# Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and concentration under drip irrigation in dry-land agriculture, China

# Chengyi Zhao<sup>1</sup>\*, Yongxiang Yu<sup>1</sup>, Karl Stahr<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoning Zhao<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Science, China (\*zcy@ms.xjb.ac.cn) <sup>2</sup>Hohenheim University, Emil-Wolff-Str. 27, 70593 Stuttgart, Germany

# Introduction

Dry-land agriculture in China comprise of more than 45% of the Earth land surface. Mean soil respiration rates of dry-land agriculture is from 1.96 to 4.86 higher than the natural ecosystems in arid area (Lai et al.,2012). Drip irrigation farmland is approximately 5 million ha<sup>-1</sup> in Xinjiang. Improve the utilize efficient of canal water from 0.48 to 0.65. Save water resource 1.0 billion cubic meter.



Results

120 -60 +60

120

С

-120 -60 +60 120

Average contribution of root respiration (Rr) to total soil respiration (Rt) in cotton field was about 72%. The DNDC model was able to simulate the temporal variation in soil and root respiration.





Total Soil CO2 Efflux (TScer)

Soil boundary layer CO

#### Unresolved questions:

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is much larger than that reported in other studies. Plastic film will stop soil  $CO_2$  emission from farmland? **?**Alkali soil is an overstocked missing Carbon Pool?

## Methods

- Soil respiration under the crowns of plants (*Rt*), and between the gaps of plants (*Rh*). Root respiration (Rr) was calculated by: Rr = Rt - Rd.
- and root respiration were modelled by the DNDC model Soil
- Directly measured CO<sub>2</sub> efflux(chamber method) vs. estimated CO<sub>2</sub> efflux by gradient measurements and diffusivity models(Fick's first law of diffusion).
- 4. Measured soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and NPP from mulched and non-mulched fields

The sensitivity tests showed that temperature, precipitation, soil organic C content, fertilization, and irrigation had a positive effect on soil respiration. Root respiration was more sensitivity to temperature, precipitation, fertilization and irrigation.



1.0

1.5

2.0

+ - - + +

Most daily q values of were smaller than those during general growth period. Daily q decreased gradually with the increasing of soil temperature. Arrhenius function simulated the relationship between Rs and Ts more accurately than other four functions.

ameters for the analysis of the dependence of daily mean soil respiration ( $R_s$ ) on soil temperature at the 10-cm depth ( $T_s$ ), and temperature-normali:  $(R_{\rm sN})$  during the growing season on  $W_{\rm s}$  of the 0–10 cm layer

|    | Function name<br>Ts | Parameter |          |                |       |         |                |                |       |       |                       |
|----|---------------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-------|---------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|
|    |                     | a         | b        | R <sub>0</sub> | В     | A       | E <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>c</sub> | Rc    | с     | <b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> |
| Pu | Linear              | -0.306    | 0.05     |                |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.994                 |
|    | Exponential         |           |          | 0.079          | 0.107 |         |                | 200            |       |       | 0.98                  |
|    | Arrhenius           |           |          |                |       | 5.074E7 | 4.4221         | :4             | 1000  |       | 0.85                  |
|    | Lloyd-Taylor        |           |          | 0.004          |       |         | 1044           | -82.363        | 1839  | 1700  | 0.96                  |
|    | Soil moisture       |           | h        | 0.004          |       |         |                |                |       | 1./66 | 0.96                  |
|    | Hyperbolic          | 132 615   | -262 873 | -16 397        |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.81                  |
|    | Bunnell             | 0.083     | -0.244   | -4.115E5       |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.74                  |
|    | Function name       | Daramatar |          |                |       |         |                |                |       |       |                       |
|    | Function name       | Parameter |          |                |       |         |                |                |       |       |                       |
|    | Ts                  | а         | b        | Ro             | В     | А       | $E_0$          | $T_c$          | Rc    | с     | $R^2$                 |
| Pg | Linear              | -0.109    | 0.033    |                |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.96                  |
|    | Exponential         |           |          | 0.098          | 0.085 |         |                |                |       |       | 0.96                  |
|    | Arrhenius           |           |          |                |       | 9.269   | E6 4.062E4     |                |       |       | 0.90                  |
|    | Lloyd-Taylor        |           |          |                |       |         | 35.716         | 267.84         | 2.285 |       | 0.97                  |
|    | Power               |           |          | 0.012          |       |         |                |                |       | 1.270 | 0.96                  |
|    | Ws                  | a         | b        | с              |       |         |                |                |       |       |                       |
|    | Hyperbolic          | 205.976   | -393.114 | -26.697        |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.84                  |
|    | Bunnell             | 0.121     | -0.235   | -2.732E5       |       |         |                |                |       |       | 0.74                  |

The five temperature-response functions performed well in describing the variations of *Rs* both for *Pu* and *Pg* during the study period. However, when the variations of

temperature sensitivity of Rs are considered, the

Arrhenius model proved to be the optimum model for the

simulating the variations of *R*.



### Conclusions

- > Root respiration plays an important role in the cotton field, which was sensitivity to temperature, precipitation, fertilization and irrigation.
- $\triangleright$  The estimated CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes by gradient measurements and diffusivity correlated well with measured data.
- > Daily q decreased gradually with the increasing of soil temperature, and Arrhenius model proved to be the optimum model for the simulating the variations of soil respiration.



 $\triangleright$  Plastic film mulching increased the production of CO<sub>2</sub> in the soil profile whereas the emissions were unaffected, indicating plastic film stop soil  $CO_2$  emission from arable soil.

> With land areas of cotton under plastic film mulching reaching 750 000 ha in Xinjiang, this type of cultivation had a higher carbon sequestration in terms of NEP, with value of  $1.9 \times 10^9$  kg C season<sup>-1</sup> comparing with non-mulching.

References

Although plastic film mulching enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> content in the soil profile by 29%, soil CO<sub>2</sub> emission was unaffected by plastic film, Our results demonstrate plastic film mulching is an as the cumulative  $CO_2$  emissions were 2.53 and 2.62 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> for effective way to increase carbon sequestration in the the non-mulched and mulched treatments, respectively. The short term in cotton systems of arid areas through relationships between soil  $CO_2$  concentration and emission were increasing NPP rather than decreasing soil CO<sub>2</sub> affected by plastic film mulching.

Zhao, Z. et al. (2013). Interpreting the dependence of soil respiration on soil temperature and moisture in an oasis cotton field, central Asia. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 2013(168), 46-52. Yu, Y., & Zhao, C. (2015). Modelling soil and root respiration in a cotton field using the DNDC model. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 178(5), 787-791.

emission.