

# Genome-wide Association Mapping of Resistance to Phytophthora sojae in a Soybean Germplasm Panel from Maturity Groups IV and V

1 Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061, USA, 2 National Soybean Improvement Center Shijiazhuang Sub-Center. North China Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Soybean Ministry of Agriculture. Cereal & Oil Crop Institute, Hebei Academy of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050031, P.R. China, 3 USDA, Agricultural Research Service, Soybean Genomics and Improvement Lab, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA, 4 Department of Horticulture, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, USA

## ABSTRACT

Phytophthora sojae, an oomycete pathogen of soybean, causes stem and root rot, resulting in annual economic loss up to \$2 billion worldwide. Varieties with P. sojae resistance are environmental friendly to effectively reduce disease damages. In order to improve the resistance of P. sojae and broaden the genetic diversity in Southern soybean cultivars and germplasm in the U.S., we established a *P. sojae* resistance gene pool that has high genetic diversity, and explored genomic regions underlying the host resistance to P. sojae races 1, 3, 7, 17 and 25. A soybean germplasm panel from maturity groups (MGs) IV and V including 189 accessions originated from 10 countries were used in this study. The panel had a high genetic diversity compared to the 6,749 accessions from MGs IV and V in USDA Soybean Germplasm Collection. Based on disease evaluation dataset of these accessions inoculated with P. sojae races 1, 3, 7, 17 and 25, which are publically available, five accessions in this panel were resistant to all races. Genome-wide association analysis identified a total of 32 significant SNPs, which were clustered in resistance-associated genomic regions, among those, ss715619920 was only 3 kb away from the gene Glyma.14g087500, a subtilisin protease. Gene expression analysis showed that the gene was down-regulated more than 4 fold (log2 fold > 2.2) in response to P. sojae infection. The identified molecular markers and genomic regions that are associated with the disease resistance in this gene pool will greatly assist the U.S. Southern soybean breeders in developing elite varieties with broad genetic background and *P. sojae* resistance.

## BACKGROUND

- Phytophthora root rot, caused by a fungus Phytophthora sojae (P. sojae), is a major disease of soybean (*Glycine max*), especially in the areas where soybeans have been cultivated for many years. Yield loss can be substantial, and even entire fields may be destroyed.
- P. sojae follows a gene-for-gene interaction and is hypothesized to have coevolved with its host plant soybean. At least 13 resistance Rps genes are known in soybean, and different races of P. sojae are able to overcome these resistance genes, both singly and in combination. Linkage mapping and association mapping have been both conducted in P. sojae research to locate *Rps* genes and quantitative trait loci (QTLs).
- MGs IV and V soybeans are adapted to and widely grown in the Southern states such as Virginia, Arkansas and Tennessee. However, most Rps genes were identified in soybeans from MGs 000 to IV [1], and not many P. sojae resistant donors are evaluated for the adaptation to the South, which made it difficult to implement *P. sojae* resistant genes into the Southern soybean germplasm.

# **OBJECTIVES**

- Establish a *P. sojae* resistance gene pool that is high in genetic diversity to be employed in Southern soybean breeding programs
- Explore P. sojae resistance gene regions underlying the host resistance to P. sojae races 1, 3, 7, 17 and 25 for molecular breeding selection.

# MATERIALS

Highly diverse 189 soybean accessions from MGs IV and V based on 52,041 SNPs data [2], originally collected from 10 countries (Table 1).

