

Camelina Response to Harvest Time and Sources of Seed Yield Loss



Henry Y. Sintim^{1,3}, Valtcho D. Zheljazkov^{2,3}, Augustine K. Obour⁴, Axel Garcia y Garcia⁵

¹WSU Dept. of Crop and Soil Sciences, WY; ²OSU Dept. of Crop and Soil Science, OR; ³UWYO Dept. of Plant Sciences, WY; ⁴KSU Dept. of Agronomy, KS; ⁵UMN Dept. of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, MN

Introduction

- Camelina (Camelina sativa L. Crantz) is an oilseed crop suitable for dryland production.
- Uneven ripening of camelina pods (Fig. 1) results in harvest problems.
- Right harvest time needs to be determined



0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

(<u>_</u>____

(Kg

efficie

a





Harvest times:

- Early harvest increased water use efficiency of the spring cultivar, but had no effect on the winter type (Fig. 4).
- Delaying harvest reduced the seed yield (Fig. 5), harvest index, and biodiesel yield, but increased

because of the trade-off between seed loss and seed quality.

Objectives

- Determine the effects of different harvest time on camelina yield and quality.
- Quantify potential sources of seed yield loss during camelina harvest.

Materials and Methods

<u>Site:</u> Univ. of Wyoming Sheridan Res. Ext. Center, Wyarno, WY (Fig. 2A).

<u>Overview:</u> Nested on an established wheatcamelina rotation trial (Fig. 2B) Fig. 2. Map showing experimentFig. 3. Field plots showing camelinalocation in the US.and wheat in rotation.

b

<section-header>

the oil content. (Table 1).

There were no effects of harvest time on the seed protein content.

Harvest methods:

1200

To 1000

- ✓ Compared with Harvest 3, seed yield of Harvests 1 and 2 were 11.7% and 4.33%, respectively lower.
- Seed yield loss was to due to mechanical disturbance imposed on the pods and the combine settings such as the fan speed, concave adjustment, and sieve size.

Cultivars: Blaine Creek (spring cultivar) and BX WG 1 (winter cultivar)

<u>*Harvest times:*</u> At 50, 75, and >90% ripe pods for early, mid, and late harvests, respectively.

Harvest methods: Performed at late harvest.

✓ Harvest 1; direct combine harvest

 Harvest 2; Harvested with pruners and threshed with a combine using same settings as Harvest 1.

 Harvest 3; Harvested with pruners and threshed with a portable thresher.

0						(kg	800 -	_
	Spring ca	amelina	V	Vinter camelin	a	eld	600 -	_
Cultivars							400 -	_
Fig. 4. Water use efficiency of camelina as affected by cultivar and								
narvest tin	ne.						200 -	-
							0 -	
Fable 1. Harvest time effects on harvest index, seed moisture, protein								
and oil cor	ntent, and bi	odiesel yield	of camelin	a.		F	ig. 5.	ŀ
Harvest	Harvest	Seed	Protein	Oil	Biodiesel	У		
time	index	moisture	content	content	yield			
		(%)	(g kg ⁻¹)	(g kg ⁻¹)	(L ha ⁻¹)			
Early	0.26a	14.2a	298 a	336b	155a			
Mid	0.27a	9.8b	304 a	347b	148a	Di	rect c	0

a



Fig. 5. Harvest time affects camelina seed yield.



Direct combining when 75% of camelina pods



Fig. 1. Camelina showing uneven ripening of pods.

Late0.24b6.7c303a333a121bP-value<0.001</th><0.001</th>0.524<0.001</th><0.001</th>

Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not different at P < 0.05.

Contact: Valtcho Jeliazkov @ valtcho.jeliazkov@oregonstate.edu or Henry Sintim @ sintimyabb@gmail.com



are ripe will provide a balance between seed yield loss and seed quality.



The authors acknowledge the USDA-NIFA Biomass Research Development Initiative Program for funding this project. We thank the Sheridan Res. Ext. Center farm crew for their support.