



<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison; <sup>2</sup>Biological Systems Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison; <sup>3</sup>Dairy Forage Research Center, USDA-ARS, Madison, WI \*Contact Email: stock@wisc.edu

**Objectives** 

05 Feb 2016 Wintertime land applications of manure are a longstanding practice in dairy agroecosystems, but may accelerate runoff generation, hence surface nutrient losses. Our overall objectives are to: 1) quantify infiltration and runoff on frozen soils, and 2) identify key physical properties that drive differences in melt events relative to management practices. 28 Jul 2015 02 Mar 2016 04 Nov 2015 No Tillage Treatmen Unmanured Control December Applicati - December Applicatio January Application **Infiltration & Runoff** Methods No Tillage Treatmen onventional Tillage Treatmen Conventional Tillage | No Tillage UW-Arlington Research Station, WI (43°18' N, 89°20' W) Unmanured Contro Unmanured Control A 2x3 complete factorial design in triplicate (18 plots), that tests Total Seasonal Runof tillage and the timing of liquid manure applications on frozen soil Event: 21Feb16 Event: 07Feb16 No Tillage Treatmen Conventional Tillage Treatmen **NO MANURE** MANURE MANURE December Application December Applicatio Conventiona SNOW SNOW SNOW Tillage Application Contro No Tillage Treatmen Figure 2. Total runoff (mm) with standard error (± SE) on frozen Conventional January Applicati soils, relative to the key runoff events in Feb. 2016 by manure MANURE **NO MANURF** MANUR Date in 2015-201 timing treatments and soils under conventional versus no tillage, No Tillage Dec. Application Jan. Applicatio Jan. Applicatio **Conventional Tillage Treatment** No Tillage Treatment respectively. SNOV SNOW SNOW — Jan. Application - Unmanured Control — Dec. Application - Unmanured Control SOIL SOIL 68.0 % **Conventional Tillag** No Tillage 62.3 % **Dec. Application:** 92.9 % Jan. Application: Unmanured ALLA MARAA **Control plots** frozen, bare soil frozen, snow-covered soil Water-Energy Balance Approach 91.4 % Air Temperature 20 Unmanured Contr Vapor Pressure Dec. Application Jan. Applicatio Jan. Application 6.8 % Net Radiation Snow Depth **Sensible Heat** Radiation Figure 3. Cumulative water equivalents (mm) of infiltration and Precipitation runoff, relative to available water, as calculated by the change in snow water equivalents minus sublimation. Percentages indicate Precipitation + Manure = Latent Heat + Infiltration + Runoff the ratio of infiltration to runoff per treatment. Note: One event Snow Storage Snow Storage was excluded as snow drift obscured infiltration calculations. ...... Ground Heat Soil Storage 0.16 -Take-Home Message 0.32 -**Frost Depth** Soil Water Flux 0.48 ----Soil Potential The complexities of frozen soil processes, snowpack dynamics, and the liquid manure matrix require a mechanistic Soil Water Content 0.64 field approach to evaluate the wintertime management practices in dairy agroecosystems. Surface depressional Soil Temperature storage from conventional tillage reduced runoff volumes by increasing the infiltration time for meltwater, relative 1.14 ------1.22 — no tillage. Mid-winter applications of manure (*i.e.* in January) decreased albedo, which promoted runoff events. 1.30 -Future research will investigate whether these applications also accelerate runoff by increasing the electrical Figure 1. A) Six treatments combine conventional versus no tillage and the timing of manure applications to isolate drivers of runoff on frozen soil: surface roughness and manure-snow A passive-divider runoff collection system uses conductivity of snowpack, thereby depressing its freezing point. Results will inform manure management models interactions. B) A schematic of measured field parameters to quantify the water-energy V-notch weirs to collect up to 15 cm events for (SurPhos, Snap Plus) and nutrient management regulations in Wisconsin. balance; the runoff collection system is pictured to the right. water analysis and load cells to record flow rate.



## Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Wakeman, Andy Larson, Jim Richmond, Nick Bero, Zach Zopp, and Gabe Lujan for their field assistance and the University of Wisconsin Arlington Research Station for their collaboration.

This project is supported by the USDA-NIFA-AFRI (#3958); G.A. Harris Fellowship (Decagon Devices, Inc.); NCR-SARE Graduate Student Grant; William T. Dible Terra Int., Inc. Scholarship, Richard D. Powell Memorial Scholarship, Ruth & Carl Miller Academic Merit Awards, Champ Tanner Agricultural Physics Award, and the C.B. Tanner Memorial Travel Award (University of Wisconsin-Madison).

## **Does tillage increase frozen soil infiltration?**

## M.N. Stock<sup>1\*</sup>, F.J. Arriaga<sup>1</sup>, K.G. Karthikeyan<sup>2</sup>, P.A. Vadas<sup>3</sup>, and L. Ward-Good<sup>1</sup>



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture











