Sustainable Corn Stover Removal Systems For Mushroom Substrate Production C.D. Houser, G.W. Roth, M.H Hall and D.M. Beyer **Pennsylvania State University** 

## Background

Corn stover has been identified as a potential feedstock for the production of mushroom compost, and has been evaluated at the Penn State Mushroom Testing and Demonstration Facility. Recently, the use of corn stover in commercial mushroom production has increased in Pennsylvania; over 249 million kg of mushrooms are produced each year. Corn stover harvesting has already provided corn growers in some parts of the state with an additional revenue source, but there could be consequences with the development of this resource. A key issue and concern is the potential impact of stover harvesting on the soil resource, and the impact on the yield and profitability of crops following stover harvest. Strategies are needed to minimize these potential impacts.

## Objectives

Results

The main objective of this study was to measure the impact of the SMS cropping system on corn and stover yield. Another objective was to measure soil carbon gains and/or losses. The third objective was to determine if an SMS application could be combined with a stover harvest to offset the carbon losses and improve net returns.

Table 1. Corn and stover yields for the three different treatments.

Grain yields in two of the three years were the highest in the SH/SMS treatment. Yields also tended to be higher in 2011, but drought caused yields to be lower and variability to be higher than in other years for both the grain and stover. Over the three years the SH/SMS treatment resulted in a 6.3% increase corn yield over the SH/NPK treatment and a 9.9% increase over the the total carbon m) from 19.9 to n the 0-25 cm soil used 39.2%. In the less of a change in H/NPK treatment, ned 14%. would need to be levels associated hat rate would be



Treatment	20	)09	2010	2011		Vlean	SH/NPK tre NSH/ NPK					ease o	ver the	
	With the addition of SMS, the total carbon increased in the surface (0-5 cm) from 19.9 to													
SH/ SMS	12218		11396	5407			36.2 g/kg - an 81% increase. In the 0-25 cm soil							
SH/ NPK	11	415	10907	4986		4103	•	profile, the total carbon increased 39.2%. In the						
NSH/NPK	10	0788 10512		5112			deeper soil profile, there was less of a change in soil carbon (Table 2). In the SH/NPK treatment,							
LSD (.05)	6	52	378	ns			soil carbon in the 0-5 cm declined 14%.							
	Eventually, only enough SMS would need to be added to maintain soil carbon levels associated													
SH/ SMS	4(	)48	5162	2505			with the stover removal and that rate would be							
SH/ NPK	38	377	4918	1996		3597	much lower.							
NSH/NPK							The economic evaluation for the three year study and the additional three years of							
LSD (.05)		าร	nc	ns		nc	hypothetical alfalfa rotation reveals that the							
	SH/SMS had the highest net return \$15746/ha.													
Table 3. Economic returns for the three different treatments.SH/SMSSH/NPKSH/NPKNSH/NPK							This higher net return was due to a combination							
						• 	of several factors including higher corn yields,							
Cost Income Cost							additional stover revenue, lower P and K inputs for the corn crop, and lower P and K inputs for							
	the subsequent rotational alfalfa crop (Table 3).													
Corn		8005 1204		7538		7279	Table 2. Soil carbon levels for the three treatments.							
Corn Stover		1284		1186		0202		0-5 cm			0-25 cm			
Alfalfa	220	9263		9263	1015	9263	Treatment	2009	2011	Change	2009	2011	Chang	
Corn (N,P,K)	329		1398		1015				g			kg	%	
SMS/trucking	296		0		0									
Alfalfa (P,K)	0		2437		2437		SH/ SMS	19.9	36.2	81.6	13.5	18.7	39.2	
Harvest Stover	94		94		0		SH/ NPK	20.9	18.0	-14.0	13.8	14.0	1.3	
Other*	1899		1899		1899			18.5	20.4	10.2	13.6	15.3	12.4	
				17007										

Change

## Materials and Methods

From 2009 to 2011, a field study was established on the Russell Larson Research Farm near State College, PA on a Hagerstown silt loam soil in a field previously cropped to corn. The study consisted of three treatments managed in a no-till system that involve stover harvest and SMS applications. Treatments included: 1) SH/SMS - 75% stover harvest in the spring followed by an SMS application prior to planting; 2) SH/NPK - 75% stover harvest in the spring followed by an application of NPK; 3) NSH/NPK -No stover harvest followed by an application of NPK. SMS application rates were based on the potential removal of the P and K during a six-year rotation of three years of corn followed by three the amount of nutrients removed by harvesting the grain and stover.