### Table 1. The accession numbers from each country.

Country	Number	Country	Number
China	141	Uganda	2
Japan	16	Nepal	1
South Korea	15	Morocco	1
United States	8	South Africa	1
India	2	Vietnam	1

## Jun Qin<sup>1, 5</sup>, Qijian Song<sup>2</sup>, Ainong Shi<sup>3</sup>, Song Li<sup>4</sup>, Mengchen Zhang<sup>5</sup>, Bo Zhang<sup>4\*</sup>

# METHODS

- The responses of 189 soybean accessions to races 1, 3, 7, 17 and 25 of *P. sojae* were evaluated by USDA-ARS germplasm curation program, and the protocol and data are available at www.ars-grin.gov.
- The STRUCTURE and MEGA softwares were used to analyze population structure and genetic diversity.
- Association mapping of P. sojae resistance to each of the P. sojae races 1, 3, 7, 17, and 25 was conducted separately based on three different models in TASSEL: SMR, GLM-Q, and MLM-Q+K.
- Published gene expression data generated by RNA sequencing were downloaded from GEO database (GSE48524). Gene expression analysis was performed according to the method in the original publication [3].

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### **Phenotypic variation**

- The ratio of resistant accessions to susceptible accessions: race 1, 81/108 (0.75), race 3, 48/71 (0.68), race 7, 27/63 (0.43), race 17, 25/56 (0.45), and race 25, 21/65 (0.32).
- o Five accessions showed resistance to all five P. sojae races: PI 567780B, PI 567781, PI 587612A, and PI 588021A from China, and PI 378682C from Japan. • Ten accessions resistant to four P. Sojae races, 11 resistant to three races, 32
- resistant to two races, and 39 resistant to only one race.
- Huang et al. (2016) also observed a total of 168 (75%) soybean accessions showed resistance to more than one *P. sojae* race and suggested that abundant resistant resources existed [4].

### **Population structure**

- The 189 accessions were divided into two sub-populations (clusters), Q1 (red) and Q2 (green) based on STRUCTURE analysis as the maximal delta K value was observed when K=2 (Fig. 1A and 1B). The phylogenetic tree was constructed by neighbor-joining (NJ) based on genetic distance obtained from MEGA 6 (Fig. 1C)
- The correlation coefficient of 0.227 between clusters (Q1/Q2) and MGs (IV/V) (P = 0.0017) indicated that maturity group may affect the clustering of all accessions.



Fig.1 Model-based population structure for the soybean panel: (A) Delta K values for different number of populations assumed (K) in the STRUCTURE analysis, (B) Classification of two populations using STRUCTURE 2.3.4., and (C) phylogenetic tree constructed by neighbor-joining (NJ) based on genetic distance obtained from MEGA 6. The distribution of the accessions to different populations is indicated by the color code (Q1: red and Q2: green), consistent in the figures (B) and (C).

### Association analysis and SNP markers identification

• A total of 32 SNPs ( $p \le 0.001$ , LOD>= 3) were identified to be associated with the resistance to at least one of the five tested *P. sojae* races 1, 3, 7, 17, and 25. o They were grouped into thirteen significant regions covering the genome size from 1.5 to 46 kb (Table 2).

Genome-wide association analysis of the P. sojae resistance in the Chinese soybean mini core collection showed that a total of 14 markers were significantly associated with Phytophthora resistance[4], but not all genomic regions of these SNPs were consistent with the regions reported in this study.

Table 2. SNP	markers	associated	with	resistance	to	Ρ.	SC
models, SMR,	GLM (Q),	and MLM (0	Q+K).				

SNP marker	SMR (LOD)	GLM (LOD)	MLM (LOD)	Trait
ss715585768	5.12	5.82	3.57	chr3-race1&7
ss715614941	4.01	4.35	3.76	chr13-race1
ss715614943	4.18	4.46	4.03	chr13-race1
ss715631615	4.40	4.01	3.43	chr18-race1
Ss715596704	5.02	4.06	3.30	chr7-race3
ss715588855	5.90	4.72	4.02	chr4-race7
ss715588864	5.21	4.04	3.72	chr4-race7
ss715588866	4.13	3.52	3.28	chr4-race7
ss715613726	4.96	9.22	3.12	chr13-race7
ss715617288	4.39	3.31	3.40	chr13-race7
ss715585114	3.67	4.41	3.70	chr3a-race17
ss715586846	6.90	6.02	4.18	chr3b-race17
ss715606847	5.88	5.17	3.24	chr10-race25
ss715606865	5.88	5.17	3.24	chr10-race25
ss715619920	6.73	6.45	5.55	chr14-race25
ss715619926	7.49	7.49	6.05	chr14-race25
ss715631508	5.62	5.03	3.18	chr18-race25

### Validation of SNP markers for *P. sojae* resistance

For each SNP, we searched for the closest gene that was differentially expressed and we found 13 unique genes (Part of them were shown in Table 3).

