Microbial Indices Response to Prescribed Burning and Thinning in a Managed Forest Ecosystem



I.A. Tazisong, T. Ranatunga, Z.N. Senwo Biological and Environmental Sciences, Alabama Agricultural and Mechanical University Normal, AL 35762



ABSTRACT OBJECTIVES RESULTS   Prescribed burning and thinning is used to maintain fire dependent species, improve wildlife habitat, To compare the impact of thinning and burning (low intensity) on microbial indices, carbon fractions and Table 1. Soil physical and chemical properties at treatments site in Bankhead National Forest, AL.	
fire dependent species improve wildlife habitat intensity) on microbial indices carbon fractions and	
fire dependent species improve wildlife habitat intensity) on microbial indices carbon fractions and	
	tivity CEC
and preparing areas for forest regeneration after chzymes biochemical reactions.	m) meq/100g soil
timber narvest. File is a powerful and instantaneous	41 3.51±0.76
$T_{3} = \frac{1}{1000} + \frac{1}{100$	50 2.30±0.89
The Thin to 11.46 $m^2$ has larea and the form distribution distribution and the form distribution distribut	65 2.32±0.53
	99 3.40±1.15
and processes. We assessed the effect of low $\Box$ Two burning patterns (no burn and burn) and three levels T6 Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 3yr burn 5.06±0.1 1.97±0.24 0.085±0.005 0.012±0.001 23.18±2.13 6.71±0.79 1.38±0.29 38.33±4.1	11 2.21±0.32
intensity burning (two circles of 3-year burn) and of thinning (no thin, thin to 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area and to T7 Thin 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + burn 4.74±0.22 1.90±0.16 0.090±0.011 0.012±0.001 21.35±1.71 5.68±1.38 2.66±0.96 37.17±8.3	35 2.71±0.69
thinning on microbial indices (microbial biomass $11.46 \text{ m}^2 \text{ h}^{-1}$ basal area) were applied to nine treatment T8 Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 10 yr burn 4.73 \pm 0.48 1.59 \pm 0.54 0.081 \pm 0.021 0.011 \pm 0.003 19.30 \pm 1.99 5.92 \pm 0.52 2.75 \pm 2.84 33.70 \pm 2.19	12 1.92±0.63
$\begin{bmatrix} \text{carbon, microbial biomass nitrogen, microbial plots.} \\ \hline 19 & Thin 17.22 m^2 ha^3 basal area + 10 yr burn 4.86 \pm 0.16 2.38 \pm 0.32 0.113 \pm 0.025 0.017 \pm 0.010 21.37 \pm 2.06 12.91 \pm 4.68 6.98 \pm 1.29 42.33 \pm 13.68 - 10.91 \pm 1$	.75 1.73±1.13
respiration, enzymes activities, and adenosine ampling in 2011.	
that this is burn increased MRC and MRN I Mentil Carbon and nitrogen, potential carbon A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
compared to thin only and hurn only soil PCM mineralized were determined based on the methods of	F T
decreased in this I have blurs plots than in this or hurs Horwall and Paul, 1994; Haney et al. 2004.	
$\square AUCIOSIIC UIDIOSPIAIC (AIF) UCICIIIIICU aCCOLUIIZ IO \square$	
only plots. POC and PON were higher in thin only, reference, and burn only plots than in thin + burn plots. LFC and LFN were greater in thin + 10yr burn than in reference, thin + 3yr burn, and burn Particulate organic carbon and nitrogen was extracted	
plots. LFC and LFN were greater in thin + 10yr the methodology described by Janzen et al. 1992. $2^{200}$	
only plots. Glutaminase activity increased in thin + based on the procedure described by Cambardella and here is a second second burn plots than in thin and burn only plots Acid Elliott, 1992.	<sup>10</sup> yr bur,
burn plots than in thin and burn only plots. Acid Elliott, 1992. phosphatase was the most dominant enzyme in this to Tabatabai 1004	hin 25% -
soil, and its activity was suppressed by 50% thin + Treatment	ĸ

son, and its activity was suppressed by 50% unit 3yr burn and no thin + 3yr burn.

## INTRODUCTION

- >For almost a century fire was viewed as destructive force in terrestrial ecosystem.
- >Because of this mentality, fire was seriously suppressed.
- Recent scientific studies have depicted some usefulness of fire in the ecosystem management.
- > Nowadays, prescribed fire is often used as a land management tool.
- Prescribed burning has been used to:
  - Restore forest ecosystem
  - Maintain species composition and richness
  - Avoid catastrophic wild fire
  - Enhance nutrients cycling
  - Alter soil physiochemical properties
- >The intensity and frequency of fire is an important factor influencing ecosystem functions.

## CONCLUSION

>Thinning and burning increased MBC and MBN than in reference soil, thin, and burn only.

>PCM decreased in Thin and burn plots than in reference or thin only or burn only plots.

>LFC and LFN are in the order thin only > thin + burn 10yr > reference > thin + burn 3yr > burn only 10yr > burn only 3yr.

> POC and PON are in the order thin only >reference > burn only 10yr > burn only 3yr > thin + burn 10yr > thin + burn 3yr.

>Among the amidohydrolases, amidase activity was the least and affected by burning and thinning.

