

### Quantifying Relative Canopy Temperature, Fraction of Radiation Intercepted, and Greenness Intensity of Crops from an Aerial Platform

Larry C. Purcell<sup>1</sup>, Carlin J. Purcell<sup>2</sup>, C. Andy King<sup>1</sup>, and Marilynn K. Davies<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Soil and Environmental Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville <sup>2</sup>Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, NY

PROCEDURE



## INTRODUCTION

The introduction of reliable, inexpensive unmanned aerial systems (UASs) into US agriculture is anticipated to fundamentally change the way that farmers and agricultural scientists collect information about their crops. With correct sensors in place, information regarding crop nutrition, crop stress, disease, and soil moisture can be collected remotely.

Using remote-sensing, scientists have the potential of collecting data on large numbers of plots at the same time from aerial platforms and using that information to



improve management and selection protocols in breeding programs. One impediment for utilizing this information includes a lack of appropriate tools to quantify remote-sensing data for individual research plots. The objective of this research was to develop software that could quickly and easily quantify remotelysensed measurements from images of research plots taken from an aerial platform.

# **OBJECTIVES**

- Develop easy-to-use software to quantify canopy greenness, infrared temperatures, and canopy coverage (i.e., fraction of radiation intercepted) from digital images taken from an aerial platform.
- Compare software performance with standards methods



Figure 1: Large kites (windy days) or a large tethered balloon (calm days) were used to lift camera systems ~75 m above experiments.

For DGCI analysis, color standards



Ă ∩ ∩					
0.0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0
	Ground	l canopy cov	verage (Sign	naScan)	

RESULTS

Figure 2. Aerial canopy coverage measurements using custom software, Badhorse, versus ground measurement of canopy coverage using SigmaScan Pro 5. Measurements were made on 5 July and 19 July 2016.



## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Custom software –

Custom software (Badhorse) developed as a Java application for the analysis of canopy coverage, Dark Green Color Index (DGCI, Rorie et al., 2011), and infrared temperature from aerial images (Purcell and Purcell, 2016)



The Case

WAR STATE A Low Constraint for hearts & the

is 20 pixels dy is 92 pixels



Figure 3. Aerial dark green canopy index (DGCI) measurements made with GIMP versus aerial DGCI measurements using Badhorse.

### DISCUSSION

Aerial measurements of canopy coverage and DGCI using Badhorse had near perfect agreement with measurements using SigmaScan or GIMP, respectively. Badhorse allowed entire experiments to be analyzed at once, greatly speeding up analysis.

- Soybean maturity groups (MGs) 2-5 plots
- During seedfill, weekly color aerial images
- Green and yellow color standards included in each image
- DGCI compared using GIMP (www.gimp.com) and Badhorse

#### <u>Canopy coverage –</u>

<u>Greenness</u> –

- Target populations of 10, 20, and 40 plants m<sup>-2</sup>
- Color images made from ground and air
- Fraction of green pixels per plot measured with SigmaScan Pro 5 (ground) and using Badhorse (aerial)

			J.			-														
	А	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I.	J	К	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	
1	0.75582	0.790587	0.815799	0.834267	0.823031	0.821631	0.8473	0.841189	0.779658											
2	0.707472	0.769062	0.833765	0.823214	0.836348	0.848062	0.841338	0.791561	0.819401											
3	0.734157	0.819824	0.810239	0.818624	0.818286	0.836352	0.85648	0.801346	0.836287											
4	0.72306	0.705608	0.72	0.786231	0.807102	0.803001	0.774014	0.758563	0.808343											
5																				
6																				
7																				
8																				
9																				
10																				
11																				=
12																				
13																				
14																				
15																				
16																				
17																				
18																				
19																				
20																				
21																				
22																				
23																				
24																				-
H (	► ► IM	G_0722 m	nodified 🥂	]											Ш					
Dood																		000/ 🔾		

Analysis for canopy coverage and IR are similar except: • For IR, sliders eliminate heat signature from soil • For canopy coverage, sliders identify green pixels based on hue, saturation, and brightness

Similar options allow analysis of IR temperature.



Rorie, R.L, L.C. Purcell, D.E. Karcher, and C.A. King. 2011. The assessment of leaf nitrogen in corn from digital images. Crop Sci. 51:2174-2180. Purcell, C.J. and L.C. Purcell. 2016. Badhorse - A stand alone Java

Application for the automated analysis of aerial images of crop fields.

https://github.com/carlinpurcell/badHorse