- Glyma.14g087500, a subtilisin protease, was down-regulated more than 4 fold (log2 fold > 2.2) in response to *P. sojae* infection. This gene was only 3,000 base pairs away from ss715619920. Subtilisin proteases were well known regulators of plant-pathogen responses [5].
- Glyma.13g176600, a MAC/Perforin domain-containing protein, was up-regulated more than 4 fold in response to P. sojae infection. This gene was located 24 kb from ss715614943. Perforin domain-containing proteins were well known in their role in immune responses [6].

Table 3. Expression analysis of differentially expressed genes closely-linked to significant SNPs (P<0.001) associated with P. sojae races.

SNP marker	SNPs type	Gene Name	distanc to SNP (bp)	logFC	logCPM	PValue	Lod	FDR
ss715614941	A/G	Glyma.13g176600	30,005	2.66	5.51	7.39E-05	4.13	0.01
ss715614943	A/C	Glyma.13g176600	24,733	2.66	5.51	7.39E-05	4.13	0.01
ss715631615	A/G	Glyma.18g261900	194,102	2.88	4.02	5.25E-04	3.28	0.05
ss715596704	C/T	Glyma.07g023300	1,586,568	1.97	6.62	5.66E-04	3.25	0.04
ss715586336	A/G	Glyma.03g042700	923,940	2.81	5.17	6.19E-05	4.21	0.01
ss715588829	A/G	Glyma.04g190700	934,146	-2.76	5.64	7.67E-05	4.12	0.01
ss715588855	A/G	Glyma.04g190700	1,169,785	-2.76	5.64	7.67E-05	4.12	0.01
ss715606865	C/T	Glyma.10g161500	174,369	6.49	5.18	1.02E-10	9.99	0.00
ss715619920	A/G	Glyma.14g087500	3,014	-2.21	6.89	6.04E-05	4.22	0.01
ss715619924	G/T	Glyma.14g087500	17,098	-2.21	6.89	6.04E-05	4.22	0.01
ss715619926	A/G	Glyma.14g087500	25,344	-2.21	6.89	6.04E-05	4.22	0.01

# CONCLUSIONS

We explored the most efficient way to utilize the publically available datasets for the purpose of improving genetic diversity and improving traits. • The findings will help Southern soybean breeders to improve elite varieties with broad genetic diversity and with *P. sojae* resistance.

## REFERENCES

- Dorrance AE. Schmitthenner AF. Plant Dis. 2000:84:1303–1308. doi:10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.12.1303. 2. Song Q, Hyten DL, Jia G, Quigley CV, Fickus EW, Nelson RL, et al. G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 2015;5(10):1999-
- 2006. 3. Desgroux A, L'Anthoëne V, Roux-Duparque M, Rivière J-P, Aubert G, Tayeh N, et al. BMC genomics. 2016;17(1):1.
- 4. Huang J, Guo N, Li Y, Sun J, Hu G, Zhang H, et al. BMC genetics. 2016;17(1):85.
- ligueiredo A, Monteiro F, Sebastiana M. Plant Sci. 2014;5:1-4.
- Rosado CJ, Kondos S, Bull TE, Kuiper MJ, Law RH, Buckle AM, et al. Cell Microbiol. 2008;10(9):1765-74.

ojae using three statistical

AC/Perforin domain-containing protein IAC/Perforin domain-containing protein dicted small molecule transporter RKY DNA-binding protein /RKY DNA-binding protein vsteine proteinases superfamily protein Cysteine proteinases superfamily protein Subtilase family protein btilase family proteir Subtilase family protein

ene Annotatoin