li> <li>Results reported as letters (A-D) instead of levels, interpretation is confusing</li> <li>Grade: A</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cost per test: ~ \$0.60</li> <li><u>Disadvantages:</u></li> <li>pH test not included</li> <li>Reports only 3 levels (H, M, L)</li> <li>Extra components are expensive</li> <li>Grade: A</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>clean; cannot be purchased separately</li> <li>Not suitable for individuals with limited motor function</li> <li>Too easy to spill</li> <li>Digital meter is useless</li> <li>Grade: B</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Very little spill risk</li> <li>Suitable for individuals with limited motor function</li> <li><u>Disadvantages:</u></li> <li>Cost per test ~ \$2.40.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reagents, extra components available from manufacturer</li> <li>Easy to interpret results</li> <li>Results correlated with lbs/acre</li> </ul>	<u>Disadvantages:</u> •Cost per test ~ \$3.00 •Not easily expandable •Tubes not designed for reuse; hard to
<u>Advantages:</u> •Very easy to use •Easily expandable •Requires minimal reagents	<ul> <li><u>Advantages:</u></li> <li>Easy to use</li> <li>Easily expandable</li> <li>Reagents in tablet form, low spill risk</li> </ul>	Advantages: •Easy to use •Refills on capsules available •Easy to interpret results
Tests: 10 N, P, K + 10 pH	Tests: 50 N, P, K	Tests: 5 N, P, K + 10 pH

The AccuGrow® Soil TestThe LaMotte NPK Soil TestStrips are easily expandedKit is easily expanded usingusing medicine cups, coffeerepurposed containers or labstir sticks, and kitchensupplies for the extractionmeasuring spoons.tube.

SOIL TEXTURE KIT



Tests: 10 N, P, K, + 10 pH

Reagents and extra components

•Not suitable for individuals with limited

Instructions poorly written, hard to

available from manufacturer

•Easy to interpret results

•Cost per test ~ \$2.60

Advantages:

•Easy to use

**Disadvantages:** 

motor function

Too easy to spill

read

Grade: C

•Easily expandable

Pipets can be replaced with drinking straws and kitchen measuring spoons.

Otterers:

Kit includes texture samples for sand, silt (cornstarch), clay (modeling clay), and organic matter (potting soil). Flow charts and video are available from Washington State University Extension: https://puyallup.wsu.edu/soils/soils/. A high resolution textural triangle is available at www.soilsensor.com. sticker templates are available at www.soils.org/stickers.

### University of California<sup>6</sup>.

Use a coffee filter to separate soil water solution for analysis (1, 2). Match color on test swab to vial (3) to see ppm of water extractable  $NO_3^-$  and  $PO_4^-$ .

Guide.<sup>5</sup> The accuracy of this method for soil  $NO_3^{-1}$ 

has also been verified by researchers at the

Trade or brand names used in this publication are used only for the purpose of educational information. The information given herein is supplied with the understanding that no discrimination is intended, and no endorsement information of products by the University of Wyoming Extension is implied. Nor does it imply approval of products to the exclusion of others, which may also be suitable.

than plastic wrap, and re-usable.

UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

EXTENSION

Issued in furtherance of extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Glen Whipple, Director, University of Wyoming is an equal opportunity/affirmative action institution.

Tests: 10 N, P, K + 10 pH

Instructions are easy to read

•Reagents not pre-measured

•No refills or extra components

available from manufacturer

•Results difficult to interpret

•5 nutrient levels (VH, H, M, L, VL)

•Extraction tube difficult to operate

Not suitable for individuals with limited

Advantages:

Disadvantages:

motor function

Grade: D

•Cost per test ~ \$2.20

•Not easily expandable



 Funding for this project was provide by the Western Sustainable Agriculture Research and Education Program (SARE)

 REFERENCES:

 1: Stukenholtz Labs, Twin Falls ID.

 2: Faber, B. A., A.J. Downer, D. Holstege, and M.J. Mochizuki. 2007. Accuracy Varies for Commercially Available Soil Test Kits Analyzing Nitrate-Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, and pH. Hort Technology. 17: 358-362.

 3: Carter, M and E. Gregorich, eds. 2008. Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Canadian Society of Soil Science. Taylor and Francis Boca Raton, FL.

 4: University of Minnesota Research Analytical Lab. Soil Analysis and Methods: <a href="http://ral.cfans.umn.edu/soil-analysis-and-methods/#32">http://ral.cfans.umn.edu/soil-analysis-and-methods/#32</a>

 5: USDA-NRCS Soil Quality Test Kit Guide. <a href="http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/health/assessment/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053873">http://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=13140</a>

 6: Murphy, L, M.D. Cahn, and R. Smith. March 14, 2014. Accuracy of Test Strips for Assessing Nitrate Concentration in Soil and Water. Salinas Valley Agriculture Blog. University of California. <a href="http://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=13140">http://ucanr.edu/blogs/blogcore/postdetail.cfm?postnum=13140</a>