Characterization of soil hydraulic properties heterogeneity in sandy soil by tomographic analysis and particle size distribution.

Yann Periard (1), Silvio José Gumiere (1), Alain N. Rousseau (2), Jonathan A. Lafond (1) and Jean Caron (1)

yann.periard-larrivee.1@ulaval.ca

(1) Université Laval, Faculté des sciences de l'agriculture et de l'alimentation, Département des sols et de génie agroalimentaire, Québec, Canada, (2) Institut national de la recherche scientifique : Centre Eau Terre Environnement (INRS-ETE), Québec, Canada, QC

Session: Hydrogeology, Environmental Soil Physics and Hydrology Student Competition: Lightning Orals with Posters: II - Poster Board Number: 1523



Introduction

- Knowledge about soil hydraulic properties are fundamental
- Characterization of these properties is very time-consuming
- > Tomography imagery allow characterization of a number of soil hydraulic properties (Wildenschild and Sheppard, 2013)
- > μ CT-scan is limited to very small sample, which is inappropriate to study a representative volume of soil (soil profiles).

Materials & methods

Nearest solid surface

Effective saturation

 $\delta = ae^{br}$

4. Determination of the porosity

- Hounsfield scale
- > Hu = attenuation coefficient of the soil
- > Hu_{quartz} = attenuation coefficient of quartz > Hu_{air} = attenuation coefficient of air

5. Derivation of soil hydraulic properties

Lambert-Beer law

 $HU = 1000(\mu - \mu_w)/(\mu_w - \mu_a)$ $I = I_0 \exp(-\mu x)$

$Hu_{auart_7} - Hu_{air}$





Objective

> The main objective of this work is to propose a framework to predict soil hydraulic properties from the combination of particle size distribution with X-ray tomography of a porous media.

Materials & Methods

1. Soils characterization

- Soil sample contained in a 15-cm long, 5cm wide cylinder
- Unconsolidated Ottawa sand
- Curves of water retention and hydraulic conductivity obtained using the instantaneous profile method

Carnahan–Starling approximation of void nearest-surface complementary cummulative density function (Chan and Govindaraju, 2004)

 $e_{vn}\left(\delta\right) = \left(1 - \eta\right) \exp\left\{-\eta S \left| a_0 \left(\frac{\delta}{m_1}\right)^3 + a_1 \left(\frac{\delta}{m_1}\right)^2 + a_2 \left(\frac{\delta}{m_1}\right) \right| \right\}$ Coefficients a₀, a₁, a₂ $a_0 = \frac{\left(m_1^2/m_2\right)\left(1-\eta\right)\left(1-\eta+3\eta S\right)+2\eta^2 S^2}{\left(1-\eta\right)^3}$ > S = surface ratio > η = dimensionless reduced density $a_1 = \frac{6(m_1^2/m_2)(1-\eta) + 9\eta S}{2(1-\eta)^2}$

Coefficients a, b > σ = interfacial tension Ψ = contact angle g = gravitational acceleration > ρ = density of the fluid h = soil matric potential



O. 60 100 40 Soil Matric Potential (cm)

Soil Matric Potential (cm)

Figure 6. Soil water retention curves

Figure 7. Soil hydraulic conductivity curves

> Good performance of the models to predict soil hydraulic properties (Figures 6 & 7)



2. Particle distribution

Particle size distribution = LA950v2 Laser Particle Size Analyzer (Horiba)

> μ_v = mean of ln R

> *n*th moment

 $m_n = \exp\left(n\mu_y + \frac{n^2\sigma^2}{2}\right)$ Cumulative mass fraction

- $\left(\ln R \left(\mu_v + 3\sigma_v^2 \right) \right)$ > σ_v = standard $M(R) = W \left| 1 - \frac{1}{2} erfc \right|$ deviation of ln R + $(1-W)\left|1-\frac{1}{2}erfc\left(\frac{\ln R-(\mu_{2y}+3\sigma_{2y})}{\sqrt{2}}\right)\right|$ W = weighting factor for the *i*th sub-
- 3. Tomographic analysis distribution
- Scans were performed at Laboratoire Multidisplinaire de Scanographie du Québec de *l'INRS-ETE.*
- > Type of Medical CT scan : Somatum Volume Access (Siemens, Oakville, ON, CA).
- Energy level of 140, 120, 100 and 80 keV
- ▶ Voxel resolution of 0.1x0.1x0.6 mm





 $r = \frac{2\sigma\cos\Psi}{1}$

 ρgh

> Saturated hydraulic conductivity (Nasta et al., (2013)) $Ks = 3600 \left(\frac{\rho_w g}{8\eta_w} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \right)^2 \int_{0}^{R_{\text{max}}} \frac{dS_e}{dr} r^2 dr \right)$ $\frac{\partial^3 S_e}{\partial r^3} = 0 \quad and$

6. Pore connectivity and tortuosity



7. Computations



effective saturation

Ghezzehei et al. (2007)







Figure 8. Radial plane of soil water content (A) and relative hydraulic conductivity (B) as a function of soil matric potential (h).

> High variability of soil hydraulic properties (Figure 8)

> Specifically in position of the curve with high variation of water content and relative hydraulic conductivity according to the matric potential (h).

Figure 1. Medical CT scan

Acknowledgements





Calcul Quebec <u>http://www.calculquebec.ca</u>

- Colosse supercomputer
- parallel implementation of R code
- Snow and RMPI library

Figure 5. Colosse supercomputer

References

this water path

Chan, T.P., and R.S. Govindaraju. 2004. Estimating soil water retention curve from particle-size distribution data based on polydisperse sphere systems. Vadose Zone J. 3:1443–1454.

CT scan. The Red line is the

- Ghezzehei, T.A., T.J. Kneafsey & G.W. Su. (2007). Correspondence of the Gardner and van Genuchten–Mualem relative permeability function parameters. Water Resources Research 43: n/a-n/a. doi:10.1029/2006WR005339.
- Nasta, P., J.A. Vrugt & N. Romano. (2013). Prediction of the saturated hydraulic conductivity from Brooks and Corey's water retention parameters. Water Resources Research 49: 2918-2925. doi:10.1002/wrcr.20269.
- Wildenschild, D. and A.P. Sheppard. 2013. X-ray imaging and analysis techniques for quantifying pore-scale structure and processes in subsurface porous medium systems. Advances in Water Resources 51: 217-246. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.07.018.

Conclusion

> Using and analyzing Medical CT scans clearly show the variability of soil hydraulic properties in the sample.

> The framework provides a good prediction of the mean soil hydraulic properties.

> The framework provides an opportunity to study the variability of soil hydraulic properties over a monolith.