

# Combining ability and heterotic patterns of early-maturing provitamin A inbreds under contrasting environments

#### Introduction

Vitamin A deficiency is a major health problem in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). The maize plant can accumulate significant quantity of Pro-vitamin A (PVA) in the endosperm and has significant genetic variation for the PVA trait. Therefore, increasing PVA level in maize through breeding is a feasible approach for alleviating PVA deficiency in the sub region. Apart from PVA problem, maize production in SSA is constrained by *Striga hermonthica* parasitism, drought and low soil nitrogen (low-N). Therefore, there is need to develop and commercialize multiple-stress tolerant PVA maize in the effort to fight malnutrition in SSA.



B. Badu-Apraku\*,A.O. Talabi, andI.C. Akaogu

<sup>1</sup>International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), PMB 5320, Oyo Rd, Ibadan, Nigeria.

The objectives of the present study were to: (i) determine the combining ability of 20 early provitamin maize inbreds under *Striga*-infested, drought, low-N and rain-fed environments (ii) classify the inbreds into heterotic groups using the heterotic grouping based on general combining ability (GCA) of multiple traits (HGCAMT) and the heterotic groups' specific combining ability (SCA) and GCA of grain yield (HSGCA) methods (iii) identify inbred tester(s) and (iv) examine the performance of the inbreds in hybrids combinations.

#### Materials and Methods

Twenty early maturing PVA maize inbred lines were used in the present study (Table 1). Prior to the study, the inbreds were analysed for PVA content at the IITA-Ibadan Chemical Analysis Laboratory in 2016. The 20 PVA inbreds were crossed in all possible combinations to obtain 190  $F_1$  hybrids using the diallel mating design. The 190  $F_1$  hybrids plus six yellow hybrid checks were evaluated using 14 x 14 lattice design with two replications under *Striga* infestation at Mokwa, terminal drought at Bagauda, low-N at Mokwa and rainfed environments at Ikenne and Mokwa, all in Nigeria during the 2016 growing season. Data were recorded on grain yield and other traits and subjected to analysis of variance from which other parameters were estimated. The GCA and SCA effects of grain yield and other measured traits were estimated across environments following Griffing's method 4, involving  $F_1$  hybrids only (Griffing, 1956). The inbred lines were classified into heterotic groups based on HSGCA method proposed by Fan et al. (2008) and HGCAMT method by Badu-Apraku et al. (2013). Inbred tester(s) were identified according to the method proposed by Pswarayi and Vivek (2008). The GGE biplot was used to identify outstanding single cross hybrids in terms of yield and stability across environments (Yan et al. 2000).

### **Results and Discussion**

Table 1. Reaction to stresses and pro-vitamin A content of inbreds used for the diallel study.

Results of the chemical analysis revealed that the PVA content of the inbred lines ranged from 2.65 to 8.18  $\mu$ g/g (Table 1). Analysis of variance across environments revealed significant GCA and SCA effects for grain yield and most measured traits (Table not shown). There was preponderance of GCA over SCA effects for most measured traits (Fig. 1), suggesting that additive gene action was more important in the inheritance of most traits in the set of inbred lines. The lines were classified into three heterotic groups each by the HSGCA and HGCAMT methods (Table 2). The inbred TZEI 129 was identified as tester across environments by the two methods. The tester should facilitate the development of superior pro-vitamin A hybrids for commercialization in SSA. The GGE biplot identified the pro-vitamin A hybrids TZEIOR 2 x TZEIOR 157 and TZEIOR 4 x TZEIOR 65 as the highest yielding and most stable across environments (Fig. 2). The hybrids should be further tested in on-farm trials and commercialized to improve food and nutrition security as well as alleviate poverty in the sub-region.

Figure 1. Proportion of additive (lower bar) and non-additive (upper bar) genetic variance for grain yield and other agronomic traits of 20 early pro-vitamin A inbred lines involved in diallel crosses evaluated across drought, *Striga*-infested, low-N and rainfed environments in Nigeria, 2016.



		Reaction to	o stresses	
S/N	Pedigree	Drought	Striga	Provitamin A content (µg/g)
1	TZEIOR 2	Т	S	4.91
2	TZEIOR 4	т	т	3.23
3	TZEIOR 6	т	Т	4.92
4	TZEIOR 30	Т	S	6.30
5	TZEIOR 52	Т	Т	7.96
6	TZEIOR 62	Т	Т	5.32
7	TZEIOR 68	Т	Т	8.18
8	TZEIOR 73	Т	Т	7.62
9	TZEIOR 79	Т	S	5.48
10	TZEIOR 117	Т	S	4.12
11	TZEIOR 119	Т	S	3.61
12	TZEIOR 124	Т	S	3.28
13	TZEIOR 125	Т	Т	3.75
14	TZEIOR 157	S	Т	4.42
15	TZEIOR 158	Т	S	2.65
16	TZEIOR 163	Т	Т	2.95
17	TZEIOR 164	Т	S	7.40
18	TZEIOR 165	S	Т	4.43
19	TZEI 25	Т	Т	5.30
20	TZEI 129	т	Т	8.16



\*Corresponding author: <u>b.badu-apraku@cgiar.org</u>

Figure 2. The entry/tester genotype plus genotype x environment biplot based on grain yield of selected early pro-vitamin A maize hybrids plus one early yellow hybrid check evaluated under *Striga* infestation at Mokwa (MKSR16), low-N at Mokwa (MKLN16), terminal drought at Bagauda (BGDT16) and rainfed conditions at Ikenne (IKOP16) and Mokwa (MKOP16) during the 2016 growing season.

## Conclusions

The classification of the set of PVA inbreds into heterotic groups will facilitate the development of productive hybrids through planned crosses among inbreds of opposing heterotic groups. The identified inbred tester would be invaluable in the development of productive hybrids and classification of other PVA lines into heterotic groups. However, there is a need for more genetic studies involving the PVA early inbreds not included in the present study. Food and nutrition security could be significantly improved through the commercialization of the high-yielding and stable PVA hybrids in the sub-region.

Table 2. Summary of the heterotic groups of 20 early-maturing pro-vitamin A maize inbred lines based on the HGCAMT and HSGCA grouping methods across five environments in Nigeria, 2016.

Method	Group 1		Group 2		Group 3
HGCAMT	TZEIOR 2, TZEIOR 4, TZEIOR 6,	TZEIOR 30, TZEIOR 52, TZEIOR	TZEIOR 68, TZE	IOR 73, TZEIOR 79,	TZEIOR 157, TZEIOR 158, TZEIOR
	62, TZEIOR 119, TZEIOR 125, TZ	EIOR 164, TZEI 25 and TZEI 129	TZEIOR 117 and	TZEIOR 124	163 and TZEIOR 165
HSGCA	TZEIOR 2, TZEIOR 4, TZEIOR 6,	TZEIOR 30, TZEIOR 52, TZEIOR	TZEIOR 117, TZ	EIOR 119, TZEIOR 124	TZEIOR 157, TZEIOR 158, TZEIOR
	62, TZEIOR 68, TZEIOR 73, TZEI	OR 79, TZEI 25 and TZEI 129	and TZEIOR 125		164 and TZEIOR 165

References

Badu-Apraku B., M.A.B. Fakorede, I. Vroh, R.O. Akinwale, and M. Aderounmu. 2013. Euphytica 192: 413–433.

Fan X.M., H.M. Chen, J. Tan, C.X. Xu, Y.M. Zhang, Y.X. Huang, and M.S. Kang. 2008. Agron J. 100:917–923.

Griffing B. 1956. Australian J. Biol. Sci. 9, 463-93. Pswarayi A, and B. Vivek. 2008. Euphytica 162: 353–362 Yan W. 2001. Agron. J. 93:1111-1118.

#### IITA is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

