# Morphological Plasticity in Watermelon in Response to Interspecific Competition in a Low-Resource Intercropping System



## \*J.G. Franco<sup>a</sup>, S. King<sup>b</sup>, D. Briske<sup>a</sup>, A. Volder<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Texas A&M University, Department of Ecosystem Science & Management, College Station, TX 77843 <sup>b</sup>Millican Farms, LLC, Millican, TX 77866 <sup>c</sup>University of California – Davis, Department of Plant Sciences, Davis, CA 95616



\*Current contact information: Northern Great Plains Research Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Mandan, ND 58554 Email: jose.franco@ars.usda.gov

### Introduction

Intercropping with functionally diverse species is a way of mimicking nature, creating an architecturally complex and dense multi-layered canopy
Plants that form part of a more dense canopy undergo intense competition for light and respond by changing leaf morphology and altering resource allocation patterns
Specific leaf area (SLA), leaf area per unit dry mass, maximizes light interception by increasing relative growth rate, leaf N content, and, thus, optimizing photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf area
There is a strong relationship between SLA and leaf N, and SLA and photosynthetic N-use efficiency (PNUE; photosynthetic capacity per unit leaf N) as PNUE is associated with higher relative growth rate, thereby increasing plant fitness and the ability to compete with neighbors





## Objective

• To evaluate leaf-level acclimation and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency in watermelon in a functionally diverse intercropping system

### Hypothesis

We hypothesized that as light competition intensity increased, watermelon would respond by increasing SLA, leaf N concentration, and PNUE

### Methods

•Two-year field study using 5 crop species (Table 1) and 3 replicates (field site and plot layout information can be found in Franco *et al.*, 2015)

•In 2011, peanut was direct seeded on August 1<sup>st</sup> followed by watermelon on August 7<sup>th</sup>, okra and cowpea on August 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> and 3-inch tall pepper transplants on August 18<sup>th</sup> (plants spaced 30.5 cm apart)

•Due to over-competition by watermelon in year 1, planting dates were altered and plants were direct seeded earlier in the season in year 2 (Peanut and okra on June

Fig 1. Intercropped peanut, watermelon, okra, cowpea and pepper highlighting the variable growth form of component crops in an architecturally complex system in year 1 (a) and year 2 (b).



Fig 3. Watermelon (a) specific leaf area (SLA; m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>), (b) leaf carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N), (c) leaf nitrogen concentration based on leaf dry mass (Leaf N; mg N g<sup>-1</sup>), and (d) photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency (PNUE;  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> [mol N]<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ) between means within years according to Tukey's LSD test.

a)

2012

2012

21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup>, cowpea on June 27<sup>th</sup>, pepper transplants on July 3<sup>rd</sup> and watermelon on July 12<sup>th</sup>)

 Five controls of each species in monocrop were used. Six treatments used were: within-row intercropping systems consisting of
 peanut and watermelon (*W*<sub>pw</sub>)

- peanut, watermelon, and okra (W<sub>pwo</sub>)
- •peanut, watermelon, okra, and cowpea (  $W_{pwoc}$ )
- •peanut, watermelon, okra, cowpea, and pepper ( $W_{all}$ ) and a strip intercropping system consisting of

•peanut and watermelon in alternating single rows (S<sub>pw</sub>)
•Gas-exchange was measured on the youngest fully expanded watermelon leaf
between 1200 and 1400 at full canopy (69 and 84 days after planting in year 1 and year 2, respectively)

Leaves were collected and scanned with a flatbed scanner to derive total leaf area, oven dried at 24°C for 48 hours, ground, and analyzed for C and N content
SLA was calculated as the ratio of leaf area (m<sup>2</sup>) to leaf dry mass (kg)
PNUE was calculated as photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area (µmol CO<sub>2</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>) / gram of N per unit leaf area (g N \* SLA) to give µmol CO<sub>2</sub> [mol N]<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
Data were analyzed using ANOVA and regression analyses in JMP 10.0.2 software

#### Table 1. Component crop characteristics

Crop	Variety	Family	Function	Architecture
Peanut	Tamspan 90	Fabaceae	nitrogen fixation, smother crop	low/ mid growth form
Watermelon	*TAMU mini	Cucurbitaceae	smother crop,	low arowth form

Fig 2. Intercropping mixture of peanutwatermelon-okra-cowpea ( $W_{pwoc}$ ) in year 1 (a) and year 2 (b). Watermelon canopy dominated all intercropping treatments in year 1, whereas an okradominated canopy was evident in year 2.



Fig 4. Relationship between watermelon (a) specific leaf area (SLA; m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) and leaf nitrogen concentration (Leaf N; mg N g<sup>-1</sup>), and between (b) SLA and photosynthetic nitrogen-use efficiency (PNUE; μmol CO<sub>2</sub> [mol N]<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in 2012.

### Summary

- In year 1, watermelon was dominant and did not undergo intense competition for light as seen visually in Fig. 2a and supported by the data (Fig's. 3a, b, c)
- In year 2 when light competition was greatest due to okra dominance (Fig. 2b), watermelon acclimated by increasing SLA (e.g. larger but thinner leaves) and investing more N for rapid growth (higher leaf N concentration, lower C:N) in treatments containing okra (Fig's. 3a, b, c)
- No differences were found in watermelon PNUE between monocrop and intercropping treatments as was hypothesized (Fig. 3d)
- SLA was positively linearly correlated with leaf N concentration (Fig. 4a); however, no relationship was found between SLA and PNUE (Fig. 4b)
- Changes in PNUE within a species may be too small to detect and may be more pronounced when comparing species with different life strategies
- Morphological plasticity demonstrated by watermelon in year 2 may play an important role in optimizing net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates over the entire

			shading	
Okra	Clemson spineless	Malvaceae	pollinator attractant, structural support	tall growth form
Cowpea	Texas pinkeye	Fabaceae	nitrogen fixation, pollinator attractant	mid growth form
Pepper	Jalapeño/Serrano	Solanaceae	pest barrier	mid growth form

#### leaf, thus maximizing canopy-level photosynthesis and enhancing competitive ability

• Enhancing competitive ability may, however, come at a yield cost as energy is re-allocated from fruit production to growth as was evident in

lower watermelon yields in year 2 (Franco et al., 2015)

With increasing interest in multifunctional intercrop and cover crop mixtures, these findings may inform selection of species and relative planting

dates given how interspecific species interactions may alter leaf N allocation and C:N ratios and, subsequently, above-ground nutrient inputs

#### \*Unreleased variety

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Southern SARE and TWRI for funding this research. They would also like to thank Brady Grimes, TAMU Howdy Farm, Romeo Montalvo, Dominique Conrad, and Kyle Harrison for their assistance in the field.

#### Literature Cited

Franco, J.G., King, S.R., Masabni, J.G., Volder, A. 2015. Plant functional diversity improves short-term yields in a low-input intercropping system. AgrEcosystEnviron 203, 1-10.