

## Introduction

- Climate change is an enduring challenge for sustainability (Fig. 1).
- Increased use of trees in agriculture can remove excess  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere, storing it in biomass and soil-C (Fig. 2).
- Studies with coffee shaded by an interspecific Leucaena hybrid (variety "KX2") demonstrate rapid potential for increasing soil C and N while balancing coffee yield and quality (Fig 3).
- One alternative for full-sun coffee is to grow trees separately and use as a source of mulch.



Fig. 3: Leucaena variety KX2







### **Objectives**

- Evaluate the potential of chipped pruning residues of *Leucaena* variety KX2 as a mulch source for full-sun coffee production in a cut-and-carry system • Investigate:
- (1) mulch decomposition, N mineralization, and changes in major biochemical constituents over one year;

(2) changes in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux and total soil C and N after mulch additions over 3 years;

(3) coffee tree growth and yield in plots amended with mulch vs those where equivalent amounts of inorganic N were added.



## **Materials and Methods**

Site - CTAHR Waimanalo Research Station, Honolulu, Hawaii.

- Eight open-grown coffee assigned to mulch or no-mulch treatments. Leucaena-KX2 grown in adjacent stand and pollarded
- at 1 m every year. Material chipped and added on an equal-area basis. Approx. 65 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of mulch dry matter was added over a 3-year period, including ~27.5 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of C and ~530 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N.
- No-mulch plots fertilized with equivalent amounts of inorganic N for comparison.
- Microplot decay unit established in each plot with four 10-cm diameter cores.
- 50g fresh-weight of mulch placed inside each cylinder (Fig. 4).
- Mulch removed from at 3-mo intervals to estimate loss of mass, C, and N and changes in biochemical composition.



# Mulch addition increase growth, yield, soil C and N in a managed full-sun coffee system in Hawaii

# Adel Youkhana

# **College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources,** University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822 Corresponding Author: 808-956-2620 adel@hawaii.edu

## Materials and Methods (cont.)

- Biochemical composition determined using sequential fiber digestion analysis (Fig. 5).
- Soil samples and bulk density cores from 0-20 cm collected to monitor changes in soil C and N (Fig. 6).
- Soil-surface CO<sub>2</sub> efflux (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>) measured monthly in decay microplots using portable infrared gas analyzer attached to a soil respiration chamber (Fig. 7).
- Coffee leaf chlorophyll concentration (Fig. 8), main stem height and diameter, and components of fruit yield measured from 2006-2008.
- Annual green bean yield estimated for 2007 and 2008. **Statistics:**
- Mulch decomposition fitted to a negative exponential decay model:  $L_t = L_0 e^{-kt}$
- Repeated measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) used for comparison of changes in soil C and N.
- One-way ANOVA used to analyze coffee growth and green bean yield each year





Fig. 7: Soil-surface CO<sub>2</sub> efflux measurement

## **Results**





Fig. 1:  $CO_2$  emission

Fig. 4: Decay microplot

Fig. 10: Mass loss from mulch biochemical components

# **Travis Idol**

**Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Management,** 





Fig. 8 Chlorophyll content

## **Mulch Decomposition**

- Mass loss ~ 64% after1 year Net N loss began within first 3 months (Fig. 9).
- Significant loss of all biochemical components over 1 year (Fig. 10).

## **Results (cont.)**

## Soil C and N

Table 1: Changes in soil C, N & CO <sub>2</sub> efflux due to mulch					
Year	No Mulch	Mulch			
	Carbon (Mg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
2006	45.60	45.60			
2008	38.80	48.50 <sup>+</sup>			
Change	-6.80*	2.90			
	Nitrogen (Mg ha-1)				
2006	2.50	2.50			
2008	2.40	3.92†			
Change	-0.10	1.42*			
	CO <sub>2</sub> efflux (µ mol m <sup>-2</sup> sec <sup>-1</sup> )				
2006	3.82	3.90			
2008	3.30	4.65 <sup>†</sup>			
Change	-0.53	0.75*			
*significant change	hetween 2006 and 2008				

Significant change between 2000 and 2000 † significant difference between mulch and no-mulch.

## **Coffee Growth and Yield**

Table 2:	Growth and y	ield charad	cteristics		
	2007		2008		
Growth and yield					
characteristics	no mulch	mulch	no mulch	mulch	
Main stem D (mm)	17.3 b	29.6 a	29.7 b	40.5 a	<ul> <li>Mulch increased growth and yield components of coffee in both years (Table 2).</li> <li>Leaf chlorophyll content significantly greater in 2008</li> </ul>
Plant H (cm)	108.0 b	166.5 a	147.8 b	205.0 a	
Chl content (SPAD)	60.8 a	64.0 a	60.2 b	68.6 a	
Yield (g) / tree	250.3 b	407.3 a	344.8 b	855.3 a	
Fruit / node	8.1 b	15.6 a	9.0 b	19.4 a	
Nodes / lateral	11.5 b	18.6 a	14.5 b	25.3 a	
Laterals / stem	18.2 b	28.4 a	22.2 b	31.4 a	
100 green beans (g)	16.1 a	17.9 a	16.6 b	18.8 a	

## Conclusions

- mulch
- perennial cropping systems in the tropics.

## **Related studies**

- 966.
- Biochemistry 43: 961-966.



• Mulch increased soil C, N and  $CO_2$  efflux (Table 1).

• Decrease in bulk density over time masked changes in soil C concentration in mulch-addition plots.

• Leucaena mulch added to full-sun coffee improved soil C and N, sequestering ~17% of added

 Mulch benefited coffee growth and yield beyond comparable inorganic N fertilization • Thus, a cut-and-carry system for soil C sequestration and crop growth improvement is a viable alternative to overhead shade for capturing benefits of trees in coffee and likely other

• Youkhana, A., Idol, T., 2009. Tree pruning mulch increases soil C and N in a shaded coffee agroecosystem in Hawaii. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 41(12), 2527-2534.

• Youkhana, A., Idol, T. 2011. Addition of *Leucaena*-KX2 mulch in a shaded coffee agroforestry system increases both stable and labile soil C fractions. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 43: 961-

• Youkhana, A. and Idol, T. (2010): Growth, yield and value of a managed coffee agroecosystem in Hawaii. Pacific Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2: 12-19.

• Youkhana, A. and Idol, T. (2011): Addition of Leucaena-KX2 mulch in a shaded coffee agroforestry system increases both stable and labile soil C fractions. Soil Biology and