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Background

• Seed-placed nitrogen (N) in the form of urea can be a popular option for barley producers in western Canada since it allows seeding and fertilizer application to be accomplished simultaneously with minimal soil disturbance.

• The practice is most appealing to zero tillage producers since surface application of fertilizer followed by soil incorporation is not an option.

• However, seeding damage can occur from excess seed-placed urea, and the main negative effects are on crop plant density and maturity.

• Thus, agronomic factors such as crop seeding rate may influence the amount of N that can be placed safely with the seed.

• The presence of weeds may also influence how crops respond to N placement and rate.

• There is little or no information available on the effects of seed-row compared to side-banded N on crop-weed interactions.

Objective

• The objective was to compare the effects of seed-placed and side-banded N applied at different rates, and to investigate if increasing the barley seeding rate would improve its ability to overcome urea-induced injury, compete better with wild oat and improve barley productivity.

Methods

• A field experiment was conducted at three locations (Lacombe and Beaverlodge, Alberta, and Brandon, Manitoba) in western Canada over three years.

• N was applied as urea at five rates (0, 30, 60, 90 and 120 kg ha⁻¹ actual N) either directly with the seed or as a side-band, at three barley (AC Rosser) seeding rates (200, 300 and 400 seeds m⁻²).

• The PROC MIXED model of SAS was used to analyze the data with reps and environments (location-years) as random effects and N rate and placement, and barley seeding rate as fixed effects.

• Linear regression analysis was used to describe the effects of N rate and placement on barley plant density, maturity and yield, and wild oat fecundity (seed m⁻²).

• Differences were deemed significant at α < 0.05.

Results

• The most consistent interaction occurred between N rate and N placement and the interaction was highly significant (p < 0.01) for all variables.

• The regression analysis indicated that the response of the variables to increasing N rate was strongly influenced by N placement method (Fig. 1).

• When N was placed in the seed-row, barley plant density decreased, while time to maturity and wild oat fecundity increased with increasing N rate (Fig. 1).

• Barley yield increased at seed-row N rates up to 60 kg ha⁻¹ and then decreased (Fig. 1).

• When N was applied as a side-band, yield increased up to 120 kg ha⁻¹ N, delay in maturity was minimal and barley density and wild oat fecundity were unaffected (Fig. 1).

• Seeding rate significantly interacted with N placement method for all variables except barley seed maturity.

• With both N placement methods, increasing the seeding rate had positive effects on barley density and yield while reducing wild oat fecundity (Table 1).

• Increasing the seeding rate partially compensated for the negative effects of N seed-row placement on these variables (Table 1).

• However, barley densities and yields were still lower, and wild oat fecundity greater compared to when N was applied as a side-band (Table 1).

Summary and Conclusions

• Barley density, maturity and yield were negatively impacted and wild oat fecundity increased with increasing N (urea) rate when N was applied in the seed-row but not when N was applied as a side-band.

• Increasing the barley seeding rate partially overcame the negative effects of seed-row placed N, but barley densities and yields were still lower, and wild oat fecundity greater compared to when N was applied as a side-band.

• The results suggest that, where possible, N should be side-banded away from the seed rather than placed directly in the seed-row since the risk of seeding damage and associated negative effects is considerably less.
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