Evaluating the Site-Specific Potential for Spring Wheat Production in Mexico under the Uncertainty of Future Climate

Uran Chung^{1*}, Sika Gbegbelegbe¹, Ricky Robertson², Kai Sonder¹, Matthew Reynolds¹, and Bekele Shiferaw¹

¹International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT)

²International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)

BACKGROUND & OBJECTIVES

WHEAT is one of the most important food crops in the world and very relevant to food security and incomes both in developed and developing countries. However, the climate change and variability and extreme weather events like heat waves as experienced recently in Russia as well as severe droughts have caused crop production instability, increasing wheat prices and are threatening the global food security and have led to food riots in many countries. Many research efforts on crop modeling have been carried out using various climate change scenarios. This study was carried using two climate change models for scenario A1B for evaluation of wheat suitability and spatial variations of future spring wheat production areas in Mexico.

CSIRO2),

respectively (Fig. 4 and

Table 1 and 2). These

climate models.

METHODOLOGY

Study Area: Obregon (38m) is located in 8,000 Sonora in northern Mexico and Toluca 7,000 (2,640m) is in the central highlands of 6,000 Mexico in the State of Mexico (Fig. 1). 5.000

Agro-Climatic characteristic: Temperate temperature in Mega environment 1 that is defined by CIMMYT (Fig. 3(A)).

Cultivar: PBW343 is a benchmark cultivar of CIMMYT (http://wheatatlas.cimmyt.org/article s/me.aspx).

Crop Model: CERES-Wheat (DSSAT 4.5 package) and is run on a HPC (High Performance Computing) cluster.

Processed Input Spatial data:

- Spatial resolution: 5 arc minutes (10 km at the equator).

- Climate data: base climate is from 1950 to 2000, and two future climates of 2050s (A1B: CSIRO2, Australia; MIROC 3.2, Japan).

10,00

CROP MODEL (CERES-Wheat)

Cultivar Parameters

ater management: imulation options

olgy results;

and maturity

Fig. 2. Overview of HPC cluster in this study.

dates, vield etc

years observed data of Obregon.

HPC Cluster

- Crop management and others: all treatments are assumed to be controlled well. Wheat mega environment (A), wheat SPAM (B), soil profile number (C), air temperature (D), rainfall (E), and solar radiation (F).



results are base on the irrigated system. Fig. 1. Model parameters' calibration with 7 First class was defined as less 1,000 kg and the second was between GRASS (Spatial Data) 1,000 and 3,000 kg, and the last was > 3,000 kg. Wheat physical areas Soil, Fertilizer, and future data Uncertainty: we defined Spatial mapping of the rop model's phenolog the uncertainty 0% means MIROC3.2 and CISRO2 agree in their predictions, 50% Fig. 4. Yield and area means one of them agrees, 100% means there future climate (base: (I), MIROC3.2 (G) is no model agreements of the two future and CSIRO2 (H) and model agreements

Yield and area changes: we classified the results in three

different classes according to the climate data (Base, MIROC 3.2 and

Tables 1. Spatial average yield changes according to the applied climate data at two points

Yield average on irrigated(kg/ha)	CLIMATE			DIFFERENCE		RATIO (%)				
	Base2000	MIR2050	CSI2050	DF_MIR	DF_CSI	MIR	CSI			
Obregon	2,088	3,611	-	1523	-	73	-			
Toluca	4,075	3,258	4,107	-817	32	-20	1			
Yield on irrigated system at Obregon (low altitude) could be increased if the temperature is										

increased by 75%, but Toluca's (high altitude) yield change showed decreased with temperature increasing climate.

Tables 2. Yield/area changes according to the applied climate data each condition classified

CONDITIONS Yield (kg/ha)	CLIMATE			DIFFERENCE		RATIO (%)	
	Base2000	MIR2050	CSI2050	DF_MIR	DF_CSI	MIR	CSI
1,000 >=	283,514	362,897	357,227	79,384	73,714	28	26
1,000 < and <= 3,000	3,731,039	4,349,098	3,872,795	618,060	141,757	17	4
> 3,000	2,489,249	1,780,465	2,273,779	-708,784	-215,470	-28	-9

CONCLUSIONS & FUTURE WORKS

CIMMYT

(D.

RESULTS

cording to

The results show that some areas may be negatively impacted for wheat production, while some will benefit. We need to expand future works as stated below;

improve wheat phenological parameters for varieties and soil data for better precision, add more climate scenarios to improve wheat suitability and uncertainty maps (eg., ensemble approach)

References

Hoogenboom, G., C.H. Porter, P.W. Wilkens, K.J. Boote, L.A. Hunt, and J.W. Jones, 2010. The Decision Support System for Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT): Past, current and future developments. p. 50-51. In Program and Summaries, Biological Systems Simulation Conf., 40th, Maricopa, AZ. 13-15 Apr. 2010. USDA-ARS Arid-Land Agric. Res. Ctr., Maricopa, AZ.

You, L., S.Crespo, Z. Guo, J. Koo, W. Ojo, K. Sebastian, M.T. Tenorio, S. Wood, U. Wood-Sichra. Spatial Produciton Allocation Model (SPAM) 2000 Version 3 Release 2. http://MapSPAM.info Jones, P. G., and P.K. Thornton, 2003. The potential impacts of climate change in tropical agriculture: the case of maize in Africa and Latin America in 2055. Global Environmental Change 13, 51-59

Acknowledgement