

Evaluation of genetic gain for dynamic traits related to water stress tolerance in maize using nondestructive high-throughput phenotyping

Jon Luetchens, Aaron Lorenz

Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE



Introduction

- Yield gains in maize have been attributed to greater stress tolerance. Secondary traits such as a decreased anthesis-silking interval, a decreased propensity to root lodge, and increased functional chlorophyll late in the season have allowed maize to be grown in increasingly stressful environments (rain-fed, high plant density).
- Sensor technology has the ability to characterize many secondary traits throughout the growing season by calculating vegetative indices from hyperspectral reflectance data.

Hypothesis

- Genetic gain for grain yield is associated with differential response to water stress throughout the growing season.
- Non-destructive, sensor measurements can detect these differences in secondary, leaf-level traits related to drought tolerance.





Traits of Interest and Corresponding Vegetative Indices

Typically, in eastern Nebraska, rainfall can be expected periodically. As a result, maize should be selected for dehydration tolerance, or the ability to maintain growth during periods of stress. Certain traits correspond to this type of tolerance, and high-throughput phenotyping techniques can monitor these traits throughout the season.

• Maintained stomatal conductance: Although water is lost when the stomata are open, it allows carbon dioxide to be fixed and photosynthesis to continue. Vegetative index to monitor trait = IR

Results

- Maintained water content: The ability of cells to maintain turgor pressure is extremely beneficial. Vegetative index to monitor trait = CWMI
- Maintained chlorophyll concentration (Stay-green): Combatting early senescence and chlorophyll destruction under stress will increase yield potential. Vegetative index to monitor trait = Git







Figure 1. High-throughput phenotyping technology. A) The leaf clip position on a leaf. B) The hyperspectral backpack system attached to the leaf clip.



- 1) Asses genetic gain in traits related to drought tolerance by noting how they change across eras.
- 2) Analyze how certain secondary traits respond to environmental cues throughout the growing season.

| | Year of | | Year of |
|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| Pedigree | Release | Pedigree | Release |
| 307HYB Pioneer | 1936 | B73/LH39 | 1982 |
| WF9/38-11//Hy/L317 | 1948 | B73/LH51 | 1983 |
| NS O | 1948 | LH132/LH51 | 1985 |
| Wf9/Hy//L289/I205 | 1950 | LH156/MBS2333 | 1988 |
| 329HYB Pioneer | 1954 | LH132/LH59 | 1988 |
| B37/B14//C103/Oh43 | 1958 | 3379 Pioneer | 1988 |
| N501D | 1964 | LH192 /LH82 | 1991 |
| B37/OH43 | 1965 | 3394 Pioneer | 1991 |
| B37/B14//Mo17 | 1965 | 33A14 Pioneer | 1997 |
| 3390 Pioneer | 1967 | 33P67 Pioneer | 1999 |
| 3334 Pioneer | 1969 | 33D49 Pioneer | 2008 |
| N7A/Mo17 | 1970 | H-7949 Golden Harvest | 2010 |
| 3366 Pioneer | 1972 | 2A555 Mycogen | 2010 |
| NS[RFS_NB]3_8 | 1972 | P0876HR Pioneer | 2010 |
| B73/Mo17 | 1974 | P0987HR Pioneer | 2010 |
| 3541 Pioneer | 1975 | 7630RR Hoegemeyer | 2011 |
| | | 7644 Hx/LL/RR Hoegemeyer | 2012 |
| | | N45P-4011 NK | 2012 |

| | Year of | | Year of |
|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| Pedigree | Release | Pedigree | Release |
| 307HYB Pioneer | 1936 | B73/LH39 | 1982 |
| WF9/38-11//Hy/L317 | 1948 | B73/LH51 | 1983 |
| NS O | 1948 | LH132/LH51 | 1985 |
| Wf9/Hy//L289/I205 | 1950 | LH156/MBS2333 | 1988 |
| 329HYB Pioneer | 1954 | LH132/LH59 | 1988 |
| B37/B14//C103/Oh43 | 1958 | 3379 Pioneer | 1988 |
| N501D | 1964 | LH192 /LH82 | 1991 |
| B37/OH43 | 1965 | 3394 Pioneer | 1991 |
| B37/B14//Mo17 | 1965 | 33A14 Pioneer | 1997 |
| 3390 Pioneer | 1967 | 33P67 Pioneer | 1999 |
| 3334 Pioneer | 1969 | 33D49 Pioneer | 2008 |
| N7A/Mo17 | 1970 | H-7949 Golden Harvest | 2010 |
| 3366 Pioneer | 1972 | 2A555 Mycogen | 2010 |
| NS[RFS_NB]3_8 | 1972 | P0876HR Pioneer | 2010 |
| B73/Mo17 | 1974 | P0987HR Pioneer | 2010 |
| 3541 Pioneer | 1975 | 7630RR Hoegemeyer | 2011 |
| | | 7644 Hx/LL/RR Hoegemeyer | 2012 |
| | | N45P-4011 NK | 2012 |



Figure 3. Vegetative indices of Era 1 (1936-1958) (Tan) and Era 6 (2008-2012) (Gray) hybrids under well-watered conditions measured throughout the growing season. For each index, a lower value corresponds to decreased stomatal conductance, decreased water content, and decreased chlorophyll content, respectively.





Table 1. Pedigree or hybrid name and year of release for all cultivars used in this study. The different shades designate the six eras that the hybrids span.

Materials and Methods

- 34 popular commercial hybrids from the 1930's to present day.
- Two-row plots evaluated in Lincoln, NE during the summer of 2014.
- Randomized complete block design with three replications in irrigated (WW) conditions and three replications in rain-fed (WS) conditions.
- Environmental data collected with a WatchDog station.
- Soil moisture data collected with Watermark sensors.
- Phenotypic data collected with typical handheld instruments and visual scoring, and also by highthroughput technology with a hyperspectral backpack system (300 – 1020 nm).





Figure 5. Water content of Era 1 hybrids between WW (blue) and WS (red) plots.

Figure 4. Vegetative indices of Era 1 (1936-1958) (Tan) and Era 6 (2008-2012) (Gray) hybrids under water stressed conditions measured throughout the growing season.



Conclusions

- The hyperspectral backpack system does detect differences between new and old hybrids for various traits related to drought tolerance. There has been genetic gain in these traits.
- The differences were most prominent later in the growing season (July-August).
- Irrigated verses rain-fed environments yield drastically different maize physiology. Future Work
- Conduct further tests of significance on these vegetative indices.
- Correlate vegetative indices to other phenotypes we collected by hand.
- Repeat next year and use a multispectral UAV to obtain more measurements throughout the season.

This research was supported by the UNL Life Sciences Competition and Nebraska Corn Board

References

Berger, B., Parent, B. & Tester, M. High-throughput shoot imaging to study drought responses. Journal of Experimental Botany 61, 3519–3528 (2010).

- Campos, H., Cooper, M., Habben, J. E., Edmeades, G. O. & Schussler, J. R. Improving drought tolerance in maize: a view from industry. Field Crops Research 90, 19–34 (2004).
- Duvick, D. N., Smith, J. S. C. & Cooper, M. Long-term Selection in a Commercial Hybrid Maize Breeding Program. *Plant* Breeding Reviews 24, 109–151 (2004).
- Tollenaar, M. & Wu, J. Yield improvement in temperate maize is attributable to greater stress tolerance. Crop Science 39, 1597–1604 (1999).