

Success and Challenge With Reducing Tillage in an Organic Cropping System

W. Curran¹, M. Barbercheck¹, M. Dempsey¹, C. Keene¹, S. Mirsky², M. Ryan³, M. VanGessel⁴, and J. Wallace¹ ¹Penn State University, ²USDA-ARS-Beltsville, ³Cornell University, and ⁴University of Delaware

Overview

Cover crop-based organic rotational no-till relies on cover crops for weed suppression in cash crops. A large amount of cover crop biomass is grown and terminated with a roller-crimper to form a weed-suppressive mulch. The cash crop is no-till planted into the heavy residue. This system has the potential to save organic farmers time and fuel compared to tillage-based organic grain production in which a cover crop is plowed and in-season weed control relies on soil disturbance. The Reduced-tillage Organic Systems Experiment (ROSE) was conducted from 2011-2013 at three sites in the mid-Atlantic: southern Delaware, central Maryland, and central Pennsylvania. The crop rotation was vetch/triticale and soybean was no-tilled into cereal rye. This was rotational no-till as all entry plots were still plowed annually (see diagram to the right).

Objectives: 1.) Test the feasibility of organic rotational no-till management in a systems experiment; 2.) Determine if manipulating the timing of cover crop termination/cash crop planting is a viable weed and insect pest management tool; 3.) Evaluate the suitability of ROSE rotation across the mid-Atlantic region.

Methods: 1.) Manure and cover crops were a primary source of nutrients. 2.) Supplemental irrigation was provided in some locations and years. 3.) Split-Split plot with four reps: Main plots A. Three termination/planting dates in corn and soybean (early, middle, late; Subplots B. Supplemental weed control with high residue cultivator vs. none; and <u>Sub-Sub plots C</u>. Varying variety maturity for corn (85 to 104 day) and soybean (Group 1.1 – 3.8). Measurements included cover crop biomass, weed density and biomass, cash crop population and yield, invertibrate pests and insect beneficials, and some soil quality parameters.









Figure 4. Effect of high-residue cultivation on weed biomass.



Research funding provided by USDA OREI Award No. 2009-51300-05656, USDA-NIFA-ICGP-002796.

•Cash crop yields were competitive and highest when cover crops were controlled and lowest when residue impacted crop stand and when cover crop regrowth occurred. We conclude that multiple passes with the roller-crimper may be necessary for control depending on growth stage; and cash crop planting equipment modifications are needed when dealing with heavy cover crop residue. •Insects: beneficial insects responded positively to organic management with increased pest-suppression services. •Weeds: Supplemental weed control was necessary where annual weed density was high and vice versa. Starting with a low weed seed bank will maximize the probability of being able to maintain good annual weed control in this system. •Pieces of our rotation/ management ready for innovative farmers, but the overall cropping system has serious challenges and is not ready for primetime.



handle this much residue (see image). Difficult to slice through the heavy residue and seed placement and lack of

• Poor seed to soil contact reduced corn and soybean populations in some

planting equipment every season.



Reduced crop stand with high cover crop residue.

Biomass production at each site Cereal rye DE: 6,700-10,800 kg ha⁻¹ MD: 5,000-11,200 kg ha⁻¹ PA: 4,500-8,600 kg ha⁻¹ Hairy vetch/triticale DE: 4,000-7,000 kg ha⁻¹ MD: 4,500-6,000 kg ha⁻¹ PA: 5,500-6,500 kg ha⁻¹

nysipm.cornell.edu

True Armyworm



Variegated Cutworm

• We hypothesized that early-season

Observed that bio-control services increased as planting was delayed. Invertebrate pests never reached



Pennsylvania.

