# Nitrogen Management for Carbon Credit Neville Millar and G. Philip Robertson, W.K. Kellogg Biological Station and Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University

#### Why we care about nitrous oxide

Nitrogen fertilizer application to corn on the **KBS LTER Resource Gradient Experiment** 



**KBS LTER Resource Gradient Experiment: testing how crops** respond to various levels of nitrogen fertilize

#### Measuring nitrous oxide emissions



Manual chamber technology used to sample for  $N_2O$  gas from soil surface.  $N_2O$  concentrations analyzed using gas chromatography. N<sub>2</sub>O flux calculated from concentration change over time.



#### Michigan, USA 42.41-43.45 N; 83.64-85.37W Location Maize - soybean Rotation RCBD (4 replicates) Design: Plots: 15.2 × 5 m 0, 45, 90, 135, 180, 225 kg ha N rates ine loam



- Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) with a Global Warming Potential (GWP)  $\sim$  300 × CO<sub>2</sub>
- Nitrous oxide is the most important precursor of atmospheric gases that deplete stratospheric ozone
- About two thirds of global anthropogenic  $N_2O$  emissions and more than three quarters of total U.S.A.  $N_2O$ emissions are from agriculture, predominantly from cropping systems with external N inputs to the soil
- Nitrogen fertilizer rate is a very good predictor of nitrous oxide emissions

### Benefits of lowering nitrous oxide emissions

• Nitrogen (N) will be used more efficiently by the crop • Fertilizer costs can be lowered without a yield loss • Agriculture's global warming impact will be reduced • Other N losses (e.g., nitrate leaching) can be reduced





### Nitrous oxide response curves



## Carbon markets provide financial incentives to lower N rate



0.4 0.0 0 40 80 120 160 200 240 N fertilizer rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	0.0 50 100 150 200 250 300 N fertilizer rate (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<ul> <li>How to manage for lower N rate</li> <li>4R stewardship improves NUE         <ul> <li>Should translate to lower N rate for same yield</li> </ul> </li> <li>Precision N application         <ul> <li>Variable rate lessens fertilizer need</li> </ul> </li> <li>Precision estimation of N need         <ul> <li>MRTN better predicts average</li> <li>Real-time process modeling</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Co-benefits Reducing N fertilizer rate can: • Lower loss of other reactive N species • Provide financial savings to the farmer • Generate offsets for the marketplace
Barriers to farmer participation	Some potential next steps
<ul> <li>Institutional</li> <li>Lack of policy (direction)</li> <li>Low Carbon offset price (no incentive)</li> <li>Agricultural</li> <li>Record keeping (availability and access)</li> <li>Technology (availability and access)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Combine complementary policies with an emissions trading program</li> <li>Test cropland N management protocols in compliance markets</li> <li>Allow projects to stack offset credits</li> <li>Credit multiple offset types separately</li> </ul>



IPCC (1% EF)

Shcherbak et al. (2014)

-0.

0.8

.....

ha<sup>-1</sup>)

N<sub>2</sub>O-N



The incentive to reduce N fertilizer rate is increased as baseline N fertilizer rate increases

#### **Project based**

Cost (validation and verification)

Multiple protocols (uncertainty)

#### Personal

Management legacy (inertia) • Risk (averse)





Acknowledgements: Kevin Kahmark, Iurii Shcherbak, Abisai Urrea, Ivan Ortiz-Monasterio

