# PRIMING OF BELL PEPPER SEEDS USING A BIOSTIMULANT EVALUATED BY AN AUTOMATED SYSTEM OF SEEDLING IMAGE ANALYSIS.



\*Clíssia Barboza da Silva; Julio Marcos-Filho





University of Sao Paulo, USP/ESALQ, Departament of Crop Science, P.O. Box 9, 13418-900, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. e-mail: clissia@usp.br.

# **Objective**

The objective of the present study was to compare the efficiency of biostimulant priming to hydropriming in bell pepper seeds as evaluated by SVIS® analysis and recommended vigor tests.

## **Materials and Methods**

- □ Seed: Two cultivars (AF-6 and AF-7) each represented respectively by three and four seed lots.
- □ <u>Tests:</u> Germination-G and vigor (germination first count GFC, electrical conductivity EC, and saturated salt accelerated aging SSAA).
- ☐ <u>Tratamentos:</u> <u>Hydropriming</u> Water

Stimulate® priming - 10 mL of Stimulate® in 100 mL of distilled water



Both, during 24 hours at 25 °C, followed by seed drying at 32 °C and 30% relative humidity.

□ <u>Seedling Vigor Imaging System - SVIS</u>: Samples of 25 seeds per lot and cultivar were used . Seeds were placed on the surface of previously moistened paper towels, and kept at 25 °C for six days.

Seedlings were scanned and analyzed by SVIS to calculate vigor index - VI, seedling lenght - SL, and uniformity - U.

### Results

Table 1. Germination and vigor in bell pepper seed lots.

Cultivar AF-6										
Lots	G (%)	GFC (%)	EC (μS.cm <sup>-1</sup> .g <sup>-1</sup> )	SSAA (%)						
1	98 A	84 A	497.7 A	87 A						
2	95 A	88 A	670.9 B	94 A						
3	97 A	75 B	744.2 B	95 A						
C.V. (%)	2.8	4.8	6.2	4.7						
		Cultivar AF	-7							
4	95 A	45 B	516.6 BC	58 A						
5	89 A	68 A	508.9 B	76 A						
6	91 A	65 A	563.5 C	60 A						
7	86 A	56 AB	451.5 A	62 A						
C.V. (%)	4.3	12.5	4.5	13.5						

Cultivar AF-6												
	Vigor index				Uniformity				Seedling lenght (cm)			
	Cont	Hydro	Stim		Cont	Hydro	Stim		Cont	Hydro	Stim	
1	420 Ac	442 Ab	506 Aa		796 ABa	790 Aa	822 Aa		6,6 Ab	6,3 Bb	9,5 Aa	
2	426 Ac	460 Ab	500 Aa		820 Aa	763 Aa	797 Aa		6,6 Ab	8,4 Aa	9,5 Aa	
3	381 Ac	456 Ab	495 Aa		746 Ba	803 Aa	796 Aa		5,7 Ac	7,8 Ab	9,4 Aa	
C.V. (%)	6.2				4.7				9.0			
						ar AF-7						
	Vigor index				Uniformity				Seedling lenght (cm)			
Lots	Cont	Hydro	Stim		Cont	Hydro	Stim		Cont	Hydro	Stim	
4	391 Aa	414 Aa	438 Aa		797 Aa	769 Aa	774 Aa		4,1 Ab	6,7 ABab	7,5 A <b>a</b>	
5	389 Aa	446 Aa	410 Aa		799 Aa	804 Aa	742 Aa		5,2 Ab	7,5 A <b>a</b>	6,8 Aa	
6	424 Aa	439 Aa	447 Aa		785 Aa	800 Aa	795 Aa		5,5 Ab	7,2 A <mark>a</mark>	7,6 Aa	
7	420 Aa	387 Aa	419 Aa		774 Aa	767 Aa	782 Aa		5,4 Ab	5,7 B <b>b</b>	6,7 Aa	
C.V. (%)	6.7				4.9			10.1				



Vigor index = 456 Uniformity = 803 Seedling lenght = 7.8



Control

**Hydropriming** 

**Biostimulant priming** 

### Conclusion

Hydroprimed and biostimulant primed seeds performed better than control. The biostimulant is advantageous with respect to seedling growth after priming although no differences were detected among seed lots for both cultivars.