>Although it is well known that prescribed fire exerts strong >Glutaminase activity increased in thin + burn plots than in thin and burn only plots.

Fig. 1. Impact of burning and thinning on amidohydrolases (A) and phosphatases (B) activities

## Table 2. Microbial indices at treatments site in Bankhead National Forest, AL.

Reference (no treatment)

reatment #	Application	MBC mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	MBN mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	PCM mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	ATP ( ng g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	MBC/ATP	ATP/MBC (%)			
1	Reference (no treatment)	285±199	51.78±15	754±101	4669±2866	69.37±40	0.010±0.007			
2	Burn only- 10 yr	822±205	57.1±22	658±66	4870±748	167.19±18	0.003±00.00			
3	Burn only- 3 yr	600±202	85.31±13	693±82	11053±7569	74.89±57	0.011±0.008			
4	Thin to 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area	234±117	58.95±15	703±120	22167±22578	26.72±15	0.019±0.023			
5	Thin 17. 22 m <sup><math>2</math></sup> ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> basal area	1773±2491	84.85±27	393±285	4576±3913	11080±19101	0.008±0.009			
6	Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 3yr burn	2963±1947	70.23±25	754±256	9067±5462	389±366	0.002±0.002			
7	Thin 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + burn	1605±1435	76.07±14	890±173	5875±1396	263.4±202	0.006±0.008			
8	Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 10yr burn	2071±739	71.03±26	158±184	3935±1170	570±267	0.001±0.00			
9	Thin 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 10yr burn	2674±311	90.02±19	485±513	5207±1954	480±159	0.001±0.00			
Table 3. Carbon, Nitrogen, and sulfur content of Light and particulate organic fractions in Bankhead National Forest, AL.										
reatment #	Application Dr	y Wt. LF L	FC LI		F S POC sg <sup>-1</sup> soil		POS			

 $62.015\pm14.5$  16.04  $\pm 6.5$  0.491 $\pm 0.02$  0.059 $\pm 0.02$  17.53 $\pm 9.2$  0.546 $\pm 0.31$  0.053 $\pm 0.02$ 

influence on forest ecosystem, the relationship between low intensity fire, thinning and the ecosystem in the Bankhead National Forest is still poorly understood.

REFERENCES

Cambardella, C.A., and E.T. Elliott. 1992. Particulate soil organic matter changes across a grassland cultivation sequence. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56:777-783. Haney, R.L., A.J. Franzluebbers, E.B. porter, F.M. Hons, and D.A. Zuberer. 2004. Soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization: Influence of drying . Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 68:489-492. Howath, W.T., and E.A. Paul. 1994. Microbial biomass. In Methods of soil analysis, part 2: Microbial and biochemical properties, R.W. Weaver et al. (eds.), 753-774. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America. Janzen, H.H., C.A. Campbell, S.A. Brandi, G.P. Lafond, and L. Townley-Smith. 1992. Light-fraction organic matter in soils from long-term crop rotations. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 56:1799-1806. Martins, R. 2001. Estimation of ATP in Soil: extraction methods and calculation of extraction efficiency. Soil Biol. Biochem. 33:973-982. Tabatabai, M.A. 1994. Soil enzymes. In method of soil analysis, part 2: Microbial and biochemical properties, R.W. Weaver et al. (eds.), 903-947. Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America.

>Acid phosphatase was the most dominant enzyme in this soil, and its activity was suppressed by 50% thin + 3yr burn and no thin + 3yr burn.

Acknowledgment

This project was supported by NSF CREST-CFEA award number 1036600 and the Winfred Thomas Agricultural Experimentation Station, Alabama A&M University. The authors thank Shelley Baltar (technician) for performing most of the lab analysis.

Burn only- 10 yr	64.687±2.6	13.93 ±0.4	0.421±0.01	0.049±0.02	17.63±4.6	0.564±0.15	0.061±0.02
Burn only- 3 yr	35.911±9.4	9.11±0.4	0.255±0.01	0.031±0.01	11.33±2.9	0.334±0.01	0.036±0.01
Thin to 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area	40.503±7.9	9.16 ±0.3	0.253±0.01	0.028±0.01	14.25±4.2	0.427±0.18	0.044±0.01
Thin 17. 22 m <sup><math>2</math></sup> ha <sup><math>-1</math></sup> basal area	55.531±27.5	16.94±0.4	0.437±0.1	0.056±0.02	20.92±10.3	0.568±0.17	0.035±0.02
Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 3yr burn	30.121±6.8	7.67±0.1	0.184±0.04	0.020±0.01	7.66±1.9	0.188±0.01	0.044±0.00
Thin 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + burn	37.633±4.0	10.80±0.3	0.294±0.02	0.032±0.003	7.25±0.87	0.213±0.02	0.023±0.02
Thin 11.46 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 10yr burn	31.783±13.3	8.92±0.3	0.281±0.01	0.033±0.02	6.06±5.5	0.204±0.22	0.026±0.02
Thin 17.22 m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> basal area + 10yr burn	46.916±6.0	12.71 ±0.4	0.357±0.01	0.036±0.01	10.21±0.53	0.334±0.06	0.058±0.07