Introduction

- Silage corn is an important feed for dairy cattle in the Intermountain West because it is a forage that produces high yields and energy (Roth, 1995).
- Increase of nearly 200,000 dairy cows from 2004 to 2014.
- Utah and Idaho have nearly doubled total corn hectares harvested over a 10 year period (+44,000 ha).
- Optimum plant population and row spacing for silage corn in the Intermountain West have yet to be determined.
- Previous study on corn row spacing showed a 4.2% yield increase with narrower row widths (Cox, 1998).

Objectives

- Determine the row spacing and plant density to optimize silage corn yield and quality in Utah and Idaho.

Materials & Methods

Research Sites

- North Logan, UT (2015 and 2016) study was conducted at the USU Greenville Farm. The soil is a Millville Silt Loam. Previous crop was fallow (2015), and safflower (2016).
- Jerome, ID (2015 and 2016) Study was located on a commercial corn field. Soil is a Rad Silt Loam. The previous crop was corn both years.

Results

- Plant Density Effects on LAI
  - Leaf area index measurements were taken at ground level when the 111-RM reached silking stage. Leaf area index increased as plant density increases as predicted.

- Row Spacing Effects on Stalk Diameter
  - Stalk diameter measurements were taken when the 111-RM hybrid reached silking stage. Measurements were taken from ten consecutive plants in the center of the plot.

- Results cont.
  - Figure 5. Row spacing can effect total DM yield. Means with same small letters are not statistically different. Row widths effect on yield are hybrid dependent. DKC 49-29 showed no difference. DKC 56-54, and DKC 61-88 responded positively to narrower row widths.

Conclusions

1. Yield increases of 4-7% were achieved by narrowing to 51-cm row spacing. Doesn’t hold true for every hybrid.
2. DM yield increased through 123,553 plants Ha⁻¹, although optimal plant densities are likely around 86,486-98,841 plants Ha⁻¹ when considering economics of seed, fertilizer, and irrigation.
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Figure 1. Irrigated corn plots in Jerome, ID with row widths 51-cm (left) and 76-cm (right). Should growers consider planting corn in narrow rows?

Figure 2. Sites are located approximately 320 kilometers apart. Both sites were sprinkler irrigated.

Figure 3. Plots were planted at 135,900 plants ha⁻¹. And hand thinned at 5th leaf collar stage to exact plant density.

Figure 4. Aerial view of Plot layout, hybrid differences, and row spacing at North Logan, Utah

Figure 5. One harvest pass was taken for 76-cm row widths and three harvest passes were taken for 51-cm row widths. Corn plots are blown into weigh bin then dumped, and a 1000g sample is taken for analysis and moisture.

Figure 6. As plant density increases DM yield increases all the way to 123,500 plants Ha⁻¹. Both locations showed similar results.